Check for updates

The JID: A Scientific Home for Innovative, Rigorously Conducted, Clinical, and Epidemiologic Research in Dermatology from Around the World



he importance of clinical and epidemiologic research to the Journal of Dermatology Investigative (JID) has been noted since the JID's inaugural issue in 1938 (Sulzberger, 1938). Today, we continue to emphasize the importance of clinical and epidemiologic research to the JID, noting that it publishes high-impact reports describing original research related to all aspects of cutaneous biology

and skin disease ranging from single cells to large patient populations. Descriptions of important findings that result from basic, translational, or clinical research are appropriate for submission. Clinical research can include but is not limited to interventional trials, epidemiology, and health services research. Although the approaches to clinical research are diverse, they are unified by the methods of clinical epidemiology, which is the basic science underlying much of public health, preventative medicine, and individual patient care decisions.

We aspire to be the scientific home for innovative, rigorously conducted, clinical and epidemiologic research in dermatology from around the world. The field has grown dramatically in size and impact, with over a third of abstracts at the 2022 meeting of the Society for Investigative Dermatology being clinical in nature. We aim to publish clinical and epidemiologic studies that address clinically important hypotheses with unbiased design, robust methodology, valid analysis, and balanced interpretation and reporting of results. To achieve this goal, the JID will require basic reporting requirements for clinical trials (http://www. consort-statement.org), epidemiologic studies (https://www. strobe-statement.org), and meta-analyses (http://www.prismastatement.org) (Page et al., 2021; Schulz et al., 2010; von Elm et al., 2007).

The JID also aspires to improve the peer review process for clinical and epidemiologic research submitted to the journal. In addition to Chao Xing, an expert in statistical genetics who has been a statistical consultant for JID for 5 years, we have recruited Daniel Shin, a biostatistician and research assistant professor at the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA), with extensive experience in patient-oriented research in dermatology, to be a statistical editor for clinical research. We have also recruited new members of the editorial board with vast expertise in clinical investigation. We encourage those conducting clinical and epidemiologic research to collaborate early and often with researchers well trained in the methodologies that drive these fields, because as stated by the inventor of the *P*-value in 1938, "To consult the statistician after an experiment is finished is often merely to ask him to conduct a post mortem examination. He can perhaps say what the experiment died of" (Ratcliffe, 2018). Finally, the JID has published a series of editorials and methods and techniques papers that provide the clinical investigator with a resource to help ensure studies of the highest rigor (Table 1).

"The Journal should prove valuable, as well as interesting, to the serious practitioner and clinician...because this Journal...would also include clinical investigations and research...." (Sulzberger, 1938)

As we emerge from the COVID-19 pandemic, one lesson is clear: the vital role rigorously conducted clinical and epidemiologic research plays in the health and well-being of billions of people around the globe and the threat methodologically flawed research can have to populations, communities, and individuals (Hill et al., 2022). We welcome clinically oriented researchers from around the world to engage with the JID as authors, reviewers, readers, and members of our editorial board so we may achieve our shared goals of publishing clinical and epidemiologic research of the highest quality. Ultimately, clinical research complements basic and translational investigation so that the full spectrum of biomedical science in dermatology may be advanced and yield equitable dividends in skin health and well-being worldwide (Blumberg et al., 2012).

ORCID

Joel M. Gelfand: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3480-2661

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

JMG has no conflict of interest related to this paper. JMG served as a consultant for Abbvie, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celldex (DSMB), FIDE (which is sponsored by multiple pharmaceutical companies), GSK, Happify, Lilly (DMC), Leo, Janssen Biologics, Neumentum, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB (DSMB), Neuroderm (DSMB), Regeneron, Trevi, and Mindera Dx, receiving honoraria; receives research grants (to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA) from Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Pfizer; and received payment for continuing medical education work related to psoriasis that was supported indirectly by pharmaceutical sponsors. JMG is a copatent holder of resiguimod for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. JMG is a Deputy Editor for the *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*,

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2022) **142**, 2551–2553. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2022.06.004

