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SUMMARY

Social environment modulates learning through unknown mechanisms. Here, we report that a pheromone mixture that signals overcrowding inhibits C. elegans from learning to avoid pathogenic bacteria. We find that learning depends on the balanced signaling of two insulin-like peptides (ILPs), INS-16 and INS-4, which act respectively in the pheromone-sensing neuron ADL and the bacteria-sensing neuron AWA. Pheromone exposure inhibits learning by disrupting this balance: it activates ADL and increases expression of ins-16, and this cellular effect reduces AWA activity and AWA-expressed ins-4. The activities of the sensory neurons are required for learning and the expression of the ILPs. Interestingly, pheromones also promote the ingestion of pathogenic bacteria while increasing resistance to the pathogen. Thus, the balance of the ILP signals integrates social information into the learning process as part of a coordinated adaptive response that allows consumption of harmful food during times of high population density.

INTRODUCTION

Various environmental contexts and the state of the nervous system can either promote or inhibit learning to generate adaptive values. Social information, such as the density of the conspecifics sharing the same habitat, represents one of the most important environmental conditions that impact survival. Previous studies on animals ranging from social insects to mammals show that social contexts or social experience modulate a wide range of behaviors, including learning and memory (Sokolowski, 2010). Although multiple sensory cues are used to communicate social information among individuals of the same species, pheromones have been found to modulate learning in both vertebrate and invertebrate animals (Bredy and Barad, 2008; Chabaud et al., 2009; Karlson and Luscher, 1959; Roberts et al., 2012; Vergoz et al., 2007). For example, a sex pheromone produced in the male mouse urine signals the presence of a male and potently promotes the learning of the location of the pheromone in both female and male mice. In contrast, one type of pheromone that is produced by the queen honeybee blocks the association of an odorant with electrical shocks in young worker bees (Karlson and Luscher, 1959; Roberts et al., 2012; Vergoz et al., 2007). In addition, the chemical signals contained in the sweat of stressed people alter the cognitive functions of the recipients (Chen et al., 2013; Deijen et al., 1998; Marks et al., 2009; Root et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2005). Because insulin and ILPs are widely expressed in the nervous system, they often play critical roles in processing diverse sensory inputs (Aguado et al., 1994; Chen et al., 2013; Cornils et al., 2011; Li et al., 2003; Pierce et al., 2001; Ritter et al., 2013; Sandberg et al., 1988; Stylianopoulou et al., 1988; Tomioka et al., 2006). Because pheromones can signal population density, which is an important piece of social information critical for food availability, insulin and ILPs are candidate effectors of the pheromone-mediated modulation of olfactory learning.

In this study, we address the genes and neurons that integrate social information to modulate learning in Caenorhabditis elegans and characterize the underlying mechanisms by applying genetic and imaging tools to analyze the well-defined nervous system of the animal (Alcedo and Zhang, 2013; de Bono and Maricq, 2005; White et al., 1986). Small-molecule pheromones, including several ascarosides that can signal population density,
have been identified in the worms (Butcher et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2005; Macosko et al., 2009; Srinivasan et al., 2008, 2012). These ascarosides regulate entry into dauer, an arrested larval stage in response to stress (Butcher et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2009; McGrath et al., 2011), and modulate foraging, aggregation, repulsion, odorant-dependent dispersal and chemotaxis, stress response, and adult mating (Greene et al., 2016; Ludewig et al., 2013; Macosko et al., 2009; Srinivasan et al., 2012; Yamada et al., 2010). Using genetically encoded calcium reporters, previous studies show that pheromones evoke intracellular calcium transients in several sensory neurons. These sensory responses transmit the social information conveyed by the pheromones to the downstream circuit (Jang et al., 2012; Macosko et al., 2009; Ryu et al., 2018).

Previous studies show that C. elegans learns to reduce its preference for the smell of pathogenic bacteria, such as the Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain PA14 (Tan et al., 1999), after training with the pathogens (Zhang et al., 2005). This type of aversive olfactory learning depends on the virulence of the training pathogenic bacteria and resembles the Garcia’s effect both in behavior and the regulatory signaling, through which animals learn to avoid the smell and/or taste of food that makes them ill (Garcia et al., 1955; Jin et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2005). Here, we ask whether social environment of the worm regulates this form of learning. We show that a mixture of ascaroside pheromones that indicates high density of conspecifics or the cultivating medium conditioned by worms grown in high density inhibits the aversive learning of pathogenic bacteria. We demonstrate that learning to avoid PA14 depends on the balance of the activities of two ILPs, the ADL-expressed INS-16 and the AWA-expressed INS-4. Pheromone exposure disrupts the balance between the expression of ins-4 and ins-16 by upregulating ins-16 in the pheromone-sensing neurons ADL, an effect that inhibits AWA-expressed ins-4. We also show that the pheromones regulate the sensory activities of ADL and AWA, which regulate both learning and ILP expression. Furthermore, we discover that pheromone exposure increases the ingestion of PA14 and enhances resistance to pathogen infection. Together, our findings elucidate the molecular and neuronal mechanisms that underlie the social influence on learning and show that ILPs integrate environmental context in the regulation of learning.

