

S. Pati, S. Bakas, S. Rathore, A. Gastounioti, R. Kalarot, P. Sridharan, M. Bergman, A. Sotiras, H. Akbari, R. Shinohara, P. Yushkevich, Y. Fan, R. Verma, D. Kontos, C. Davatzikos Center for Biomedical Image Computing and Analytics (CBICA); Department of Radiology; University of Pennsylvania (software@cbica.upenn.edu)

**Primary Aim:** To enable swift and efficient translation of cutting-edge academic research into clinically useful tools<sup>[1]</sup>. **Target Audience:** 

1. Non-computational experts (radiologists, oncologists, clinicians, neuroscientists): facilitating use of complex algorithms for clinically relevant studies through a user-friendly, light-weight interface. 2. Computational Imaging Scientists: allowing for batch-processing, as well as integration of new algorithms into a GUI based on ITK, VTK, and OpenCV.





# • Extendibility •

External algorithm integration in CaPTk, is possible in:

## Source level

Tightest integration, providing memory-level access to all interactive functionalities, hence allowing for maximum optimization. The external application should be written in C++ and compiled alongside CaPTk.

## **Executable level**

CaPTk offers a graphical interface to an existing application (not necessarily written in C++), allowing users to leverage CaPTk's functionality (e.g., interaction, feature extraction, modeling). Executable-level integration requires minor additions to CaPTk's source to create a menu option for the new application.

[1]	Pati et al., Cancer and Phenomics Toolkit (CaPTk): A Software Suite for Compu
[2]	Shinohara et al., Statistical normalization techniques for magnetic resonance
[3]	Bakas et al., GLISTRboost: Combining Multimodal MRI Segmentation, Registr
	for Glioma Segmentation, Springer, LNCS, 9556:144-155, 2016.
[4]	Bakas et al., Advancing The Cancer Genome Atlas glioma MRI collections
	4:170117, 2017.
[5]	Bakas et al., Segmentation Labels and Radiomic Features for the Pre-operativ
[6]	Bakas et al., Segmentation Labels and Radiomic Features for the Pre-operativ
[7]	Bakas et al., In vivo detection of EGFRvIII in glioblastoma via perfusion mag
	Clinical Cancer Research, 2017
[8]	Tunc et al., Individualized Map of White Matter Pathways: Connectivity-Based

Paradigm for Neurosurgical Planning, Neurosurgery, 2016 [9] Tunc et al., Automated tract extraction via atlas based Adaptive Clustering, NeuroImage, 2014

# Cancer Imaging Phenomics Toolkit (CaPTk): A Radio(geno)mics Software Platform for Leveraging Quantitative Imaging Analytics for Computational Oncology

# • Functionality •

Itational Oncology and Radiomics, RSNA, 2016 imaging, Neuroimage Clinical, 2014 ation, and Biophysical Tumor Growth Modeling with Gradient Boosting Machines[

with expert segmentation labels and radiomic features", Nature Scientific Data,[ , Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017 [14] McCarthy et al., Racial differences in quantitative measures of area and volumetric breast density, JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 108(10), 2016 ve Scans of the TCGA-GBM collection, The Cancer Imaging Archive 2017 [15] Macyszyn et al., Imaging patterns predict patient survival and molecular subtype in glioblastoma via machine learning techniques, Neuro-Oncology, 2016 e Scans of the TCGA-LGG collection, The Cancer Imaging Archive 2017 [16] Akbari et al., Imaging Surrogates of Infiltration Obtained Via Multiparametric Imaging Pattern Analysis Predict Subsequent Location of netic resonance imaging signature consistent with deep peritumoral infiltration,

Recurrence of Glioblastoma, Neurosurgery, 2016

_	Application to other neurological diseases: Mening
_	Additional predictive tools for GBM: Survival <sup>[15]</sup> ; Red
_	Integration of brain cognitive deficit measuremen
	to future cognitive deficits
_	Incorporation of a lattice-based strategy for Breast Pa
_	Deep Learning pipelines for segmentation <sup>[18]</sup> and pre
10] 11] 12]	Li et al., Unsupervised Machine Learning of Radiomic Features for Predicting Patients Treated With Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy, International Journ Keller et al., Estimation of breast percent density in raw and processed full field Keller et al., Preliminary evaluation of the publicly available Laboratory for B 2015
L3	williams et al., Mammographic breast density decreases after bariatric surgery

[17] Rathore et al., Imaging pattern analysis reveals three distinct phenotypic subtypes of GBM with different survival rates, Neuro-Oncology, 2016 [18] Kamnitsas et al., Efficient Multi-Scale 3D CNN with Fully Connected CRF for Accurate Brain Lesion Segmentation, Medical Image Analysis, 2016



### FUNDING SUPPORT: NIH/NCI/ITCR U24CA189523

gioma; Multiple sclerosis

currence<sup>[16]</sup>; Distinct radiographic subtypes<sup>[17]</sup>

**It:** Vulnerability maps depicting brain connectivity, lead

### arenchymal Tissue Characterization

ediction tasks.

Treatment Response and Survival of Early-Stage Non-small Cell Lung Cancer al of Radiation Oncology, 2017 l digital mammography images, Medical Physics, 2012

reast Radiodensity Assessment (LIBRA) software tool, Breast Cancer Research