EDITORIAL

Table 1. Resources for Clinical Investigators in the JID

Clinical Research Technique	Citation	Source
Interpretation of studies of no association	Gelfand JM, Azfar RS, Mehta NN. Psoriasis and cardiovascular risk: strength in numbers. J Invest Dermatol 130:919–22 (2010)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.12
Sources of error in observational studies	Gelfand JM, Mehta NN, Langan SM. Psoriasis and cardiovascular risk: strength in numbers, part II. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 131:1007-1010 (2011)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2011.32
The role of systematic reviews and meta- analysis in dermatology	Abuabara K, Freeman EE, Dellavalle R. The role of systematic reviews and meta-analysis in dermatology. J Invest Dermatol 132:e2 (2012)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.392
Validation of outcome measures in dermatology	Viola K, Nijsten T, Krishnamurthy K. "Validation" of outcome measures in dermatology. J Invest Dermatol 133:1-4 (2013)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.332
Comparative effectiveness research	Nambudiri VE, Qureshi A. Comparative effectiveness research. J Invest Dermatol 133:e5; quiz e5 (2013)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.497
Evaluating the strength of clinical recommendations in the medical Literature: GRADE, SORT, and AGREE	Maymone MBC, Gan SD, Bigby M. Evaluating the strength of clinical recommendations in the medical literature: GRADE, SORT, and AGREE. J Invest Dermatol 134:1-5 (2014)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.335
Multivariable analysis	Wakkee M, Hollestein LM, Nijsten T. Multivariable analysis. J Invest Dermatol 134:1-5 (2014)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.132
A critical evaluation of clinical research study designs	Besen J, Gan SD. A critical evaluation of clinical research study designs. J Invest Dermatol 134:1-4 (2014)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.545
Databases for clinical research	Abuabara K, Margolis DJ. Databases for clinical research. J Invest Dermatol 135:1-4 (2015)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2015.213
Drug survival studies in dermatology: principles, purposes, and pitfalls	van den Reek J, Kievit W, Gniadecki R, Goeman JJ, Zweegers J, van de Kerkhof PCM, et al. Drug survival studies in dermatology: principles, purposes, and pitfalls. J Invest Dermatol 135:1-5 (2015)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2015.171
What is a pragmatic clinical trial?	Williams HC, Burden-Teh E, Nunn AJ. What is a pragmatic clinical trial? J Invest Dermatol 135:1-3 (2015)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2015.134
Interpretation of <i>P</i> -values, inception vs prevalence cohorts, confounding versus effect modification	Ogdie A, Troxel AB, Mehta NN, Gelfand JM. Psoriasis and cardiovascular risk: strength in numbers part 3. J Invest Dermatol 135:2148-2150 (2015)	https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2015.218
Workflow for searching databases to reduce evidence selection bias in systematic reviews	Le Cleach L, Doney E, Katz KA, Williams HC, Trinquart L. Research techniques made simple: workflow for searching databases to reduce evidence selection bias in systematic reviews. J Invest Dermatol 136:e125-e129 (2016)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2016.09.019
Assessing risk of bias in systematic reviews	Drucker AM, Fleming P, Chan AW. Research techniques made simple: assessing risk of bias in systematic reviews. J Invest Dermatol 136:e109-e114 (2016)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2016.08.021
Choosing appropriate statistical methods for clinical research	Kim N, Fischer AH, Dyring-Andersen B, Rosner B, Okoye GA. Research techniques made simple: choosing appropriate statistical methods for clinical research. J Invest Dermatol 137:e173-e178 (2017)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.08.007
An introduction to use and analysis of big data in dermatology	Wehner MR, Levandoski KA, Kulldorff M, Asgari MM. Research techniques made simple: an introduction to use and analysis of big data in dermatology. J Invest Dermatol 137:e153-e158 (2017)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.04.019
Cost-effectiveness analysis	Shi CR, Nambudiri VE. Research techniques made simple: cost-effectiveness analysis. J Invest Dermatol 137:e143-e147 (2017)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.03.004
Sample size estimation and power calculation	Schmidt SAJ, Lo S, Hollestein LM. Research techniques made simple: sample size estimation and power calculation. J Invest Dermatol 138:1678-1682 (2018)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2018.06.165
Web-based survey research in dermatology: conduct and applications	Maymone MBC, Venkatesh S, Secemsky E, Reddy K, Vashi NA. Research techniques made simple: web- based survey research in dermatology: conduct and applications. J Invest Dermatol 138:1456-1462 (2018)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2018.02.032
Pharmacoepidemiology research methods in dermatology	Noe MH, Gelfand JM. Research techniques made simple: pharmacoepidemiology research methods in dermatology. J Invest Dermatol 138:e13-e18 (2018)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.10.026
Teledermatology in clinical trials	Laggis CW, Williams VL, Yang X, Kovarik CL. Research techniques made simple: teledermatology in clinical trials. J Invest Dermatol 139:1626-1633 e1621 (2019)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2019.04.004