RESULTS

The Pheromone Mixture that Signals Overcrowding Inhibits Learning of Pathogenic Food

C. elegans learns to reduce its preference for the smell of pathogenic bacteria after training with the pathogen (Chen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2005). In this training paradigm, the animals on the training plate feed on PA14 after a small amount of the standard benign bacterium E. coli OP50 is consumed (Figures 1A and 1B). We use a modified chemotaxis assay on odorants (Bargmann et al., 1993) to measure the olfactory preference between a small lawn of PA14 and a small lawn of OP50 (Figure 1A; STAR Methods). This type of learning is contingent on the pathogenicity of the bacterium used for training and is reversible in adult animals (Ha et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2005). Similar to previous studies, the naive adult animals did not display a strong preference between the smell of PA14 and the smell of OP50 (Figure 1C), but animals trained with PA14 avoided PA14, as indicated by a positive choice index (Figure 1C; STAR Methods). The difference between the choice index of the trained animals and the choice index of the naive animals generated the learning index. A positive learning index indicated the learned avoidance of PA14 (Figure 1D; STAR Methods).

Under our experimental conditions, PA14 is moderately virulent. The intestinal infection caused by ingesting PA14 causes a slow death of adult animals over a course of several days (Tan et al., 1999). Thus, compared with the standard worm food in the lab, the E. coli strain OP50, PA14 is a poor-quality and harmful food source. We asked whether social information modulated the aversive learning of PA14 in worms by adding the mixture of three synthetic ascarosides, ascr#2 (asc-C6-MK; C6), ascr#3 (asc-ΔC9; C9), and ascr#5 (asc-ωC3; C3), to the naive plate and the training plate at a final concentration of 1 μM for each ascaroside (Figure 1B; STAR Methods). Although the comparable concentration of the pheromones can induce dauer formation when food is scarce, the presence of food on the naive and the training plates prevented dauer formation. Meanwhile, the pheromone mixture signals a high worm population density in the environment (Butcher et al., 2007, 2008). We found that the pheromone mixture did not alter the preference between the OP50 odorants and the PA14 odorants in the naive animals (Figure 1C). However, the pheromones significantly weakened the avoidance of the PA14 odorants in the trained animals (Figure 1C), resulting in a complete loss of the aversive learning of PA14 (Figure 1D). In addition, worms that were mutated for daf-22, which encodes a peroxisome-associated thiolase required for the biosynthesis of ascarosides (Butcher et al., 2008), displayed a normal level of PA14 learning (Figure 1E), demonstrating that loss of the pheromones does not disrupt learning.

Because C. elegans secretes a complex mixture of pheromones that include C3, C6, and C9 (Butcher et al., 2007; Butcher et al., 2008; Greene et al., 2016), we next examined whether the environmental cues generated by overcrowding similarly modulated the learning to avoid PA14. We grew worms in liquid culture in a high density for two generations and exposed the naive and the trained worms to the supernatants of the culture, which contained the pheromones secreted by the worms during high-density cultivation, as similarly described in Figures 1A and 1B. We found that, in comparison with the medium control (S medium in Figures 1F–1H), the culture supernatant of wild-type animals significantly decreased the choice index of the trained wild-type animals without altering the choice index of the naive wild-type, resulting in a loss of learning (Figures 1F–1H). In contrast, exposure to the culture supernatant of daf-22 mutant animals did not alter the choice index of either naive or trained animals, producing a learning index comparable to that produced under the control condition (Figures 1F–1H). Together, our results demonstrate that the secreted pheromones that signal a high population density inhibit the aversive learning of a food source that harms C. elegans.

The AWA-Expressed ins-4 and the ADL-Expressed ins-16 Regulate Learning

Next, we sought the molecular and cellular mechanisms through which the pheromones modulate learning. In both vertebrates...
and invertebrates, ILPs regulate physiological events through highly conserved receptors and intracellular kinase cascades. Similar to Drosophila and humans, C. elegans expresses multiple ILPs that combinatorially regulate different physiological processes, including learning (Chen et al., 2013; Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014; Fernandez and Torres-Alemán, 2012; Tomioka et al., 2006; and the references therein). Our previous studies show that the worm ILP pathway plays a critical role in the olfactory learning of pathogenic bacteria. Particularly, ins-6 promotes learning by inhibiting ins-7, which antagonizes the function of the worm insulin-like growth factor receptor DAF-2 in the neural circuit that underlies pathogen learning (Chen et al., 2013; Ha et al., 2010). Here, we tested the mutations of several other ILP-encoding genes (Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014). We found that individually mutating

Figure 1. The Pheromone Mixture Signaling Crowding Inhibits Aversive Learning of Pathogenic Bacteria

(A and B) Schematic diagrams showing the training procedure and the assay for aversive olfactory learning of pathogenic bacterium P. aeruginosa PA14 without (A) or with (B) pheromone exposure.

