Signal amplification in growth cone gradient sensing by a double negative feedback loop among PTEN, PI(3,4,5)P₃ and actomyosin
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ABSTRACT

Axon guidance during neural wiring involves a series of precisely controlled chemotactic events by the motile axonal tip, the growth cone. A fundamental question is how neuronal growth cones make directional decisions in response to extremely shallow gradients of guidance cues with exquisite sensitivity. Here we report that nerve growth cones possess a signal amplification mechanism during gradient sensing process. In neuronal growth cones of Xenopus spinal neurons, phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP₃), an important signaling molecule in chemotaxis, was actively recruited to the up-gradient side in response to an external gradient of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), resulting in an intracellular gradient with approximate 30-fold amplification of the input. Furthermore, a reverse gradient of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) was induced by BDNF within the growth cone and the increased PTEN activity at the down-gradient side is required for the amplification of PIP₃ signals. Mechanistically, the establishment of both positive PIP₃ and reverse PTEN gradients depends on the filamentous actin network. Together with computational modeling, our results revealed a double negative feedback loop among PTEN, PIP₃ and actomyosin for signal amplification, which is essential for gradient sensing of neuronal growth cones in response to diffusible cues.

1. Introduction

Brain wiring requires guided extension of developing axons to their specific targets for synaptic connections (Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne, 2011; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). The motile tip of the axon, the growth cone, is equipped with an exquisite ability to sense a complex array of extracellular cues and to navigate through convoluted terrains of the developing brain. Evolutionarily conserved families of guidance cues, either diffusible or surface-bound, are present in various temporal and spatial profiles during development to provide the directional instructions for elongating axons (Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne, 2011). Tremendous progress has been made in the past towards elucidating the molecular identities of guidance cues and their corresponding receptors (Bellon and Mann, 2018; Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne, 2011). The signaling and cellular events that translate various extracellular signals into specific responses of the growth cone have also been studied extensively (Gomez and Letourneau, 2014; Guy and Kamiguchi, 2021; Kerstein et al., 2015; Sánchez-Huertas and Herrera, 2021; Short et al., 2016; Vitriol and Zheng, 2012; Zang et al., 2021). However, the precise mechanisms by which a growth cone decodes the direction from the extracellular space during pathfinding have not been fully elucidated and many fundamental questions remain. In particular, diffusible guidance gradients in vivo are typically shallow with a steepness that is only a few percentages of the concentration of the guidance cue across a small growth cone (Sloan et al., 2015). Remarkably, it has been shown that, in vitro, a neuronal growth cone can detect a spatial heterogeneity

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: james.zheng@emory.edu (J.Q. Zheng).

1 Current address: Department of Neuroscience and Mahoney Institute for Neurosciences, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

2 Co-senior authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2022.103772
Received 1 June 2022; Received in revised form 23 August 2022; Accepted 26 August 2022
Available online 31 August 2022
1044-7431/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
of a guidance cue as small as 1% over the growth cone’s width (Mai et al., 2009; Rosoff et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2008), leading to highly polarized motile activities in the cytoskeletal, adhesion, and membranous dynamics for directed movement (Vitriol and Zheng, 2012). Such a high sensitivity suggests the existence of a signal amplification process along the signaling cascade relaying chemosensory information to biased neuronal growth cone behavior. Signal amplification and adaptation are indispensable for chemotactic single cells, such as bacteria, amoebae and neutrophils, to respond to a wide dynamic range of chemoattractants with high sensitivity (Levchenko and Iglesias, 2002; SenGupta et al., 2021; Sourjik, 2004; Tu, 2013). In eukaryotic cells, phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3) has been shown to play an important role in signal polarization during gradient sensing (Janetopoulos et al., 2004; Kolshch et al., 2008; Servant et al., 2000). PIP3 is a second messenger and its level is dynamically regulated and tightly controlled through synthesis by phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) (Janetopoulos et al.) and degradation by phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN)/SH2-containing inositol phosphatase (SHIP) (Sun et al., 1999). PIP3-dependent downstream signaling is initiated by its recruitment of pleckstrin homology (PH)-domain containing proteins, such as AKT kinases, to the plasma membrane. Previous studies have shown that PI3K/AKT and asymmetric PIP3 are required for growth cone turning behavior to a gradient of guidance cues in vitro and in vivo (Henle et al., 2011; Ming et al., 1999). In this study, we investigated the signal amplification during gradient sensing by nerve growth cones. Using live cell imaging and computational modeling, we identified distinct roles of PIP3, PTEN and actomyosin in amplifying guidance signals during growth cone navigation of Xenopus spinal neurons.

