Cx29 and Cx32, Two Connexins Expressed by Myelinating Glia, Do Not Interact and Are Functionally Distinct
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In rodents, oligodendrocytes and myelinating Schwann cells express connexin32 (Cx32) and Cx29, which have different localizations in the two cell types. We show here that, in contrast to Cx32, Cx29 does not form gap junction plaques or functional gap junctions in transfected cells. Furthermore, when expressed together, Cx29 and Cx32 are not colocalized and do not coimmunoprecipitate. To determine the structural basis of their divergent behavior, we generated a series of chimeric Cx32-Cx29 proteins by exchanging their intracellular loops and/or their C-terminal cytoplasmic tails. Although some chimeras reach the cell membrane, others appear to be largely localized intracellularly; none form gap junction plaques or functional gap junctions. Substituting the C-terminus of Cx29 with that of Cx32 does not disrupt the coimmunoprecipitation or the colocalization with Cx29, whereas substituting both the intracellular loop and the C-terminus of Cx29 with those of Cx32 diminishes the coimmunoprecipitation with Cx29. Conversely, the Cx29 chimera that contains the intracellular loop of Cx29 coimmunoprecipitates with Cx29, indicating that the intracellular loop participates in Cx29-Cx29 interactions. These data indicate that homomeric interactions of Cx29 and especially Cx32 largely require other domains: the N-terminus, transmembrane domains, and extracellular loops. Substituting the intracellular loop and/or tail of Cx32 with those of Cx29 appears to prevent Cx32 from forming functional gap junctions.
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Gap junctions (GJ) allow the intercellular passage of ions and small molecules up to about 1,000 Da and are thought to have diverse functions, including the propagation of electrical signals, metabolic cooperation, growth control, spatial buffering of ions, and cellular differentiation (Bruzzone et al., 1996). Six connexins oligomerize into a hemichannel (or connexon), and two hemichannels on apposing cell membranes form the channel; aggregates of tens to thousands of channels form a GJ plaque. Individual hemichannels can be composed of one (homomeric) or more (heteromeric) type of connexins. Similarly, channels may contain hemichannels with the same (homotypic) or different (heterotypic) connexins. Because more than 20 mammalian connexins have been described (Willecke et al., 2002; they are named according to their predicted molecular mass), there are potentially a large number of different kinds of hemichannels and especially channels.

In rodents, oligodendrocytes and myelinating Schwann cells express connexin32 (Cx32) and Cx29; oligodendrocytes also express Cx47 (Scherer et al., 1995; Altevogt et al., 2002; X. Li et al., 2002, 2004; Nagy et al., 2003a,b; Kleopa et al., 2004; Eiberger et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2006; J. Li et al., 2007). In myelinating Schwann cells, Cx32 is localized mainly to the noncompact myelin of paranodes and incisures. Cx29 is also
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localized to paranodes and incisures, but, unlike Cx32, Cx29 is expressed prominently on the adaxonal Schwann cell membrane (appeasing the axonal membrane), particularly in the juxtaparanodal region. In oligodendrocytes, Cx32 and Cx47 are localized to the cell somata and outer aspects of large myelin sheaths, likely forming heterotypic gap junctions with the astrocytic connexins, Cx30 and Cx47, respectively. In oligodendrocytes, Cx32 is also localized between the layers of the myelin sheath, but these Cx32-containing gap junctions are less conspicuous than those in myelinating Schwann cells. Cx29 is mainly localized to the adaxonal membrane of small myelin sheaths. Because gap junctions are not found between the adaxonal membrane and the axon, it has been speculated that Cx29 forms hemichannels on the adaxonal membrane of oligodendrocytes and myelinating Schwann cells (Kamasawa et al., 2005).

The colocalization of Cx29 and Cx32 in incisures and paranodes in Schwann cells raises the possibility that these connexins interact at these sites, but their lack of colocalization in the adaxonal membrane indicates that the two are targeted differently. We investigated these issues by generating chimeric proteins in which the intracellular loop and/or C-terminal cytoplasmic tail of mouse Cx29 and mouse Cx32 were swapped. We chose these two regions because they are the most divergent portions of connexins (Willecke et al., 2002). These chimeras were expressed with either mouse Cx29 or mouse Cx32 in gap junction-deficient HeLa cells. Cx32 and Cx29 did not physically associate with each other, and substituting the Cx29 tail or the Cx29 loop and tail domains into Cx32 did not affect the ability of these chimeras to associate with Cx32. In contrast, substituting the Cx32 loop into Cx29 diminished its interaction with Cx29 and substituting the Cx29 loop into Cx32 enabled it to interact with Cx29. Thus, the intracellular loop domain is an important regulator of Cx29 but not Cx32 homomeric assembly. In addition, none of the chimeric proteins, nor Cx29 itself, formed gap junction plaques or functional gap junctions. Thus, substituting the intracellular loop and/or tail of Cx32 with those of Cx29 appears to prevent Cx32 from forming functional gap junctions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of Cx29/Cx32 Chimerae

The open reading frames of mouse Cx29 and Cx32 were obtained by RT-PCR (Superscript II; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from mouse brain and liver RNA, respectively, using oligonucleotide primers and Platinum Pfx DNA polymerase. Cx32 inserts were isolated by double digestion with NheI and EcoRI; Cx29 inserts were double digested with NotI and EcoRV. Inserts were ligated into pIRESpuro3 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). Following the strategy of Rubin et al. (1992), we generated “megaprimers” of the intracellular loop (L32; amino acids 97–127) and tail (T32; amino acids 209–283) of Cx32, as well as the intracellular loop (L29; amino acids 97–130) and tail (T29; amino acids 211–258) of Cx29. In making each megaprimer, we used primer pairs that included an extra 18 bases corresponding to the amino acid sequence of the six adjacent amino acids of the connexin into which the megaprimer was to be incorporated. Using the QuickChange Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), the L32 and T32 megaprimers were added to Cx29 template to generate Cx29L32 and Cx29T32, respectively; the L29 and T29 megaprimers were added to Cx32 template to generate Cx32L29 and Cx32T29, respectively. The L29 megaprimer was added to Cx29T32 template to generate Cx29L32T32; the L29 megaprimer was added to Cx32T32 template to generate Cx32L29T29. The resulting PCR products were used to transform DH5α competent cells, a large-scale plasmid preparation was made from a single colony (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and the sequence was directly confirmed at the Cell Center at the University of Pennsylvania. The open reading frame of human Cx47 was similarly obtained by RT-PCR of human brain and cloned into pIRESpuro3 (Orthmann-Murphy et al., 2007).