(C) Wild-type naive animals do not strongly prefer the smell of PA14 or the smell of E. coli OP50, training with PA14 strongly reduces the preference for PA14; exposure to the pheromones does not alter the naive preference but disrupts the training-induced change in the preference of the trained animals. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

(D) Wild-type learns to reduce their olfactory preference for PA14, and exposure to the pheromones abolishes learning. Student’s t test.

(E) Mutating daf-22 does not alter the aversive learning of PA14. Student’s t test.

(F–H) Compared with the culture medium (S medium), exposure to the supernatant of a high-density culture of wild-type worms significantly reduces the trained choice index (G) without altering the naive choice index (F) of the wild-type animals, resulting in the loss of learning (H); in contrast, exposure to the supernatant of a high-density culture of daf-22 mutant animals has no effect (F–H). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test.

For (C)–(H), ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant; the numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12).
several ILPs significantly disrupted the olfactory learning of PA14, and mutating a few others had no clear effect (Figure S1), which identifies the regulatory roles of several ILPs in learning. We focused on ins-4 and ins-16, because deleting either ins-6 or ins-7 from the ins-4 or the ins-16 mutant animals did not alter the learning defect of the mutants (Figure S2). These results indicate that ins-4 and ins-16 do not require the function of ins-6 or ins-7 to regulate learning and likely regulate learning through a new pathway.

Expressing the genomic DNA that contained the 5’ and the 3’ regulatory regions and the coding region of ins-4 or ins-16 rescued the learning defect in the respective mutant animals (Figures 2A and 2B). These results indicate that INS-4 and INS-16 regulate the learning of PA14. To further characterize ins-4 and ins-16, we examined the expression patterns of the two ILP-encoding genes using the transcriptional reporters in which the expression of the mCherry-encoding sequence was driven by the 5’ and 3’ regulatory sequences of ins-4 or ins-16. We found the expression of the ins-4 reporter in several neurons, including the sensory neurons AWA, ASI, and URX (Figures 2C and S3). We found the expression of the ins-16 reporter in the sensory neuron ADL (Figure 2D). Expressing an ins-4 coding sequence specifically in AWA fully rescued the learning defect in the ins-4 deletion mutant animals (Figure 2A), and expressing the ins-4 coding sequence in either ASI or URX did not rescue (Figure 2A). In addition, expressing an ins-16 coding sequence specifically in ADL fully rescued the learning defect in the ins-16 deletion mutant animals (Figure 2B). Together, these results demonstrate that ins-4 expressed in the AWA sensory neurons and ins-16 expressed in the ADL sensory neurons regulate the olfactory learning of PA14.

The Pheromones Signaling Overcrowding Modulate Learning by Regulating the Balance between INS-4 and INS-16 Signals

Intriguingly, although the loss of either ins-4 or ins-16 abolished the olfactory learning, deleting both ins-4 and ins-16 restored learning to the wild-type level (Figures 2E and S2), suggesting that the balance between these two ILP signals are critical for olfactory learning. Previously, we showed that mutating the worm insulin-like growth factor receptor daf-2 disrupted the aversive learning of PA14 (Chen et al., 2013). Here, we found that mutating daf-2 in either the ins-4 or the ins-16 single mutants or in the ins-4; ins-16 double mutants abolished the olfactory learning, consistent with daf-2 being downstream of the ILPs that regulate learning (Figures 2F and S2). Next, we tested the possibility that the balance between the ins-4 and the ins-16 signals is critical for the aversive olfactory learning. We used the miniMos technique (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2014) to generate transgenic animals that expressed a defined copy number of the two transgenes, the AWA-expressed ins-4 that rescued the learning defect in the ins-4 mutants (Figure 2A) and the ADL-expressed ins-16 that rescued the learning defect in the ins-16 mutants (Figure 2B). First, we expressed either two copies of the AWA-expressed ins-4 or two copies of the ADL-expressed ins-16 in the ins-4-; ins-16 double mutants. We found that, although the ins-4-; ins-16 double mutants were normal in learning (Figure 2E), expressing only ins-4 in AWA or only ins-16 in ADL disrupted learning (Figure 3A). Next, we used the same transgenes to express two additional copies of the AWA-expressed ins-4 or two additional copies of the ADL-expressed ins-16 in wild-type animals. We found that overexpressing either of the transgenes also disrupted learning (Figure 3A), indicating that a relatively higher level of either the AWA-expressed ins-4 or the ADL-expressed ins-16 is similarly detrimental for learning. Furthermore, we found that the transgenic animals expressing four copies of both transgenes were normal in learning (Figure 3A), indicating that the balanced expression of a higher level of ins-4 and ins-16 is also sufficient for normal learning. Together, by testing combinations of 0, 2, or 4 copies of these two ILPs, we demonstrate that the balance between the INS-4 signal produced by AWA and the INS-16 signal produced by ADL is critical for the olfactory learning of PA14.