2. Methods

2.1. Reagents

BDNF was purchased from Peprotech (Rocky Hill, NJ). Cytochalasin B, latrunculin A, BDM were purchased from Sigma Chemicals (St. Louis, MO). Both bpV(pic) and bpV(HOpic) were purchased from ENZO Life Sciences (Plymouth Meeting, PA). Blebbistatin was purchased from EMD Chemicals (Gibbstown, NJ). All the inhibitors were bath applied to experiments with pharmacological inhibition, the drug was added to the cell culture media and incubated with the cells for 30 min prior to the experiments and remained in the culture during subsequent assays.

2.2. Xenopus embryo injection and spinal neuron culture

GFP-PH\textsubscript{AKT}, mCherry-PTEN, GFP-PTEN constructs were gifts from Dr. Peter Devreotes’ lab (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD). The GFP-UtrCH construct was generously provided by Dr. William M. Bement (University of Wisconsin-Madison, Wisconsin). Blastomere injections of mRNAs encoding GFP-PH\textsubscript{AKT}, mCherry-PTEN, GFP-PTEN or GFP-UtrCH into early stage Xenopus embryos and culturing of spinal neurons from these injected embryos were performed as previously described (Shim et al., 2005). Specifically, fertilized embryos were injected at the one- or two-cell stage, with a mixture of mRNA (2–3 ng/embryo) and the rhodamine-dextran (10KDa, Sigma). The following DNA constructs were subcloned into the pCS2 vector (gift of D. Turner, University of Michigan) and used for in vitro transcription with the mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 kit (Ambion, Austin, TX): GFP-PH\textsubscript{AKT}, GFP-PTEN, mCherry-PTEN and GFP-UtrCH. The neurons were cultured on custom glass-bottom dishes without coating. The cultures were incubated at room temperature (20 °C) for 16 h prior to the stimulation with either uniform BDNF or a BDNF gradient.

2.3. Stimulation with uniform BDNF or a BDNF gradient

For uniform stimulation, BDNF solution (125 ng/ml; final concentration) was added to the culture dish through pipetting. Micropipette system was used to generate the BDNF gradient as described previously (Lohof et al., 1992; Ming et al., 1997). Briefly, picoliter volumes of BDNF solution (100 μg/ml) were ejected to the culture through a micropipette with an opening of ~1 μm. The ejection was driven by repetitive pressure pulses of 3 psi in amplitude, 20 ms in duration and 2 Hz in frequency. The pressure was applied with an electrically gated pressure application system (Picospritzer, General Valve, Fairfield, NJ) and controlled using a pulse generator (SD9, Grass Instruments, Quincy, MA). During the turning assay, the pipette tip was placed 100 μm away from the growth cone with a 90-degree angle with regards to the extension direction of the distal 10 μm segment of the axon. For experiments with pharmacological inhibition, the drug was added to the cell culture media and incubated with the cells for 30 min prior to the experiments.

2.4. Fluorescent microscopy

The GFP or RFP fusion protein reporters and the rhodamine-dextran were imaged with a 63× Plan Fluor objective (NA 1.3 oil) on an inverted microscope (Axiovert, Zeiss) using a 488/512 nm and a 572/628 nm (ex/em) filter set, respectively. For each experiment, images of three channels (GFP, rhodamine and phase-contrast) were taken at 5 time points with a 1 min interval prior to stimulation. Fifteen minutes of recording was performed at 30 s intervals after the beginning of the stimulation. The Alexa Fluor 594 gradient was imaged with a 63× Plan Fluor objective (NA 1.3 oil) using a 572/628 nm (ex/em) filter.