Cell Culture and Transfections

Communication-incompetent HeLa cells (Elfgang et al., 1995) were obtained from Dr. Klaus Willecke. These cells do not show gap junctional intercellular communication by scrape loading or by microinjection of low-molecular-mass tracers (Elfgang et al., 1995), nor do they express Cx29 or Cx32 (see below). COS-7 cells and Neuro2A cells were obtained from the American Type Tissue Collection. Cells were grown in low-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented by 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics (100 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. For transient transfection, Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and either 1 μg or 4 μg of plasmid DNA for immunostaining or immunoblottings respectively, were incubated separately in OptiMEM (Gibco-BRL) for 5 min at room temperature (RT), then combined for another 20 min. When cells were approximately 80% confluent, they were washed with OptiMEM, then incubated with the combined Lipofectamine/DNA solution for 6 hr at 37°C. After 6 hr, the cells were washed once with HBSS (free of calcium and magnesium) and incubated for 3 days in media at 37°C. For 2–3 days, the cells were processed for immunostaining or immunoblotting.

To obtain stably expressing, bulk-selected cells, 1 mg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added 3 days after transiently transfecting HeLa cells. The cells were maintained in puromycin-supplemented medium, with medium changes every 3–4 days, until colonies with stable growth were obtained. The colonies were admixed after trypanosionization and expanded in puromycin for immunocytochemistry and functional testing. To obtain single clones of cells expressing Cx29, single colonies were picked from stably expressing cells grown at low density. Untransfected HeLa cells were also treated with puromycin and did not survive after 2 weeks.

Generation of Antisera Against the Cx32 Cytoplasmic Tail

The nucleotide sequence corresponding to amino acids 237–283 of the C-terminus of Cx32 was amplified by PCR
using human Cx32 as the template, primers (5’-cgggaatcc-ggctcttggcctgaa-3’ and 5’-cgggaatcc-ggctcttggcctgaa-3’), and the Pfu Turbo Polymerase Kit (Stratagene). The PCR product was ligated with the T4 Rapid Ligation Kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) into the pGEX-2TK vector (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden), which encodes a glutathione S-transferase moiety. The resulting construct was used to transform DH5α bacteria, a large-scale plasmid preparation was made from a single colony (Sigma-Aldrich) and analyzed at the Sequencing Core of the University of Pennsylvania. The construct was transformed into BL21 codon + RP Competent Cells (Stratagene); the GST-fusion protein was purified using glutathione-Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences), then sent to Covance Research Products (Denver, PA) to develop polyclonal antisera from two rabbits using Freund’s adjuvant, with five boosters at 3-week intervals. The preimmune and first, second, third, and terminal bleed were tested by immunostaining HeLa cells that were transiently transfected to express Cx32, and on unfixed teased fibers from WT mice and Gjb1/cx32 null mice. The preimmune bleed did not stain transfected cells or teased fibers from WT mice, whereas every post-immunization bleed immunostained a proportion of transfected cells, the incisures and paranodes of WT teased fibers, but not teased fibers from Gjb1/cx32 null mice (data not shown).

Immunocytochemistry

Parental and transiently transfected HeLa, COS-7, and Neuro2A cells, as well as bulk-selected or clonal lines of HeLa cells were grown on coverslips or in slide chambers (Fisher Scientific) until 60% confluent, washed in 1× PBS, fixed in acetone at −20°C for 10 min, blocked with 5% fish skin gelatin in 1× PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 hr at RT. The cells were labeled with various combinations of mouse monoclonal antibodies against Cx32 tail (7C6,C7, diluted 1:1; Deschênes et al., 1997), the Cx32 loop (Zymed; diluted 1:500), or “pan-cadherins” (Abcam, Cambridge, MA; diluted 1:500), a goat antiserum against Rab7 (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA; diluted 1:40), rabbit antisera connected to a G5 Mac computer, using the Openlab 2.2 software for deconvolution. Unless otherwise stated, the images were adjusted in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems), so that the entire dynamic range of pixel intensity was used. We confirmed that all of the Cx29 and Cx32 antibodies used in this study immunostained transfected but not untransfected cells as well as PNS and/or CNS myelin sheaths of WT but not of Cx29 and Gjb1/cx32 null mice, respectively.

For quantification of the pixel overlap of Rab7 and Cx32T29, Cx32L29T29, Cx29, or Cx32, we selected pairs of images from single planes obtained on a confocal microscope, converting each image into an eight-bit black-and-white format. With NIH Image J, we traced the contours of one or two contiguous cells using the polygon tool cursor, then set the threshold such that only the brightest pixels in the Rab7 channel (6.0–6.5% of the total pixels) and Cx29/Cx32 channel (12.0–12.5% of the total pixels) would be included in our analysis. The selected pixels that overlap were used to form a new image (using the “image calculator” function), and their number was determined (using the “particle analysis” function). We compared samples with the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon U-test, a nonparametric test for pairwise comparisons.

Scrape Loading

Bulk-selected cells expressing pIRESpuro3, Cx29, Cx32, or one of the Cx29/Cx32 chimeras were grown to confluence on 60-mm plates. The external medium was changed to HBSS (without calcium, magnesium, or phenol red) and 0.1% Lucifer yellow (Sigma-Aldrich) or 2% Neurobiotin (Vector), and a razor blade was used to inscribe many parallel lines on the dish. For Lucifer yellow, the cells were incubated in HBSS (with calcium and magnesium, but no phenol red) after 5 min, imaged using a Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-U microscope with both fluorescence and phase-contrast optics, and photographed with a RTKE Spot camera.

Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching

HeLa parental cells and cloned HeLa cells that stably express mouse Cx29 or human Cx47 were grown to confluence in slide chambers. Samples were incubated with fluorescent dye calcein-AM (2.5 μg/ml; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 30 min at RT and washed with PBS. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) and confocal fluorescence imaging was performed on an Olympus BX51 microscope with an attached Prairie confocal scan head (Prairie Technologies, Madison, WI). Ten initial images (5-sec interval between images) were obtained from a region of interest (ROI) to establish the baseline fluorescence intensity of a small group of cells, which was then photobleached using the full power 488-nm laser illumination with the confocal software. After photobleaching, images were continuously acquired every 10 sec for up to 1 hr to monitor fluorescence recovery. The fluorescence intensity of cells distant from the photobleached ROI was subtracted from that of the ROI to correct for photobleaching result from imaging the experiment after photobleaching, but this was negligible (less than 10% bleaching over 30 min). Fluorescence intensities of ROIs were analyzed in Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corp., West Chester, PA).

Immunoblotting, Biotinylation, and Coimmunoprecipitation

For immunoblotting, 35-mm plates of transiently transfected or bulk-selected HeLa cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s 1× PBS lacking calcium and magnesium. Cell were lysed by adding 150 μl of ice-cold RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris, 1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) to the samples, which were then sent to Covance Research Products (Denver, PA) to develop polyclonal antisera from two rabbits using Freund’s adjuvant, with five boosters at 3-week intervals. The preimmune bleed did not stain transfected cells or teased fibers from WT mice, whereas every post-immunization bleed immunostained a proportion of transfected cells, the incisures and paranodes of WT teased fibers, but not teased fibers from Gjb1/cx32 null mice (data not shown).
overnight at 4°C. The blots were blocked (5% blotting grade blocker Billerica, MA) for 75 min using a semidry transfer unit (Bio-Rad). The blots were transferred to an gel, then increased to 160 V for about 1 hr until the dye front reached the bottom of the gel. Blots were transferred to an Immobilon-polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA) for 75 min using a semidry transfer unit (Bio-Rad). The blots were blocked (5% blocking grade blocker block; 0.5 mg/ml biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL) on a shaking platform overnight at 4°C and incubated with various antibodies (diluted in blocking solution) for 24 hr at 4°C: a rabbit antiseraum against the C-terminal tail of Cx29 (Altevogt et al., 2002; 1:1,000), a commercially obtained rabbit antiseraum against Cx29 (Zymed; 1:10,000), a mouse monoclonal antibody against the cytoplasmic loop of Cx32 (Zymed; 1:500), or a mouse monoclonal antibody against the C-terminal tail of Cx32 (7C6.C7; Deschênes et al., 1997; 1:10). The blots were washed in blocking solution and incubated in peroxidase-coupled donkey antibody against rabbit or mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA; diluted 1:10,000) for 1 hr at RT. After washing in blocking solution and Tris-buffered saline containing 0.5% Tween-20, the blots were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Cell membrane proteins were biotinylated following the protocol of VanSlyke et al. (2000). Plates (35 mm) of transiently transfected HeLa cells were grown to ~80% confluence at 37°C, placed on a metal plate on ice, incubated with chilled PBS+ (PBS plus 1 mM MgCl2 and 0.5 mM CaCl2) for 10 min, rinsed three times with PBS+, and incubated with 0.5 mg/ml biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL) on a shaking platform for 30 min at 4°C. The biotinylation medium was removed, and the cells were rinsed two times with PBS+ and 100 mM glycine (pH 7.6), rinsed with PBS+, rinsed twice with PBS+ plus protease inhibitors (PMSF, pepstatin A, leupeptin, and aprotinin, and incubated in lysis buffer (5 mM Tris/5 mM EDTA/5 mM EGTA, pH 8, plus 0.6% SDS, 15 mM glycine) and protease inhibitors. Cells were removed with a rubber cell scraper, collected with a 1-ml syringe with 22-gauge needle, placed in a microfuge tube, and incubated at RT for 30 min. Cell lysates were passed through a 26-gauge needle three times and diluted with 2.5 volumes of IP dilution buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 20 mM sodium borate, 0.02% NaN3, 15 mM EDTA, 15 mM EGTA, pH 8.2), so the final ratio of Triton X-100:SDS = 5:1. Tubes were spun at 13,000 rpm in RT for 10 min; the supernatant was transferred to a new microfuge tube; 50 μl of a 50% streptavidin agarose slurry was added to each tube and rotated overnight at 4°C. The next day, tubes were spun for 2 min at 13,000 rpm at RT; the supernatant was removed and saved (the “unbound fraction”). The streptavidin beads were washed four times with 1 ml IP buffer plus 0.5% Triton X-100:0.1% SDS, vortexed gently, and spun for 2 min at 13,000 rpm in RT. After the fourth wash, the beads were washed with 0.5 ml IP buffer + 0.05% Triton X-100:0.1% SDS, and the beads for two plates were combined. After a 2-min spin at 13,000 rpm at RT, the supernatant was removed, the beads were spun for another 2 min at 13,000 rpm at RT, and the remaining wash buffer was removed from the beads with a 30-gauge needle attached to a 1-cc syringe. Biotinylated proteins were eluted out from the beads by adding loading buffer with 2% β-mercaptoethanol and incubated at 37°C for 5 min (the “bound fraction”). All of the bound fractions and 25 μl of the unbound fractions were run on 10% PAGE-SDS gels and transferred to membranes, which were hybridized with either a rabbit antiseraum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T; Zymed) or a mouse monoclonal antibody against the C-terminus of Cx32 (Cx32T; 7C6.C7). For coimmunoprecipitations, 35-mm plates of transiently cotransfected HeLa cells were grown to ~80% confluence at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with PBS and placed on cold metal plates. Cells were lysed by adding 500 μl ice-cold RIPA buffer to each plate, incubated for 15 min on ice, removed with a cell scraper, and transferred to a microfuge tube. Lysates were spun at 14,000 rpm, supernatants were collected, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors was added. Rabbit antiseraum (15 μg) against the C-terminus of mCx29 (Zymed) was added to each sample incubated on a rocking platform at 4°C. Protein G agarose (Invitrogen) prewashed with RIPA buffer was added, and the supernatant/antibody/bead mixtures were placed on a rotator overnight at 4°C. On the next day, supernatant/antibody/bead mixtures were spun for 1 min at high speed and the beads were washed three times with RIPA buffer, then the proteins were eluted by incubating with 40 μl loading buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol for 30 min at RT and immunoblotted as described above with a mouse monoclonal antibody against the C-terminus of Cx32 (7C6.C7, diluted 1:10). Membranes were stripped by incubating in stripping buffer (100 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, and 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8) for 1 hr in 55°C, then rebotted with a rabbit antiseraum against the C-terminal tail of Cx29 (Zymed; 1:1,000).