Intriguingly, by quantifying the intensity of the ins-4p::mCherry and the ins-16p::mCherry signals, we found that exposure to the pheromones differentially altered the expression of ins-4 and ins-16. We found that exposure to the pheromones significantly decreased the expression of ins-4 in AWA and significantly increased the expression of ins-16 in ADL in naive animals (Figures 3B and 3C), disrupting the balance between these two ILP signals. Similarly, although training with PA14 increased both the expression of ins-4 in AWA and the expression of ins-16 in ADL, pheromone exposure antagonized the training effect on the AWA-expressed ins-4 by decreasing the expression back to the naive level but further increased the ADL-expressed ins-16 (Figures 3B and 3C). Thus, pheromone exposure also disrupts the balance between the AWA-expressed ins-4 and the ADL-expressed ins-16 in trained animals. In comparison, pheromone exposure did not alter the expression of ins-4p::mCherry in either ASI or URX in naive animals or significantly antagonized the training-induced expression of the reporter in these neurons (Figures 3D and 3E). Together, these results show that the pheromones inhibit learning by disturbing the balance of the two ILPs that is critical for learning.

To further characterize this mechanism that underlies the modulation of learning by the pheromones, we tested the hypothesis that resetting the balance between the AWA-expressed ins-4 and the ADL-expressed ins-16 should restore learning. First, we found that, although pheromone exposure abolished learning in the wild-type animals, it had no effect on the ins-4-; ins-16 double mutant animals (Figure 3F), indicating that the pheromones modulate learning by regulating ins-4 and ins-16. Second, we found that pheromone exposure, which downregulated the ins-4 expression in AWA and upregulated the ins-16 expression in ADL, rescued the learning defect in the ins-16 deletion mutant animals, but not the learning defect in the ins-4 deletion mutants (Figure 3F). Together, these results again demonstrate that the pheromone signals modulate the learning of the harmful food PA14 by regulating a balance between the expression of ins-4 in AWA and the expression of ins-16 in ADL.

We next tested the possibility that regulating the balance between the expression of ins-4 in AWA and the expression of ins-16 in ADL serves as a general mechanism to integrate environmental conditions into the regulation of learning. The concentration of salt, i.e., NaCl, in the standard C. elegans cultivating condition is around 50 mM (Brenner, 1974), and...
Figure 2. AWA-Expressed ins-4 and ADL-Expressed ins-16 Regulate the Learning of PA14

(A) Deleting ins-4 disrupts the learning of PA14, and expressing a wild-type ins-4 genomic DNA sequence or a wild-type ins-4 coding sequence in the sensory neuron AWA rescues the learning defect; but the expression in ASI or URX does not rescue. Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare wild-type with mutant or transgenic animals with non-transgenic controls.

(B) Deleting ins-16 disrupts the learning of PA14, and expressing a wild-type ins-16 genomic DNA sequence or a wild-type ins-16 coding sequence in the sensory neuron ADL rescues the learning defect. Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare wild-type with mutant or transgenic animals with non-transgenic controls.

(C and D) The transcriptional reporter ins-4p::mCherry is expressed in sensory neurons, including AWA (C), and ins-16p::mCherry is expressed in sensory neuron ADL (D). (C) shows individual and merged images of ins-4p::mCherry (magenta) and AWAp::gfp (green), and (D) shows individual and merged images of ins-16p::mCherry (magenta) and ADLp::gfp (green). Small panels show enlarged views of the neurons. Scale bars, 20 μm. The shape of the worm is outlined. A, anterior; D, dorsal.

(E) Although deleting either ins-4 or ins-16 abolishes the learning of PA14, deleting both restores learning to the wild-type level. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

(F) The double-mutant animals ins-4; daf-2 and ins-16; daf-2 and the triple mutant animals ins-4; ins-16; daf-2 are defective in learning. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test.

For (A), (B), (E), and (F), ***p < 0.0001, **p < 0.001, *p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant; the numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12).

See also Figures S1, S2, and S3.
Figure 3. The Pheromones and High-Salt Condition Modulate the Learning of PA14 by Regulating the Balance between INS-4 and INS-16

(A) Expressing two copies of AWA-expressed ins-4 or two copies of ADL-expressed ins-16 in the ins-4; ins-16 double mutants disrupts the learning of PA14, and expressing two additional copies of AWA-expressed ins-4 or two additional copies of ADL-expressed ins-16 in the wild-type animals also disrupts learning; however, expressing four copies of AWA-expressed ins-4 and four copies of ADL-expressed ins-16 generates normal learning. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12). The numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test.

