Why We Need to Keep Costs Down for Cell Therapies

Ezekiel J. Emanuel, MD, PhD University of Pennyslvania



POLL

What is the median U.S. family income?

- \$48,000
- \$58,000
- \$68,000
- **•** \$78,000
- \$88,000

POLL

Premiums for average family health insurance plans make up what percent of the median family income?

- 5%
- 10%
- 15%
- 25%
- 35%

Affordability of Health Insurance

Median family income 2019	\$88,149
Average family health insurance premium—2019	\$20,576
Average worker contribution for family health insurance	\$6,015
Percentage of income that goes to health insurance premiums	23.3%
Percentage of income for worker's contribution	7.0%



Rectifying Unduly High Prices For Therapies

• Drugs are a large contributing factor to high premiums, considering they account for 17% of national health care expenditures. Over 20% of health care premiums.

- For cell therapies, there are at least two steps we can take to rectify high prices:
 - Determine fair pricing for cell therapies
 - Reduce prices for marginally effective therapies and those approved through accelerated approval



Fair Pricing



New CAR-T therapy from Bristol, Bluebird effective but too costly, ICER finds





ICER Review

Abecma list price: \$419,500 for one treatment

- ITT was 63% and median progression free survival 8.6 months.
- OS was 19.4 months compared to 9.9 months.
- 1.25 year QALY improvement
- 51% grade 2+ cytokine release syndrome

Cost-effective ratio: \$319,000 per QALY gained



ICER Review

ICER recommendation:

Price cut of over 50% to bring down to \$100,000 per QALY threshold

\$100,000 per QALY	\$150,000 per QALY		
\$192,000	\$245,000		



Table 4.8. QALY-Based Threshold Analysis Results

	WAC per Unit	Net Price per Unit	Unit Price to Achieve \$50,000 per QALY	Unit Price to Achieve \$100,000 per QALY	Unit Price to Achieve \$150,000 per QALY	Unit Price to Achieve \$200,000 per QALY
Ide-Cel	\$419,500	N/A	\$140,000	\$192,000	\$245,000	\$295,000
Cilta-cel (preliminary)*	N/A	N/A	\$208,000	\$317,000	\$427,000	\$537,000
Belantamab	\$8,277		\$7,300	\$8,300	\$9,300	\$10,400

N/A: not available, evLYG: equal-value life years gained, QALY: quality-adjusted life years gained, WAC: wholesale acquisition cost.



^{*}Using placeholder price for cilta-cel

When Is a Drug Price Unfair

- CAR-T cannot be expensive just to be expensive. The price must reflect value to patients and society.
 - Current multiple myeloma cell therapy is not there yet

• If this therapy is given to all ~150,000 Americans with MM, this therapy would cost \$63 billion.

• For 0.05% of the population we would pay more than 10% of all drug spending or 0.33% of GDP.



Complete Life Principle

 Benefits and costs should be considered over a whole life, not just a narrow time frame

 "What is the cumulative lifetime cost of a drug or therapy?" vs "What is the cost per dose or annual cost?"



Limited Resources Principle

 The just price of a drug should reserve enough resources for people to pursue valuable life activities

 Resources are scarce: fair drug prices must have it so people can still allocate resources to diverse interests



Value Principle

 There should be a relationship between price and benefits.

 A drug or therapy that generates a more improvement in meaningful outcomes—longevity or quality of life should command a higher price.



Lifetime Earnings Standard for Affordability

 An average white man with a bachelor's degree life-time earnings is \$2.27 million.

Raising a Child	\$233,000
Food	\$243,000
Housing	\$770,000
Transportation	\$304,000
Public College	\$80,000
OTHER	\$640,000



Price of Cell Therapies

• An average person has \$640,000 for everything else: internet, cell phones, books, alcohol, entertainment, health care, clothing, childcare, vacations, etc.

Is it ethical to charge \$419,000 for one cellular therapy
—which occurs after much spending on multiple other
treatments?



Remedying Accelerated Approval



High Costs, For What Results?

- Since 1992 the FDA has permitted early approval to drugs based off of "surrogate endpoints"
- Supposed to have subsequent confirmatory studies showing clinically meaningful outcomes of improved survival or quality of life
- Of drugs approved through the accelerated pathway fully 28% had never completed confirmatory trials



Changes Needed for Accelerated Approval

- Only pay full price for drugs that are proven to work on outcomes patients care about: overall survival and improved quality of life
 - Until drugs complete confirmatory trials, they should be paid at a price 80% of existing standard of care.
- Create a deadline for drugs to complete confirmatory trials after the accelerated approval date (e.g. 3 years)



Money

 The average cost of a new cancer drug is roughly \$10,000 per month.

 Drugs must prove their effectiveness before demanding high prices

 Saving the system money by not spending on drugs that have not been proven effective for important endpoints (e.g. survival)

Conclusion

We need to have more reasonable cancer drug costs

 We cannot have high costs just because other drugs have high costs

 Costs need to be related to health benefits and other things people need to spend money to live a normal life