Recording From Transfected Mammalian Cell Lines

To identify transfected cells with fluorescence microscopy, Cx29, Cx32T29, and Cx32L29T29 were subcloned into pIRE-EGFP2 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA); Cx32 was subcloned into pIRE-dsRed monomer, which was produced by replacing the coding sequencing of EGFP with that of dsRed monomer. Neuro2A cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Gibco Invitrogen) as described above, except that Lipofectamine 2000/DNA complexes were added to antibiotic-free media rather than Optimem. Dual whole-cell voltage clamping and analysis was performed as previously described (Abrams et al., 2003). Recording solutions were as follows: pipette solution, 145 mM CsCl, 5 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2; bath solution, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM CaCl2, 5 mM dextrose, 2 mM pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES, pH
7.4. Junctional conductances were determined from isolated pairs by measuring instantaneous junctional current responses to junctional voltage pulses from 0 to \(\pm 40\) or \(\pm 100\) mV and applying Ohm’s law. Cytoplasmic bridges were excluded by demonstrating the sensitivity of the junctional conductances to application of bath solution containing 2 mM octanol. Values are presented as mean \(\pm\) SEM. Levels of coupling were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test.

RESULTS

Cx29 Is Localized to the Cell Membrane but Does Not Form Functional Gap Junctions

To determine the cellular localization of mouse Cx29 (mCx29), we transiently transfected gap junction-deficient HeLa cells and immunostained them with an antiserum against the cytoplasmic C-terminus of Cx29 (Altevogt et al., 2002). About 50\% of cells were Cx29 positive (Fig. 1A), whereas cells transfected with an “empty” expression plasmid or parental cells were not labeled (data not shown). There was Cx29 immunoreactivity throughout the cell membrane, including cellular processes, as shown directly by double staining cells for Cx29 and a “pan-cadherin” monoclonal antibody (Suppl. Fig. 1A). Although many cells showed continuous staining along apposed cell membranes for both Cx29 and cadherin, we did not find discrete puncta of Cx29 immunoreactivity at apposed cell borders, which are traditionally considered to be gap junction plaques. In contrast, transiently transfected cells that express Cx32 (Fig. 1E, Suppl. Fig. 1E), Cx26, Cx30, Cx43, or Cx47 (Orthmann-Murphy et al., 2007; Yum et al., 2007; and data not shown), had gap junction plaques on apposed cell membranes. We repeated these experiments more than 10 times and used at least two different antisera against Cx29 and Cx32, with similar results. Cx29 was similarly localized in bulk-selected HeLa cells that stably expressed Cx29 and did not appear to form gap junction plaques (Suppl. Fig. 2). We confirmed the localization of Cx29 and Cx32 in transfected COS-7 and Neuro2A cells (data not shown).

These data suggested that Cx29 does not form gap plaques, in accordance with the previously described lack of junctional conductance between transfected cells that express Cx29 (Altevogt et al., 2002). To confirm this, we “scrape loaded” confluent monolayers of cloned HeLa cells that stably expressed Cx29. In this assay (El-Fouly et al., 1987), cells are wounded with a razor or scalpel blade in the presence of Lucifer yellow (LY; 443 Da, \(-2\) charge), which permeates homotypic Cx32 channels (Elfgang et al., 1995). LY did not spread beyond the wounded parental cells (not shown) or cells that stably express Cx29 (Fig. 2A), whereas LY spread several cell diameters in HeLa cells stably expressing Cx32 (Fig. 2E) or Cx47 (Orthmann-Murphy et al., 2007). This experiment was repeated three times, with similar results.

To demonstrate more directly that Cx29-expressing cells are not coupled by gap junctions, we performed FRAP. Confluent cultures of parental cells as well as cloned HeLa cells that stably expressed Cx29 or human Cx47 were incubated in calcein AM ester, which diffuses into cells and then is cleaved to release calcein, a fluorescent cytoplasmic dye (623 Da, \(-4\)). The fluorescence was measured in a small group of cells, which were then photobleached, and the measurements were repeated every 10 sec for 30 min (Fig. 3). Cells stably expressing Cx47 showed 50\% recovery after about 5 min, whereas parental cells and cells stably expressing Cx29 recovered at a similar and much slower rate, to less than 10\% of the original level even after 30 min. These data, taken together, confirm that Cx29 does not form functional intercellular channels.

Domain Swaps Between Cx29 and Cx32

To investigate why Cx29 does not form gap junction plaques, we generated a series of chimeric Cx29/Cx32 proteins, depicted in Figure 1, by exchanging the intracellular loops and/or the intracellular C-terminal tails, the most divergent parts of connexins (Willecke et al., 2002). Immunoblots of cell lysates from transiently transfected cells demonstrated that each construct was expressed, although the amounts of Cx32L\(\text{29}\) and Cx32L\(\text{29T29}\) were consistently less than those of the other constructs in four separate experiments, one of which is shown in Figure 4.