(B and C) Training with PA14 increases the expression of ins-4 in AWA, and pheromone exposure decreases the expression of ins-4 in AWA in both naive and trained animals (B); in contrast, training with PA14 and pheromone exposure both increase the expression of ins-16 in ADL (C).

(D and E) The effects of training and pheromone exposure on ins-4 expression in ASI (D) or URX (E).

(F) The pheromones inhibit the learning of PA14 in wild-type and rescue the learning defect in the ins-16 deletion mutant animals without altering learning of the ins-4 mutant animals or the ins-4; ins-16 double-mutant animals. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12). The numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses. Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test.

(G and H) Training with PA14 increases the level of AWA-expressed ins-4 (G) and the level of ADL-expressed ins-16 (H), and exposure to high salt (200 mM NaCl) decreases the expression of ins-4 in AWA (G) and the expression of ins-16 in ADL (H). The fluorescence intensity of individual neurons is normalized by the average fluorescence intensity of the neurons of the naive animals grown at 50 mM NaCl. Boxes show the median and first and third quartiles, and individual data points are shown as dots. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

(I) Exposure to high salt (200 mM NaCl) rescues the learning defect of ins-4 mutant animals and ins-16 mutant animals without altering learning in either wild-type animals or ins-4; ins-16 double-mutant animals. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12). The numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses. Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test.

For (B)–(E), the fluorescence intensity of individual neurons is normalized by the average fluorescence intensity of the neurons of the naive control animals; boxes show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the minimum and the maximum (GraphPad Prism 8). The numbers of (legend continued on next page)
the worm is able to distinguish a wide range of salt concentrations (Luo et al., 2014). We found that exposure to 200 mM salt decreased the expression of ins-4 in AWA and the expression of ins-16 in ADL in both naïve and trained animals, thereby maintaining the balance between the two ILPs (Figures 3G, 3H, and S4). Consistent with our hypothesis, we found that wild-type animals learned to avoid PA14 similarly in the presence of 200 mM or 50 mM salt condition (Figure 3I). Strikingly, exposure to 200 mM salt concentration rescued the learning defects in both the ins-4 mutant animals and the ins-16 mutant animals but had no effect on the learning of the ins-4; ins-16 double mutant animals (Figure 3I). This further supports our hypothesis by showing that rescuing the balance between the AWA-expressed INS-4 and the ADL-expressed INS-16 with high salt allows the single mutant animals to learn. These results also demonstrate that regulating the relative expression of ins-4 in AWA and ins-16 in ADL is a general mechanism through which the environment modulates learning.

The Pheromones Modulate the Neuronal Activities of ADL and AWA, which Are Critically Required for Learning

Next, we asked how the pheromones interacted with the ILP-producing sensory neurons AWA and ADL. We performed in vivo calcium imaging to examine the activities of these sensory neurons in response to the pheromones and the bacterial food using transgenic animals that expressed the genetically encoded calcium-sensitive reporter GCaMP6s in AWA or GCaMP3 in ADL (Ryu et al., 2018). We performed the imaging experiments in a microfluidic device (Chronis et al., 2007), where sensory stimuli were delivered to the GCaMP-expressing transgenic animal that was confined within the device and had limited movement during the recording. Previous studies show that stimulating the animals with ascr#3 activates ADL, as indicated by an increase in the intracellular GCaMP signal upon exposure to ascr#3 (Jang et al., 2012; Ryu et al., 2018). Here, we found that the mixture of ascr#2, ascr#3, and ascr#5 also activated ADL in naïve animals but to a much lesser extent in PA14-trained animals (Figures 4A and 4B). Prior pheromone exposure reduced the response of ADL and abolished the difference in the ADL response of naïve and PA14-trained animals (Figures 4A and 4B). In contrast, AWA did not respond to the pheromone mixture (Figures 4C and 4D).

Next, we stimulated AWA and ADL with the medium that was conditioned by OP50 or PA14 (STAR Methods). Previous studies show that AWA, but not ADL, responds to E. coli OP50 (Zaslaver et al., 2015). We found that ADL did not respond to either of the food-conditioned media, regardless of prior pheromone treatment (Figures 4E and 4F). In comparison, switching from OP50 to PA14 evoked a strong increase in the AWA-expressed GCaMP6 signal in naïve animals (Figures 4G and 4H). AWA mediates the attractive response to several odorants, and exposure to these odorants activates AWA, as demonstrated by increased GCaMP signals in AWA (Larsch et al., 2015). Thus, AWA responds to the PA14-conditioned medium as more attractive than the OP50-conditioned medium in naïve animals. Training with PA14 reversed the AWA responses to the bacteria-conditioned media, resulting in decreased calcium transients in response to the switch from the OP50-conditioned medium to the PA14-conditioned medium and increased calcium transients upon the switch from PA14 to OP50 (Figures 4G and 4H). These results indicate that, in trained animals, AWA now responds to OP50 as the more attractive cue than PA14.