2.5. Data analysis

The image processing was performed using the Image Processing Toolbox from MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Background subtraction was carried out based on the measured intensity of pixels close to, but outside of, the growth cone. To quantify the changes of signals before and after stimulation, the outline of the growth cone palm was traced manually. The palmar region of each growth cone was then divided into 6 equal-width domains. The average of GFP-PH\textsubscript{AKT} to rhodamine ratio (F\textsubscript{PH}) or GFP-PTEN to rhodamine ratio (F\textsubscript{PTEN}) within each domain was calculated at every specified time point. The BDNF gradient was visualized and quantified in independent experiments by loading Alexa Fluor 594 dye together with BDNF into the micropipette tip. These measurements were then used to estimate the BDNF concentration at the periphery of the growth cone palm based on the distances of these pixels to the micropipette tip.

2.6. Mathematical model and simulation

To explore the interaction among PTEN, PI(3,4,5)P3 and F-actin, we modeled the growth cone as a series of compartments, analogous to the experimental segmentation of the growth cones used in our experimental analysis. The active forms of PI3K (PI3K\textsuperscript{*}) and PTEN (PTEN\textsuperscript{*}), PI(3,4,5)P3, PI(3,4,5)P5, the membrane-bound PH-domain containing proteins (PH\textsubscript{m}), dynamic F-actin (F\textsubscript{Actin}) and actomyosin were membrane-bound species and thus were only present in the ‘boundary compartments’ corresponding to the compartment 1 and 6 in the experimental analysis. The inactive forms of PI3K and PTEN, actin monomer (G\textsubscript{Actin}) and the unbound form of PH-domain containing proteins (PH) in both the membrane-cytosol interfaces and the cytosol and could diffuse freely within these spaces. In the model, this diffusion was accounted for by equivalent of the first Fick’s law, describing the diffusive exchange at each interface between model compartments, proportional to the difference of concentrations of a given species in these compartments. For instance, the concentration of PH in an intermediate (not boundary) model growth cone compartment i is described by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dPH_i}{dt} = k_{ex} (PH_{i+1} - PH_i) + k_{ex} (PH_{i-1} - PH_i) = k_{ex} ΔPH_{i+1} + k_{ex} ΔPH_{i-1}.
\]
Similar equations describe the concentration dynamics for other diffusive species in the intermediate compartments.

The biochemical reactions are assumed to take place at the submembrane regions only, and are thus only modeled in the boundary described in Eqs. (2), (3), (4a), (5a), (6)–(12) and the dynamics of the PTEN–PI(3,4,5)P$_3$–Actomyosin–PTEN loop were described in Eqs. (2), (3), (4b), (5b), (6)–(12).

\[
\frac{d\text{PTEN}}{dt} = k_{\text{PTEN}} \cdot \text{PTEN} \cdot \text{FActin} + k_\alpha \Delta \text{PTEN}
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{PI}}{dt} = k_f \cdot \text{PI} \cdot \text{TrkB}^2 - k_{\text{PI}} \cdot \text{PI} \cdot \text{TrkB}^2 + k_\alpha \Delta \text{PI}
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{PH}}{dt} = k_{\text{PH}} \cdot \text{PH}_n - k_{\text{PH}} \cdot \text{PH}_n + k_\alpha \Delta \text{PH}
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{GActin}}{dt} = k_{\text{GActin}} \cdot \text{FActin} + k_{\text{Actomyosin}} \cdot \text{Actomyosin}
\]

\[
\frac{d\text{Actomyosin}}{dt} = k_{\text{Actomyosin}} \cdot \text{GActin} - k_{\text{Actomyosin}} \cdot \text{FActin}
\]

In these equations the terms describing the diffusive exchange for some of the species are analogous to Eq. (1), relating the boundary and adjacent compartments.

The parameter values used in our simulation are listed in Table S1 and the initial conditions are listed in Table S2. The system input was the active Tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) receptor TrkB*. A 12.5 % TrkB$^*$ gradient was initiated between the growth cone’s near and far sides at 5 min.

\[\text{TrkB}^* = 1.1, t < 300 \text{ sec}\]

\[\text{TrkB}^* = 0.94, \text{last compartment } t \geq 300 \text{ sec}\]

The parameters were chosen to reproduce the kinetic behaviors seen in the experiments, with the diffusive exchange constant ($k_\alpha$) chosen in particular to model the reaction-limited rather than diffusion-limited cases. Assumptions of different values of the diffusive exchange constant for different molecular species did not strongly affect the results. The qualitative conclusions held under wide ranges of assumed parameter values.