To examine the localization of these chimerae, cells were immunostained with well-characterized antibodies against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T), the intracellular loop of Cx32 (Cx32L), and/or the C-terminus of Cx32 (Cx32T); examples are shown in Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure 1. Certain chimerae could be immunostained with a mouse monoclonal and a rabbit antiserum against two different regions; in every case, both antibodies gave the identical pattern of staining (Suppl. Fig. 3). We performed this analysis in at least 10 separate experiments on transiently transfected cells and also on bulk-selected cells that stably expressed these constructs (data not shown), with similar results. Similar to cells expressing Cx29, cells expressing Cx29L\(\text{32}\), Cx29T\(\text{32}\), or Cx29L\(\text{32T32}\) had diffuse membrane staining of the chimeric proteins and no gap junction plaques; this can best be seen by comparing their localization with that of cadherins (Suppl. Fig. 1).

In contrast to chimerae with a Cx29 backbone, those with a Cx32 backbone had little cell surface expression. Cx32L\(\text{29}\) had a diffuse, intracellular pattern of staining (Fig. 1F, Suppl. Fig. 1F), appearing similar to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER); this was confirmed by double-labeling with the ER protein GRP94 (Suppl. Fig. 4). Cx32T\(\text{29}\) and Cx32L\(\text{29T29}\), like Cx32 itself, were prominently localized to intracellular puncta (Fig. 1, Suppl. Figs. 1, 3). For Cx32T\(\text{29}\), Cx32L\(\text{29T29}\), and Cx29, we confirmed that at least some of the intracellular puncta were in endosomes, by double labeling with the rabbit antiserum against Cx29T and a goat antiserum against the late endosomal marker Rab7 (Suppl. Fig. 5). A quantitative analysis of pixel overlap demonstrated that
Fig. 1. Localization of Cx29, Cx32, and Cx29/Cx32 chimeras. The upper panels depict the structures of mouse Cx29, mouse Cx32, and the Cx29/Cx32 chimeras in which the cytoplasmic loop (L) and/or C-terminus (T) were exchanged. A–H are deconvolved images of transiently transfected HeLa cells that express the indicated construct, immunostained with a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (RbαCx29T; Altevogt et al., 2002) or Cx32 (RbαCx32T; Chemicon) or monoclonal antibodies against the intracellular loop (MαCx32L; Zymed) or C-terminus (MεCx32T; 7C6.C7) of Cx32 as indicated, and counterstained with DAPI (blue). Cx29 (A), Cx29L32 (B), Cx29T32 (C), and Cx29L32T32 (D) are localized to the cell membrane, Cx32 (E) is localized to gap junction plaques (arrowheads) between apposed cell membranes; Cx32L29 (F) shows diffuse intracellular staining, and Cx32T29 (G) and Cx32L29T29 (H) are found mostly in intracellular puncta. Scale bar = 10 μm.
a greater proportion of Cx32T\textsuperscript{29}, Cx32L\textsuperscript{29}T\textsuperscript{29}, and Cx32 immunoreactivity was colocalized with Rab7 than for cells expressing Cx29 (Table I).

To corroborate the apparent cell surface expression, we biotinylated cell membrane proteins of transiently transfected cells with a membrane-impermeant reagent. The biotinylated proteins were isolated using an avidin column, and the “bound” proteins were compared with the “unbound” proteins by immunoblotting as shown in Figure 5. There was a clear signal in the avidin-bound samples (and unbound samples) from cells expressing Cx29, Cx32, Cx29L\textsuperscript{32}, Cx29T\textsuperscript{32}, and Cx29L\textsuperscript{32}T\textsuperscript{32} or Cx32T\textsuperscript{29}. As in the immunoblot (Fig. 4), the signals in the bound and unbound fractions for Cx32L\textsuperscript{29}T\textsuperscript{29} were much weaker. No signal was detected for Cx32L\textsuperscript{29}, consistent with the finding that this chimera is localized in the ER and hence not accessible to the biotinylation reagents. Among the proteins that reach the cell membrane, Cx32 had the lowest bound/unbound ratio, perhaps because gap junction plaques were less accessible to the biotinylation reagent. Reprobing the blot for actin demonstrated that intracellular proteins did not contaminate the avidin-bound fraction. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. These data indicate that all of the chimerae except for Cx32L\textsuperscript{29} appear to reach the cell membrane after transient transfection.

Because the ability to form functional gap junctions is thought to depend on the compatibility of the extracellular loops of apposed connexin molecules, it was surprising that the Cx32L\textsuperscript{29}, Cx32T\textsuperscript{29}, and Cx32L\textsuperscript{29}T\textsuperscript{29} chimerae failed to form gap junction plaques. To investigate this issue further, we utilized dual whole-cell patch clamp recordings to study the ability of the these chimerae to form channel both in the homotypic configu-

Fig. 2. A–H: Cx29 or Cx29/Cx32 chimerae do not form functional gap junctions. These are digital images of scrape-loaded, confluent plates of bulk-selected HeLa cells that stably express the indicated constructs. The cells were incubated in 1% LY and imaged 5 min after injury with fluorescence optics to visualize LY. In cells expressing Cx32, LY diffuses into adjacent cells, whereas, in cells expressing Cx29 or one of the chimeric constructs, LY only labeled injured cells. Scale bar = 20 μm.
ration and when paired heterotypically with wild-type (WT) mouse Cx32. In contrast to homotypic WT Cx32 controls, none of the cell pairs was electrically coupled above the levels observed in untransfected pairs of Neuro2A (Table II).