Pheromone exposure suppressed the PA14-evoked calcium transients in AWA in naïve animals without further changing the responses of AWA to the bacteria-conditioned media in trained animals (Figures 4G and 4H). Hence, pheromone exposure also significantly reduced the difference in food-evoked AWA activities of naïve and trained animals, which is consistent with the inhibitory effect of the pheromones on the learning of PA14 avoidance. Together, these results indicate that pheromone exposure modulates the training-induced difference in the neuronal response of ADL to the pheromones and the neuronal response of AWA to the bacterial odorants between naïve and trained animals, the latter of which, together with other training-dependent changes (Chen et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018), underlies the reduced preference for the training pathogen in trained animals.

Next, we asked whether the neuronal activities of ADL and AWA were important for learning. We expressed a histamine-gated chloride channel (HisCl1) selectively in ADL or AWA. The C. elegans genome does not encode any histamine-gated chloride channel, and ectopic expression of HisCl1 inhibits the activity of the expressing neurons in the presence of histamine (Pokala et al., 2014). We found that histamine treatment of the animals that express HisCl1 in ADL or AWA during training, during choice test, or during training and choice test completely disrupted the learning of PA14 (Figures 4I and 4J). These results indicate that the neuronal activities of ADL and AWA are critical for the worms to generate and display the learning of PA14 and that pheromone exposure, which inhibits learning, alters the sensory responses of these neurons.

ins-16 in the Pheromone-Sensing Neuron ADL Inhibits AWA-Expressed ins-4 and AWA Activity

We have shown that pheromone exposure inhibits learning by disturbing the balance between the AWA-expressed ins-4 and the ADL-expressed ins-16. Although ADL responds to the pheromones, AWA does not. Thus, we asked how pheromone exposure regulated the expression of ins-4. Interestingly, we found that deleting ins-16 significantly increased the expression of ins-4 in AWA, indicating that ins-16 suppresses ins-4 expression (Figure 5A). Because pheromone exposure increases the expression of ins-16 in ADL (Figure 3C), we next asked whether

The neurons quantified are shown in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. For all, ****p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant. See also Figure S4.
overexpressing ins-16 mimicked the pheromone effect of reducing ins-4 expression in AWA. Increasing the copy number of ins-16 in ADL in the wild-type background (ySi8 in Figure 5B) significantly decreased ins-4 expression in AWA, an effect similar to that of pheromone exposure (Figure 5B). Next, we asked whether ins-16 was the only factor that mediated the

Figure 4. Training and Pheromone Exposure Regulate the Neural Activities of ADL and AWA, Both of Which Are Required for Learning

(A–D) The GCaMP signal in ADL increases in response to the stimulation of the pheromones, which is decreased by training and pheromone exposure (A and B), but the GCaMP signal in AWA does not respond to the pheromones (C and D). F is the fluorescence intensity of the neuron at each time point subtracted by the background signal, and \( F_{\text{baseline}} \) is the average fluorescence intensity during the 30-s window before the pheromone stimulation. The solid lines and the shades in (A) and (C) are mean responses and SEM of multiple animals, respectively; the bar graphs in (B) and (D) show the quantitation of the average \((F - F_{\text{baseline}})/F_{\text{baseline}}\) (%) during the pheromone stimulation, mean ± SEM (generated using Igor Pro 6.12), the numbers of the transgenic animals measured are in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (B) and Mann-Whitney U test (D).

(E–H) The GCaMP signal in ADL does not respond to the OP50-conditioned medium or the PA14-conditioned medium (E and F), but the GCaMP signal in AWA increases in response to the switch from the OP50-conditioned medium to the PA14-conditioned medium, which is modulated by training and pheromone exposure (G and H). F is the fluorescence intensity of the neuron at each time point subtracted by the background signal, and \( F_{\text{baseline}} \) is the average fluorescence intensity during the 30-s window before the PA14 stimulation. The solid lines and the shades in (E) and (G) are mean responses and SEM of multiple animals, respectively; the bar graphs in (F) and (H) show the quantitation of the average \((F - F_{\text{baseline}})/F_{\text{baseline}}\) (%) during the PA14 stimulation, mean ± SEM (generated using Igor Pro 6.12), the numbers of the transgenic animals measured are in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots. Student’s t test (F) and two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (H).

(I and J) Inhibiting AWA or ADL by treating the transgenic animals respectively expressing HisC11 in AWA or ADL with histamine during training, during choice test, or during training and choice test, as shown in (I), disrupts learning (J). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. Boxes represent median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent 10th to 90th percentiles (generated using Igor Pro 6.12). The numbers of the assays are in the parentheses. In (I), OP denotes OP50 and PA denotes PA14.