EDITORIAL

Table 1. Continued

Clinical Research Technique	Citation	Source
Interpreting measures of association in clinical research	Roberts MR, Ashrafzadeh S, Asgari MM. Research techniques made simple: interpreting measures of association in clinical research. J Invest Dermatol 139:502-511 e501 (2019)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2018.12.023
Network meta-analysis	Watt J, Tricco AC, Straus S, Veroniki AA, Naglie G, Drucker AM. Research techniques made simple: network meta-analysis. J Invest Dermatol 139:4-12 e11 (2019)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2018.10.028
Developing and validating QOL outcome measures for skin diseases	Braun H, Yeung H, Chen SC. Research techniques made simple: developing and validating QOL outcome measures for skin diseases. J Invest Dermatol 140:1888- 1892 e1881 (2020)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2020.04.024
Latent class analysis	Naldi L, Cazzaniga S. Research techniques made simple: latent class analysis. J Invest Dermatol 140:1676-1680 e1671 (2020)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2020.05.079
Randomized controlled trials for topical drugs in dermatology: when and how should we use a within-person design?	Leducq S, Caille A, Le Cleach L, Samimi M, Tavernier E, Maruani A et al. Research techniques made simple: randomized controlled trials for topical drugs in dermatology: when and how should we use a within- person design? J Invest Dermatol 140:931-938 e931 (2020)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2020.03.945
An introduction to qualitative research	Bazen A, Barg FK, Takeshita J. Research techniques made simple: an introduction to qualitative research. J Invest Dermatol 141:241-247 e241 (2021)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2020.11.029
Noninferiority randomized controlled trials	Kim KS, Chan A-W, Belley-Cote, EP, Drucker, AM. Noninferiority randomized controlled trials 142:1773- 1777 (2022)	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2022.04.015

These articles can be found in the JID Collection "Resources for Clinical Investigators" online at LINK.

receiving honoraria from the Society for Investigative Dermatology; is Chief Medical Editor for Healio Psoriatic Disease (receiving honoraria); and is a member of the Board of Directors for the International Psoriasis Council, receiving no honoraria.

Joel M. Gelfand^{*}

Dermatology Clinical Studies Unit, Psoriasis and Phototherapy Treatment Center, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

^{*}Correspondence: Joel M. Gelfand, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, 3400 Civic Center Boulevard, 730 South Tower, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA. e-mail: Joel.Gelfand@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

REFERENCES

Blumberg RS, Dittel B, Hafler D, von Herrath M, Nestle FO. Unraveling the autoimmune translational research process layer by layer. Nat Med 2012;18:35-41.

- Hill A, Mirchandani M, Pilkington V. Ivermectin for COVID-19: addressing potential bias and medical fraud. Open Forum Infect Dis 2022;9: ofab645.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71.
- Ratcliffe S, editor. Oxford essential quotations. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, CONSORT Group. CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials Trials. BMJ 2010;340:c332.
- Sulzberger MB. Editorial. J Invest Dermatol 1938;1:5-7.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC. Vandenbroucke JP and Initiative S: the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet 2007;370:1453-7.