**Cx32 and Cx29 Do Not Directly Interact**

To evaluate further the possibility that Cx32 and Cx29 directly interact, we performed coimmunoprecipitations of transiently transfected HeLa cells. In pilot experiments, immunoprecipitation with a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T; Zymed) or C-terminus (Cx32T; 7C6.C7) of Cx32, or a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T; Zymed) followed by immunoblotting with mouse monoclonal antibodies against mouse or rabbit IgG developed with ECL. Although the intensities of the bands vary for each construct, Cx32L29 (lane 5) and Cx32L29T29 (lane 3) being the lightest, monomers (arrowheads) of the appropriate size are detected with the appropriate antibodies; the higher molecular mass bands in some lanes are dimers (double arrowheads). The asterisk marks a spurious spot in the Cx32L29 sample (lane 7). The image of the Coomassie-stained gel is shown to document equal loading of the samples.
TABLE I. Pixel Overlap of Rab7 and Cx32L29T29, Cx32T29, Cx32, or Cx29*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connexin</th>
<th>Percentage overlap of Rab7 pixels with Cx1</th>
<th>Percentage overlap of Rab7 pixels with Cx2</th>
<th>Percentage overlap of total pixels</th>
<th>Significance vs. Cx29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cx32</td>
<td>29 (20.8 ± 6.27)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 13.5</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx32T29</td>
<td>18 (24.9 ± 8.76)</td>
<td>48.4 ± 16.9</td>
<td>3.05 ± 1.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx32T29</td>
<td>23 (25.9 ± 7.11)</td>
<td>46.8 ± 17.8</td>
<td>2.94 ± 1.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx29</td>
<td>25 (13.3 ± 4.21)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 6.94</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Transiently transfected cells expressing Cx32L29T29, Cx32T29, Cx32, or Cx29 were immunostained for Rab7 and Cx29 (Cx32L29T29, Cx32T29, Cx32, or Cx29) and imaged by confocal microscopy. For each cell, the brightest pixels in the Rab7 channel (6.0–6.5% of the total pixels) and Cx29/Cx32 channel (12.0–12.5% of the total pixels) were selected and used to determine the percentage overlap of selected pixels. For all comparisons, the pixel overlap was significantly higher between Rab7 and Cx29/Cx32 in cells expressing Cx32L29T29, Cx32T29, or Cx32 than for cells expressing Cx29 (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon pairwise test).

The percentage of selected Rab7 pixels that overlap with the selected Cx pixels.

The percentage of selected Rab7 pixels that overlap with the selected Cx pixels.

The percentage of cell area that is occupied by overlapping Rab7 and Cx pixels.

expected by chance, the two connexins were not strongly colocalized. In contrast, Cx32 and Cx30 were completely colocalized in cotransfected cells (Yum et al., 2007). These data indicate that Cx32 and Cx29 do not directly interact, at least in transfected cells.

The Intracellular Loop of Cx29 Facilitates Homomeric Interactions

We also used coimmunoprecipitations to determine which domains of Cx29 and Cx32 facilitate homomeric interactions (between Cx29 and Cx32 or Cx29 and Cx32). As described above, cells were cotransfected, and lysates were immunoprecipitated with the rabbit antisemurum against Cx29T, followed by immunoblotting with the monoclonal antibody against Cx32T. With this strategy, we could evaluate three of six possible combinations for Cx29 (Cx29 and Cx29T32, Cx29L32T32, or Cx32L29 but not Cx29L32, Cx32T29, or Cx32L29T29) and three of six possible combinations for Cx32 (Cx32 and Cx29L32, Cx32T29, Cx32L29T29 but not Cx29T32, Cx29L32T32, or Cx32L32). This experiment was repeated four times with similar results, one of which is shown in Figure 6. Cx32T29 (lane 6) and Cx32L29T29 (lane 9) coimmunoprecipitated Cx32, indicating that homomeric interactions of Cx32 do not require either the Cx32 loop or the Cx32 tail. Cx29L32 did not coimmunoprecipitate Cx32 (lane 18), indicating that the Cx32 loop was not sufficient for homomeric interactions with WT Cx32. In contrast, Cx29 coimmunoprecipitated Cx29T32 (lane 12) much more robustly than Cx29L32T32 (lane 15), and even coimmunoprecipitated Cx32L29 (lane 21), indicating that the Cx29 loop is sufficient for homomeric interactions. Thus, for Cx32, homomeric interactions mostly involve regions other than the intracellular loop or the C-terminus–N-terminus, transmembrane domains, and extracellular loops. For Cx29, the loop domain is sufficient for homomeric interactions, although other domains likely participate.

We also immunostained cells that were transfected with the same combinations of constructs used in the coimmunoprecipitation analysis. Cx29 and Cx29T32 (Fig. 7B) as well as Cx29 and Cx29L32T32 (Fig. 7C) were colocalized throughout the cell membrane. Cx32 and Cx29T29 (Fig. 7E) as well as Cx32 and Cx32L29T29 (Fig. 7F) were colocalized in intracellular puncta, but not in gap junction plaques, indicating that Cx32 did not mislocalize Cx29T29 but Cx32L29T29 to gap junction plaques. Similarly, Cx29 and Cx32L29 may be partially colocalized in the ER but not in the cell membrane, indicating that Cx29 does not mislocalize Cx29L29 to the cell membrane. Cx32 and Cx29L32 did not appear to be colocalized (Fig. 7G). These experiments were performed three times, with similar results. Thus, with the possible exception of Cx29 and Cx32L29, pairs of connexins that were coimmunoprecipitated also appeared to be colocalized, but this did not enable chimeric to form gap junction plaques (Cx29T29 or Cx32L29T29) or even to reach the cell membrane (Cx32L29).

DISCUSSION

Cx29 Does Not Form Functional Gap Junctions

Our findings that Cx29 is efficiently incorporated into the cell membranes of transfected cells but does not form gap junction plaques or functional gap junctions that pass LY or calcein extend our earlier result showing that cells expressing Cx29 do not form functional channels with cells expressing either Cx29 or Cx32 (Altevogt et al., 2002). In that paper, we also reported that cells coexpressing Cx29 and Cx32, however, could form channels with cells coexpressing Cx29 and Cx32 or with cells expressing Cx32 alone. We inferred that these channels were not homotypic Cx32 channels because the whole-cell conductances were asymmetric, and the single-channel conductances were prolonged and had novel subunits compared with cells expressing Cx29 alone. The new data showing that Cx29 and Cx32 are not colocalized or coimmunoprecipitated indicate that they do not interact directly. It is difficult to reconcile these two sets of findings; one possibility is that the ele-
trophysiological assay in our prior study is more sensitive than the immunological assays used here.