For (A)–(H) and (J), ****p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant.
omone-dependent suppression of ins-4

See also Figure S5.

For all, ****p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant.

modulation of ins-4 by the pheromones. We found that, in the ins-16 deletion mutant animals, pheromone exposure continued to suppress AWA-expressed ins-4 in both naive and trained animals (Figure S5), indicating that other factors also mediate pheromone-dependent suppression of ins-4 expression when ins-16 is deleted. In contrast, deleting ins-4 does not alter the expression of ins-16 in ADL. Student’s t test.

We further characterized whether the activities of the sensory neurons ADL and AWA are important for their respective expression modulates AWA sensory response to food odorants, because AWA does not respond to the pheromones. In addition, this ADL overexpression of ins-16 did not change the AWA response to the food-conditioned medium in naive animals (Figures 5F and 5G), similar to the pheromone effect on the sensory responses of AWA in trained animals (Figures 4G and 4H). Thus, the pheromones increase ins-16 expression in the pheromone-sensing neurons ADL, and increasing ins-16 expression modulates AWA sensory response.

We further characterized whether the activities of the sensory neurons ADL and AWA were important for their respective expression of ins-16 and ins-4. We measured the intensity of the transcriptional reporter for ins-16 or ins-4 in animals that express the histamine-gated chloride channel HisCl1 in ADL or AWA, respectively. We found that the inhibition of ADL...
AWA activity strongly reduced *ins-16* or *ins-4* expression in these two neurons, respectively (Figures 5H and 5I). Together our findings show that ADL and AWA neuronal activities critically regulate the expression of the two learning-regulating ILPs.

**Pheromone Exposure Increases Feeding and Enhances Pathogen Resistance**

If deleting both *ins-4* and *ins-16* does not affect the learning of the pathogenic PA14, what is the functional significance of expressing these two ILPs? Previous studies show that the high

---

Figure 6. Pheromone Exposure Increases Feeding and Enhances Immune Resistance

(A) The *ins-16* mutant animals and the *ins-4*; *ins-16* double-mutant animals are defective in dauer formation (STAR Methods). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. The numbers of assays are shown in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots. Boxes show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the minimum and the maximum (GraphPad Prism 8).

(B) Training with PA14 decreases pumping rate, and pheromone exposure increases the pumping rate in both naive and trained animals (STAR Methods). One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The numbers of animals measured are shown in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots. Boxes show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the minimum and the maximum (GraphPad Prism 8).

(C and D) Pheromone exposure enhances the resistance to PA14 in naive and PA14-trained wild-type animals in the full-lawn slow killing assay (C) and the small-lawn slow killing assay (D). Each experiment contains 3–5 replicates of each condition (STAR Methods) for (C) and (D). The *nsy-1* and the *daf-2* mutant animals display decreased and increased resistance to PA14 infection (Garsin et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2002), respectively, in comparison with the naive wild-type animals, and serve as experimental controls. Kaplan-Meier procedure and log rank test.

(E) Although training with PA14 increases the expression of *lys-7p::gfp*, pheromone exposure further increases the expression in PA14-trained animals. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The fluorescence intensity of individual animals is normalized by the average fluorescence intensity of the naive animals; boxes show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the minimum and the maximum (GraphPad Prism 8). The numbers of the animals quantified are shown in the parentheses, and individual data points are shown as dots.

(F and G) A model for the function of INS-4 and INS-16 in regulating learning (F) and pheromone-mediated modulation of learning (G).

For (A)–(E), ****p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05; ns, not significant.

See also Figure S6.
worm density or the presence of high concentrations of the worm-secreted ascaroside pheromones promotes the formation of stress-resistant dauers (Butcher et al., 2008; Jeong et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2009). We found that cultivating the worms with a limited amount of food and the pheromone mixture (Figure S6; STAR Methods) strongly induced dauer formation in wild-type (Figure 6A). Although deleting ins-4 alone did not alter dauer formation, deleting either ins-16 alone or deleting both ins-4 and ins-16 significantly decreased dauer formation (Figure 6A). Thus, these ILPs also have functions in regulating dauer formation.