3. Results

We have previously shown that an extracellular gradient of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) can elicit robust attractive responses of Xenopus growth cones in culture (Ming et al., 1997). We therefore examined if growth cone sensing of the extracellular BDNF gradient involves PI$3_3$-based signaling and amplification. To directly visualize the dynamics of PI$3_3$ in neuronal growth cones, we expressed GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ (PH-domain from AKT fused with GFP), a reporter of PI3,3, (Melli et al., 1999), in Xenopus embryonic spinal neurons. It should be noted that GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ is also present in the cytosolic compartment and the cytosolic GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ signal changes in association with growth cone volume and often overwhelms the membrane-bound GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ signal. Therefore, we used rhodamine-dextran in the same cell as a volume marker to control for volume changes. The fluorescent ratio $F_{\text{PH}}$ (GFP–PH$_{AKT}$/Rh-Dextran) is used to probe PI3,3 and its changes. We found that a homogeneous bath application of BDNF (125 ng/ml) resulted in robust translocation and accumulation of GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ at the edges of the growth cones as evidenced by increased $F_{\text{PH}}$, suggesting the active production of PI3,3 on the plasma membrane (Fig. 1). Consistent with previous results, BDNF also induced a rapid expansion of the lamellipodia (Ming et al., 1997).

We next examined the growth cone responses to a gradient of BDNF using a pipette application method (Lohof et al., 1992; Ming et al., 1997; Zheng et al., 1994). Consistent with previous reports, this pipette application method created a concentration gradient that became stable at 2 min after the onset of pressure ejection as assessed by the Alexa Fluor 594 fluorescence (Fig. S1). At 100 μm away from the pipette tip, the concentration gradient is relatively smooth and shallow, generating a fractional concentration change of ~10 % across a 10 μm distance (Lohof et al., 1992; Zheng et al., 1994). As a result, growth cone turning assays were typically performed by placing the pipette tip at 100 μm away from the growth cone and with a 45-degree angle to the direction of growth cone extension (Lohof et al., 1992; Ming et al., 1997; Zheng et al., 1994). In this study, we modified the pipette placement by placing the BDNF pipette at 100 μm away but in perpendicular to the direction of growth cone extension (i.e. with a 90-degree angle) to simplify the quantitative analysis of asymmetric growth cone signaling. Using GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ to probe the spatiotemporal dynamics of PI3,3, we found that GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ was preferentially recruited to the up-gradient side of the growth cone within 5 min (Fig. 2A-B). Intriguingly, we also observed a decrease of GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ signal at the down-gradient side (Fig. 2A-B). A significant change in rhodamine-dextran signals was observed, indicating that the observed pattern of GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ was not a result of volume changes of the growth cone but rather an asymmetric response to the BDNF gradient. Therefore, an extracellular BDNF gradient appears to have induced opposite changes in PI3,3 across the growth cone, generating a PI3,3 asymmetry that may underlie growth cone turning towards the BDNF gradient.

To quantify the PI3,3 changes across the growth cone in response to a BDNF gradient using the volume normalized GFP–PH$_{AKT}$ fluorescence ($F_{\text{PH}}$, see Fig. S2), we divided the palm region of the growth cones, including lamellipodia, into 6 equal-width domains, with domain 1 being farthest down-gradient while domain 6 nearest up-gradient (Fig. 2C). We then measured $F_{\text{PH}}$ in each domain at various times before and after the onset of the BDNF gradient (before: −5, −1 min; after: 3, 5, 10 min). For each domain, the average of $F_{\text{PH}}$ at −5 and −1 min was used as the baseline signal ($F_{\text{PH}}$) and changes in $F_{\text{PH}}$ over times (∆$F_{\text{PH}}$) were calculated. We found that $F_{\text{PH}}$ gradually increased in domain 6 after the onset of the BDNF gradient, resulting in an increase of $6.7 \pm 2.9$ % ($n = 20$) in ∆$F_{\text{PH}}$ at 10 min after the onset of the BDNF gradient (Fig. 2C). Intriguingly, $F_{\text{PH}}$ decreased in domain 1 in response.
Fig. 1. Bath application of BDNF induces an elevation in PIP3 and results in lamellipodia protrusion. Representative fluorescent images of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) and Rhodamine-dextran (Rh-dextran) in a Xenopus growth cone at various times before and after the bath application of BDNF (125 ng/ml). The right column shows the corresponding pseudo-colored ratiometric images of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) to Rh-dextran (F\(_{PH}\)) normalized to its basal level (average F\(_{PH}\) of the growth cone at −5 and −1 min).