Unlike Cx29, most connexins can form functional homotypic channels, including Cx30.2, which can also form hemichannels (Kreuzberg et al., 2005; Bukauskas et al., 2006). Cx29 also differs from Cx30.3 and Cx33, which do not efficiently traffic to the cell membrane or form functional gap junctions when expressed alone by transfection but can interact with other connexins. Cx30.3 forms heteromeric functional gap junctions

Fig. 5. Cx29, Cx32, and some Cx29/Cx32 chimerae reach the cell membrane. HeLa cells were transiently transfected with the indicated constructs, then biotinylated, washed, and lysed. The lysates were incubated with avidin beads, and the avidin-bound fractions and 25 μl of unbound fractions were separated on SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to two membranes, which were hybridized with either a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T; Zymed) or a mouse monoclonal antibody against the C-terminus of Cx32 (Cx32T; Cx32T). The membranes were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated donkey antibodies against rabbit or mouse IgG and developed with ECL. A: Two exposure times are shown; monomer (arrowhead), dimers (double arrowhead), and trimers (triple arrowheads) are seen in the bound (and unbound) fraction from biotinylated cells that express Cx29 (lane 9), Cx32 (lane 18), Cx29L32 (lane 7), Cx29T32 (lane 16), and Cx29L29T12 (lane 14). Weaker signal is detected in the bound and unbound fractions for Cx32T29 (lane 5) and Cx32L29T29 (lane 3), and no signal is detected for Cx32L29 (lane 12). B: The membranes were stripped and reprobed with a rabbit antiserum against actin. Note that all unbound fractions contain a more robust actin signal (arrows) than do their corresponding bound samples, demonstrating that intracellular proteins were not biotinylated. The other bands in the left image result from the incompletely stripping of the rabbit antiserum against Cx29 C-terminus. The images of the Coomassie-stained gels document the loading of the samples (C).
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when coexpressed with Cx31, as shown by their colocalization and coimmunoprecipitation (Plantard et al., 2003); these two connexins likely form heteromeric Cx30.3/Cx31 channels in keratinocytes (Richard et al., 2003). In transfected cells, Cx33 inhibits Cx43 from forming gap junction plaques and functional gap junctions (Chang et al., 1996; Fiorini et al., 2004), but perhaps not in vivo (Tan et al., 1996).

### Cx29/Cx32 Chimerae Do Not Form Functional Channels

We investigated swapped domains of Cx29 and Cx32, thereby potentially illuminating the molecular basis of their divergent behaviors. Such domain swaps have been performed many times, mostly to illuminate the structural basis of the biophysical differences between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II. Dual Whole-Cell Patch Clamp Recordings*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT 32/WT 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (nS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Neuro2A cells were transiently transfected to express the indicated construct and paired as shown, and gap junction coupling was measured (in nS). Cx32L<sup>29</sup>, Cx32T<sup>29</sup>, and Cx32L<sup>29</sup>T<sup>29</sup> chimerae did not form functional gap junctions when paired either homotypically (Cx32L<sup>29</sup>/Cx32L<sup>29</sup>, Cx32T<sup>29</sup>/Cx32T<sup>29</sup>, Cx32L<sup>29</sup>T<sup>29</sup>/Cx32L<sup>29</sup>T<sup>29</sup>) or heterotypically (with WT Cx32) above the levels observed in untransfected pairs of Neuro2A cells (which express rare endogenous channels (Orthmann-Murphy et al. 2007). The coupling in each of these cell pairs was significantly lower than that of WT Cx32/WT Cx32 homotypic pairs, which were well coupled.

**Fig. 6.** Coimmunoprecipitation of Cx29, Cx32, and selected chimerae. Cells cotransfected with the indicated constructs and lysates were immunoprecipitated with a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Zymed), the immunoprecipitates were separated on three gels, transferred to membranes, which were hybridized together with a mouse monoclonal antibody against the C-terminus of Cx32 (Cx32T; 7C6.C7) and developed with ECL. The blots were rehybridized together with an antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T; Zymed) and developed with ECL. In each row, the film was exposed for the same amount of time, except for top right panel, which was exposed longer (3 min). Note that Cx32 is not coimmunoprecipitated with Cx29 (lane 3); that replacing the C-terminus (Cx32T<sup>29</sup>; lane 6) or even the C-terminus and the intracellular loop of Cx32 (Cx32L<sup>29</sup>T<sup>29</sup>; lane 9) with those of Cx29 does not prevent the coimmunoprecipitation with Cx32; that replacing the C-terminus and the intracellular loop of Cx29 (Cx29L<sup>32</sup>T<sup>32</sup>; lane 15) with those of Cx32 diminished the coimmunoprecipitation, with Cx29 more than replacing the C-terminus alone (Cx29T<sup>32</sup>; lane 12). Furthermore, replacing the intracellular loop of Cx32 with that of Cx29 (Cx32L<sup>29</sup>T<sup>29</sup>) promotes an interaction with Cx29 (lane 21), whereas replacing the intracellular loop of Cx29 with that of Cx32 (Cx29L<sup>32</sup>T<sup>32</sup>) does not promote an interaction with Cx32 (lane 18). The arrow marks a spurious band that is present in all samples in the lower panels. The single, double, and triple arrowheads indicate the positions of connexin monomers, dimers, and multimers, respectively.
connexin channels and hemichannels (Rubin et al., 1992; Haubrich et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1996; Trexler et al., 2000; Maza et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2006); there have been few reports on hetero-
meric or heterotypic compatibility. White et al. (1995) made a mouse Cx32/Cx43 chimera starting at the be-
ginning of the intracellular loop, and found that this chi-
meca could form channels with other connexins in
Cx43-like manner, thus implicating the second extracel-
lular loop as the prime mediator of heterotypic (con-
nexon-connexon) compatibility. The importance of the
extracellular loops in determining the heterotypic com-
patibility between Cx40 and Cx43 was confirmed by
Haubrich et al. (1996), who found that the cytoplasmic
loop and the C-terminus may contribute, too. Manthey
et al. (2001) exchanged the intracellular loop and/or the
C-termini of mouse Cx26 and Cx30, which are the
most closely related connexins, but differ in that inter-
cellular channels composed of Cx30 do not pass LY in
transfected cells (cf. Beltramello et al., 2003). They
ascribed this difference to the combined actions of the
loop and C-terminus, because Cx30L26-T26, but neither
Cx30L-T nor Cx30T26, showed transfer of LY. Con-
versely, Cx26L30 and Cx26T30 appeared permeable to
LY, but Cx26L30-T30 did not. These findings are in ac-
cordance with the idea that interactions between the in-
tercellular loop and the C-terminus are important deter-
minants of channel function (Delmar et al., 2000, 2004).

