

# CEP Prioritization Grid

Total	Prioritization	System Wide Initiative	Assigned Sponsor	Quality Scope	Risk	Utility
0 to 3	Pend	0- No relation/Personal Interest	0- No Sponsor Assigned	0- No description of the current state problem	0- No risk	0- Not needed/Not Feasible
4 to 7	Low Priority	1- Local to Single Entity/Specialty	1- Mentor, Manager	1- Identified quality gap	1- At risk	1- Urgent timeline with no clear implications from CEP
8 to 11	Moderate Priority	2- Potential for Spread to Multi Entity/Specialty	2- SL/Physician Director, Director of Operations, etc.	2- Identification of quality gap and the problem	2- Cited by Regulatory	2- Non urgent with CEP decision implications needed
12+	High Priority	3- System Wide Initiative	3- C Suite Executive	3- Identification of quality gap and the problem, with a population centered outcome	3- Result of a Safety net, RCA or any high harm safety event	3- Urgent timeline with CEP decision implications needed

Assignment of requests is also based on **date received** and is **dependent on the availability of human resources**

For questions, email [CEP@pennmedicine.upenn.edu](mailto:CEP@pennmedicine.upenn.edu)