![Diagram of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\), Rh-dextran, Merge, and F\(_{PH}\)/basal](image)

**To the BDNF gradient**, resulting in a decrease of \(-4.9 \pm 2.4\%\) in ∆F\(_{PH}/F_0\) at 10 min (Fig. 2C), suggesting an active mechanism in reducing the PIP\(_3\) level at the down-gradient side. When ∆F\(_{PH}/F_0\) values across the growth cone at various times were plotted, it is evident that there is a gradient of ∆F\(_{PH}/F_0\) across the growth cone and, importantly, the steepness of the ∆F\(_{PH}/F_0\) gradient increases over time after the onset of the extracellular BDNF gradient (Fig. 2D).

To examine the input-output relationship between the imposed BDNF gradient and the responding intracellular PIP\(_3\) gradient, we analyzed the slope of both extracellular BDNF gradients and the intracellular PIP\(_3\) asymmetry. For the extracellular BDNF gradient, we mixed BDNF with Alexa Fluor 594 (AF594) and performed fluorescent imaging at various times after the onset of the gradient application. Since the fluorescent signals measured here, especially volume-corrected GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) signals (F\(_{PH}\)), do not represent the absolute concentrations of the molecule of interest, they cannot be directly used to calculate the concentration gradient and its slope. We therefore elected to use ∆F/FO to assess the changes in the signal of interest (BDNF or PIP3) at a specific time point after the onset of pipette application of BDNF. For example, at 10 min after the onset of BDNF gradient, ∆F\(_{PH}\) equals to F\(_{PH(10)}\) - F\(_{PH(0)}\) and ∆F\(_{PH}/F_{PH(0)}\) corresponds to the change in PIP3 signals after 10 min exposure to BDNF (Fig. S2). Since fractional changes (FC) are typically used to estimate the fractional concentration gradient at a specific location (∆C/C) (Sloan et al., 2015), we next calculated the fractional changes of the signal across the growth cone over its mean to determine the slope of the signal gradient (Fig. S2), similar to that previously described (Sloan et al., 2015). This analysis approach was also used to estimate the extracellular BDNF gradient across the growth cone by calculating the fractional changes of ∆F\(_{BDNF}/F_{BDNF(0)}\) (FC\(_{BDNF}\)) across the growth cone. Using this method, we found that the slope of FC\(_{BDNF}\) gradient over 10 μm distance at 100 μm away from the application pipette is about 9.9 ± 0.2 % (S.E.M, n = 12), which is consistent with the previous report (Lohof et al., 1992). The intracellular PIP\(_3\) gradient as assessed by the fractional changes of ∆F\(_{PH}/F_0\), on the other hand, has a much steeper slope at 271.9 ± 64.9 % (S.E.M) over 10 μm, producing an approximately 33-fold amplification of the imposed BDNF gradient after 10 min BDNF exposure (Fig. 2E).