Our results depart from these earlier studies. First,
altered trafficking of chimerae was not reported,
although some studies relied on expression in Xenopus
oocytes (Rubin et al., 1992; White et al., 1995), which
may be more permissive than mammalian cells for allow-
ing altered proteins to the cell membrane (Oh et al.,
1997; Yum et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004). In our stud-
ies, replacing the intracellular loop domain of Cx32 with
that of Cx29 (Cx32L29) resulted in localization in the
ER, indicating that Cx32L29 did not attain a properly
folded conformation required for export from the ER
(Leube, 1995; VanSlyke et al., 2000; Kleopa et al.,
2002). Second, homomeric interactions of Cx29 also
appeared to involve the intracellular loop, which has not
been previously reported for other connexins. Third,
even though Cx32T29 and Cx32L30-T29 have the extrac-
cellular loops of Cx32, they do not form gap junction-
like plaques, indicating that the extracellular loops (E2 in

Fig. 7. Localization of Cx29, Cx32, and various chimerae in trans-
fected cells. These are images of HeLa cells that were transiently
transfected to coexpress the indicated constructs and immunostained
with a rabbit antiserum against the C-terminus of Cx29 (Cx29T;
Altevogt et al. 2002) and a monoclonal antibody against the C-termi-
inus of Cx32 (Cx32T; 7C6.C7). Note that Cx32 forms gap junction
plaques (arrowheads), but neither Cx32 and Cx29 (A) nor Cx32 and
Cx29-L32 (G) appear to be colocalized; that Cx29 and Cx29T29 (B)
as well as Cx29 and Cx29-L32-L32 (G) are colocalized throughout the
cell membrane; that the Cx29 that is localized to the cell membrane
does not “mislocalize” Cx32L29, which is localized in the ER (D);
and that Cx32 and Cx32T29 (E) as well as Cx32 and Cx32L29-T29
(F) are colocalized in intracellular puncta. Scale bar = 10 μm.
particular) are not solely responsible for connexon–connexon interactions (White et al., 1995; Haubrich et al., 1996; Martin and Evans, 2004). These chimerae were expressed at the cell surface to a limited degree, but accumulated in endosomes, indicating that they were retrieved from the cell membrane and ultimately degraded by lysosomes (Laing et al., 1997; VanSlyke et al., 2000; Kleopa et al., 2002).

The suggestion that the C-terminus is required to form homotypic channels is contradicted by the prior finding that deleting most of the C-terminus does not prevent Cx32 from forming functional channels. Whereas the Tyr211stop and Cys217stop mutants do not reach the cell membrane, the Arg220stop mutant and most missense mutations affecting the C-terminus reach the cell membrane and form functional gap junctions, although most have abnormal biophysical properties (Castro et al., 1999; Abrams et al., 2000; Kleopa et al., 2002; Yum et al., 2002). Thus, substituting the intracellular loop and/or tail of Cx32 with those of Cx29 appears to prevent Cx32 from forming functional gap junctions.

**Differential Targeting of Connexins**

Understanding the molecular basis of the differences between Cx29 and Cx32 may elucidate the pathogenesis of demyelination in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, a common hereditary neuropathy caused by mutations in **GJB1**, the gene that encodes Cx32 (Kleopa and Scherer, 2006). Cx29 does not appear to “rescue” the phenotype of α32 null mice, even though both are coexpressed in myelinating glia, perhaps because Cx32, but not Cx29, can form functional gap junctions. Our finding that Cx29 and Cx32 do not appear to interact directly in vitro is consistent with their distinct localizations in PNS and CNS myelin sheaths. Cx29 (but not Cx32) is localized on the adaxonal membrane of myelinating Schwann cells and oligodendrocytes and does not appear to form gap junctions with the apposed axons but could form hemichannels (Goodenough and Paul, 2003). Furthermore, their localizations do not depend on each other, because Cx29 is normally localized in α32 null mice (Altevogt et al., 2002; Nagy et al., 2003b) and Cx32 is normally localized in α29 null mice (D. Paul, unpublished observations).

How Cx29 and Cx32 become localized in distinct aspects of cell membranes is unknown. For connexins that have a C-terminal PDZ binding domain (like Cx43 and Cx47), interaction with ZO-1 may mediate their localization (Giepmans and Moolenaar, 1998; Li et al., 2004; Hunter et al., 2005). Neither Cx29 nor Cx32, however, has a consensus PDZ binding domain. The distal C-terminus of Cx32 has a prenylation motif (CaX), but this does not appear to be essential for its localization in myelinating Schwann cells (Huang et al., 2005). Perhaps interactions between Cx32 and other molecules such asDlg1 (Duffy et al., 2007) mediate its localization. By using transgenic expression of the constructs that we have generated here, it should be possible to examine the localization of various chimerae in Cx29- and/or Cx32-deficient myelin sheaths, to determine whether the intracellular loop and/or C-terminus modifies their localization (Huang et al., 2005; Bone Jeng et al., 2006).
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