The reduction of PIP\(_3\) at the down-gradient side of the growth cone in response to a BDNF gradient suggested a potential increase of a phosphoinositide phosphatase activity, either directly or indirectly. PTEN is known to be recruited to the membrane, and to reduce the PIP\(_3\) level at the lagging side of Dictyostelium during chemotactic movements (Iijima and Devreotes, 2002). Thus, we examined the potential role of PTEN in gradient sensing of neuronal growth cones by expressing GFP-PTEN in Xenopus spinal neurons. Application of a BDNF gradient led to accumulation of GFP-PTEN at down-gradient side of growth cones within minutes (Fig. 3A & B). At 10 min, ∆F\(_{PTEN}\) increased 2.9 ± 1.2 % (n = 21) in domain 1, but decreased 3.8 ± 1.1 % (n = 21) in domain 6 (Fig. 3C), resulting in an intracellular gradient in an opposite direction to the BDNF gradient (Fig. 3D). Calculation of the slope using the fractional changes of F\(_{PTEN}\) (FC\(_{PTEN}\)) at 10 min after the one of the BDNF yielded a slope of −202 ± 46 % (S.E.M) over 10 μm, which is about 25-fold amplification (Fig. 3E). To directly test the role of PTEN in PIP\(_3\) signal amplification, we monitored the distribution of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) in response to a BDNF gradient in the presence of bpV(pic) or bpV(HOpic), two lipid phosphatase activity inhibitors of PTEN (Schmid et al., 2004). Bath application of either bpV(pic) or bpV(HOpic) alone induced an increase of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) at the plasma membrane (Fig. S3), suggesting that the basal level of PTEN activity under normal conditions acts to suppress the plasma membrane PIP\(_3\) level. When growth cones were subjected to a BDNF gradient in the presence of either bpV(pic) or bpV(HOpic), the decrease of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) at the down-gradient side was converted to an increase, while the accumulation of GFP-PH\(_{AKT}\) at the up-gradient side was not affected, resulting in a complete loss of the intracellular PIP\(_3\) gradient (Fig. 3F). Furthermore, application of bpV(pic) or bpV(HOpic) also abolished the attractive responses of growth cones to the BDNF...
The involvement of actin reorganization in polarized PIP_3 distribution is required for the chemotaxis of neutrophils, but not of Dictyostelium cells (Janetopoulos et al., 2004; Servant et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2002). We then asked whether actin polymerization is essential for signal amplification in growth cone guidance. Application of cytochalasin B (CB), a mycotoxin that binds to the barbed end of actin filaments to prevent actin polymerization, affected the decrease of PIP_3 levels (Fig. 4 B). Since inhibition of PTEN similarly lowered endogenous GFP-PH signals when CB was applied to the growth cones (Fig. 4 B). Together, these results suggest that PTEN activity is essential for the amplification of the PIP_3 signal and growth cone steering.

![Fig. 2. An extracellular BDNF gradient induces an amplified PI(3,4,5)P_3 gradient within the growth cone. (A) Representative images of GFP-PH_{AKT} and Rh-dextran within a growth cone before and after the application of the BDNF gradient (the white arrow indicates the direction of the pipette tip). The application of the BDNF gradient induced an increase of ΔF_{PH} at both the up-gradient side and the down-gradient side of the growth cones (Domain 6) and a decrease at the far side (Domain 1).](image)

![Fig. 3.](image)

Fig. 3G). Together, these results suggest that PTEN activity is essential for the amplification of the PIP_3 signal and growth cone steering.

The involvement of actin reorganization in polarized PIP_3 distribution is required for the chemotaxis of neutrophils, but not of Dictyostelium cells (Janetopoulos et al., 2004; Servant et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2002). We then asked whether actin polymerization is essential for signal amplification in growth cone guidance. Application of cytochalasin B (CB), a mycotoxin that binds to the barbed end of actin filaments to prevent actin polymerization, induced a loss of filopodia without collapsing the growth cones (Fig. S4A). Stimulation of CB treated neutrophils with a BDNF gradient induced an increase of GFP-PH_{AKT} at both the up-gradient side and the down-gradient side of the growth cones (Figs. 4A and 4B). Ten minutes after the gradient application, the ΔF_{PH} in Domain 1 increased 5.8 ± 2.6 % (n = 18), similar to its increase in Domain 6 (4.0 ± 2.0 %; Fig. 4 A & B). Importantly, the intracellular gradient of GFP-PH_{AKT} was essentially abolished in CB treated growth cones (slope = −72.4 ± 41.1%). Application of latrunculin A (Lat A), a toxin sequestering actin monomers to prevent actin polymerization, showed similar results (Fig. 4-B). Since inhibition of PTEN similarly affected the decrease of PIP_3 level at the down-gradient side, these results raised the possibility that actin polymerization might regulate the PTEN activity. To directly test this hypothesis, we examined the growth cones expressing GFP-PTEN in the presence of CB or Lat A. A BDNF gradient failed to induce an increase of PIP_3 levels at the far side of the growth cones (Fig. 4 C &D, Fig. S4 C&D); Instead, a reduction of PIP_3 levels was observed. After 10 min in the BDNF gradient, ΔF_{PTEN} in domain 1 decreased 3.5 ± 1.3 %, while that in domain 6 remained unchanged (−1.3 ± 1.5 %). These results suggest that actin polymerization is required for the amplification of PIP_3 during growth cone guidance through the regulation of PTEN localization.

There are two pools of actin structures within neuronal growth cones: the dynamic F-actin filaments with rapid disassembly and assembly, and the stable F-actin bundles coexisting with myosin (actomyosin) and with slower kinetics (Schafer et al., 2002). PIP_3 could either promote the assembly of dynamic F-actin or interfere with the formation of the actomyosin structures (Xu et al., 2003). Our observation of the interaction between actin and PTEN suggests that PIP_3 and PTEN could form either a negative feedback loop involving reorganization of dynamic F-actin structures or a double negative (which is, in many ways, similar to a positive) feedback loop involving formation and function of actomyosin complexes (Fig. 5 A). To assess which F-actin structure contributes to the amplification of PIP_3 during growth cone guidance, we developed two computational models to simulate the outcome of spatially graded stimulation of these two networks (Methods and Supplementary Data). In both models, the TrkA receptors, the active form of PI3K (PI3K*), the active form of PTEN (PTEN*), PIP_2, PIP_3, PIP_3-bound PH domain containing protein (PHm), dynamic F-actin (F-actin) and actomyosin were membrane-bound species, whereas the inactive forms of PI3K (PI3K), PTEN (PTEN), PH domain containing protein (PH) and the actin monomer (G-actin) were freely diffusible in the cytosol (Fig. 5B). When a 12.5 % BDNF gradient was imposed onto the simulated growth cone, the signaling network based on PTEN-PIP_3-Dynamic F-actin-PTEN negative feedback loop failed to induce an amplification
abolishment of the amplification in PIP3 (Fig. S5). In contrast, the model based on the PTEN-PIP3-Actomyosin-PTEN double negative feedback loop predicted strongly amplified PIP3 and PHm gradients, as well as a reversed PTEN gradient between the up- and down-gradient sides of the growth cone when the same BDNF gradient was applied (Fig. 5C, D). Importantly, the simulations also revealed that diffusion of inactive PI3K and PTEN within the simulated growth cone were crucial for the gradient amplification, by coupling the front and rear side of the growth cone (Fig. S5). The slope of the fractional PHm gradient was at least an order of magnitude steeper than the imposed BDNF gradient, similar to what we have observed in experimental settings. The model further predicted a slight elevation of actomyosin at the down-gradient side (2.5 %) and a 13.6 % reduction of actomyosin formation at the up-gradient side (Fig. 5E). Importantly, in this model, inhibition of PTEN activity or actin polymerization led to the abolishment of the amplification in PIP3, which is also in agreement with our experimental observations (Fig. 5F). Together, the analyses suggested that the equivalent of a positive feedback involving PIP3, PTEN and actomyosin coupled with diffusion of the signaling network components was sufficient to serve as the molecular basis for PIP3 signal amplification in growth cone sensing. To test this prediction, we expressed the calponin homology (CH) domain of utrophin fused to GFP (GFP-UtrCH) in neurons, which selectively labeled stable actin filaments (Fig. S6A) (Burkel et al., 2007). As predicted by the simulation results, the intensity of GFP-UtrCH decreased at the up-gradient side of the growth cone upon BDNF stimulation (Fig. 5G, see also Fig. S6B). When GFP-UtrCH and mCherry-PTEN were co-expressed, the spatial correlation between these two fluorescent signals increased on the down-gradient side of the growth cones, indicating an increased co-localization of PTEN with actomyosin upon BDNF stimulation (Fig. 5G, see also Fig. S6B). When GFP-UtrCH and mCherry-PTEN were co-expressed, the spatial correlation between these two fluorescent signals increased on the down-gradient side of the growth cones, indicating an increased co-localization of PTEN with actomyosin upon BDNF stimulation (Fig. 5G). Moreover, application of myosin ATPase inhibitors, 2,3-Butanedione monoxime (BDM) (Ostap, 2002) or Blebbistatin (Kovacs et al., 2004), abolished the increase of
PTEN and its co-localization with actomyosin (Fig. 5I). While the specific myosin members cannot be determined by these two inhibitors, these results suggest that interaction with actomyosin is required for the accumulation and activation of PTEN at the down-gradient side of the growth cones in response to a BDNF gradient. Together with computational modeling, our results support a double negative feedback loop of PTEN and its co-localization with actomyosin (Fig. 5I). While the specific myosin members cannot be determined by these two inhibitors, these results suggest that interaction with actomyosin is required for the accumulation and activation of PTEN at the down-gradient side of the growth cones in response to a BDNF gradient. Values represent mean ± SEM (n = 22). Dot lines represent best linear fit. (B) Inhibition of actin polymerization with latrunculin A (100 nM) or cytochalasin B (7 μM) abolished the local inhibition of PI(3,4,5)P₃ at the down-gradient side of the growth cones in the BDNF gradient. Values represent mean ± SEM (*P < 0.05; Student’s t-test). (C) In the presence of cytochalasin B, the application of the BDNF gradient induced slight decreases of ΔFPTEN at both the near and far sides of the growth cones. Values represent mean ± SEM (n = 21). Dot lines represent best linear fit. (D) Inhibition of actin polymerization with cytochalasin B or latrunculin A abolished both the accumulation of PTEN at the down-gradient side and decreased PTEN localization at the up-gradient side within the growth cones in the BDNF gradient. Values represent mean ± SEM (*P < 0.05; Student’s t-test).

4. Discussion

The cell or growth cone’s ability to sense the environment and to determine the direction and proximity of an extracellular stimulus, followed by correct movement, is fundamental not only for neural development (e.g. neuronal migration and growth cone guidance) but also for immunity, angiogenesis, wound healing, and embryogenesis. Chemotaxis is an important feature employed by many cells to gain directional movement that is essential for their survival and function. While bacteria use random walk to move up and down a diffusible gradient, large cells such as amoebae and lymphocytes are equipped with the ability to detect the concentration difference of extracellular cues across their surface and, importantly, translate it into actin-based directional motility. It has been shown that chemotactic cells can detect shallow extracellular gradients with a relative concentration steepness as low as 2% between the front and the back of the cell (Parent and Devreotes, 1999). To achieve this task, a signal amplification mechanism has been proposed that can generate a much steeper gradient of intracellular responses, leading to highly polarized actin-based activities required for directed cell movement (Jin, 2013; Levchenko and Iglesias, 2002; SenGupta et al., 2021). Nerve growth cones in vertebrate have an average size of ~5 μm and they are known to be capable of responding to shallow guidance gradients with a steepness of as low as 1% in vitro and in vivo (Mai et al., 2009; Rosoff et al., 2004; Sloan et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2008). Imaging studies have shown that directional responses of nerve growth cones involve highly polarized motile activities including preferential actin-based protrusion, membrane recycling, and dynamic modification of adhesion (Vitriol and Zheng, 2012). Therefore, the tiny concentration difference of the guidance cues between the “near” (up-gradient) and “far” (down-gradient) sides of the growth cone is able to elicit highly asymmetric motile activities, suggesting the existence of signal amplification. However, no detailed investigation has been performed to examine the signal amplification and its underlying mechanism during growth cone guidance.

Several molecular species or chemical processes have been shown to display a polarized distribution during growth cone guidance, including gamma amino-butyric acid (GABA) receptors, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP₃), IP₃ mRNAs for β-actin, membrane transportation and exocytosis (Akiyama et al., 2009; Bouzigues et al., 2007; Henle et al., 2011; Hevroni et al., 1998; Leung et al., 2006; Quinn et al., 2008; Tojima et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2006). It was also reported that activated Src family kinase (pSsr) exhibited a polarized distribution in the growth cone only when two shallow gradients of netrin-1 and sonic hedgehog (Shh) proteins were combined (Sloan et al., 2015). Interestingly, the combined shallow...
Fig. 5. Integrated analyses with computational modeling and live imaging suggest that positive feedback among PTEN, PI(3,4,5)P
etrin-1 and Shh gradients (~1 % fractional changes each) resulted in an approximately a ~ 15 % fractional change in pSrc across the growth cone, suggesting an amplification. Our work presented here is the first demonstration of a substantial amplification of the input gradient signal during growth cone gradient sensing. Our finding that a gradient of guidance molecule induces a positive PIP
amplification. (A) Diagrams describing potential feedback interactions between PTEN and PI(3,4,5)P
luteinizing), indicating a much greater than those reported for
actomyosin lies at the core of distinctive mechanism that accounts for the ultra-sensitivity in growth cone gradient response.
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