Learning to reduce the preference for pathogens, such as PA14, prevents the animals from eating harmful food. Without this learning ability, survival will be compromised unless animals also adopt alternative coping strategies. Thus, we hypothesized that, although social signals blocked the averse learning, these signals likely promoted the consumption of the low-quality food, i.e., PA14, and the resistance to the pathogen. To test this hypothesis, we first measured the pumping rate, which indicated the rate of food intake (Avery and You, 2012). We found that training with the infectious bacterium PA14 significantly reduced the pumping rate, indicating a decreased food intake in the animals that learned to avoid PA14 (Figure 6B; STAR Methods). Interestingly, pheromone exposure increased the pumping rate of the PA14-trained animals on a PA14 lawn, as well as the pumping rate of the naive animals on OP50 (Figure 6B). These results demonstrate that the pheromone mixture that signals overcrowding promotes the consumption of food, including the consumption of the harmful food in trained animals. Because PA14 is infectious to the worms, we also tested whether pheromone exposure increased the resistance to PA14, which could mitigate the negative effects of eating the pathogen. Interestingly, using a slow-killing assay with a lawn of PA14 that either covered the whole plate or only covered the center of the plate (Reddy et al., 2009; Styer et al., 2008; Tan et al., 1999; STAR Methods), we found that the pheromones significantly improved the resistance to PA14 in both the naive animals and the trained animals (Figures 6C and 6D). In addition, the pheromone mixture significantly increased the expression of lys-7 in trained animals, based on the signals of the lys-7::gfp transcriptional reporter (Alper et al., 2007) in the pheromone-exposed and PA14-trained animals (Figure 6E). lys-7 mediates innate immune responses to PA14 infection (Evans et al., 2008). Thus, these results indicate that pheromone exposure enhances the immune resistance to the infection of PA14. Together, we show that, during times of high population density, worms reprogram their physiological responses from learning to avoid bad food to increasing its consumption of and resistance against this harmful food.

**DISCUSSION**

**The Pheromone Mixture that Signals Overcrowding Inhibits the Learning of Harmful Food**

We examined whether the pheromone mixture that signals high-density conspecifics modulated a type of associative learning through which *C. elegans* learns to avoid the smell of bacteria food that makes them ill. We report that, when the population-density-signaling pheromones are abundant in the environment, the worms no longer learn to avoid the smell of the pathogenic bacteria. We show that the pheromones activate the sensory neuron ADL and increase its expression of the ILP ins-16. Increasing ins-16 expression in ADL suppresses the sensory response of the bacteria-sensing neuron AWA and decreases its expression of ins-4, another ILP. Moreover, we show that learning requires a balance between the AWA-expressed INS-4 and the ADL-expressed INS-16, as well as the activities of ADL and AWA, which regulate the expression of ins-16 and ins-4, respectively. Thus, disrupting the balance between INS-4 and INS-16 expression through pheromone-mediated sensory neuron activities inhibits learning (Figures 6F and 6G).

Furthermore, we find that the pheromone mixture not only prevents the worms from learning to avoid the pathogenic bacteria, it also increases the ingestion of the harmful food and enhances the resistance to the pathogenic infection that likely becomes more severe as a result of ingesting more pathogenic bacteria. Together, we demonstrate how pheromones modulate a type of learning important in promoting worm survival and elucidate the molecular and cellular mechanisms that integrate social cues into the regulation of learning.

Our results demonstrate that learning depends on social context, which is among the most important conditions that impact survival. Previous studies show that pheromones that transmit social information between individual animals can either promote learning, such as the positive effect of darcin in mice on learning a location, or inhibit learning, such as the inhibitory effect of a pheromone produced by the queen honeybee on associative learning in young worker bees (Roberts et al., 2012; Vergoz et al., 2007). Our results on the modulatory effects of *C. elegans* pheromones that signal overcrowding on the olfactory learning, food intake, and immune resistance against the pathogenic food indicate that social pheromones regulate learning as part of a suite of adaptive traits generated in response to the signals of high population density. The set of behavioral and physiological adaptations together allows the worms to eat more pathogenic bacteria when a high density of conspecifics need to consume food. We suggest that our findings uncover a biological significance behind the diverse functions of the ILP family members in animal physiology: they contribute to the coordinated whole-organismic response to the environment.

**AWA-Expressed ins-4 and ADL-Expressed ins-16 Regulate Learning and Mediate the Modulatory Effects of Social Pheromones on Learning**

We show mechanistically that the social information represented by the pheromones modulates the learning of harmful food by regulating the ILPs in the sensory neurons ADL and AWA that respond to the pheromones and the food, respectively. Consistent with previous studies (Jang et al., 2012; Ryu et al., 2018), our results show that ADL respond to the ascaroside pheromones. We also find that training with PA14 decreases the response of ADL to the pheromones, suggesting a decreased repulsion of the pheromones to the worms after training. Prior pheromone exposure abolishes this training-dependent difference between naive and trained animals. In addition, although the AWA neurons in naive animals respond
to PA14 odorants as more attractive than OP50 odorants, training with PA14 reverses these sensory responses, demonstrating a decreased olfactory preference for PA14 in the trained animals. Pheromone exposure also significantly diminishes the difference in the AWA sensory response to PA14 between naive and trained animals. These results indicate that exposure to the pheromones modulates the sensory activities of ADL and AWA, both of which are required to generate and display normal learning. These results further show that ADL and AWA integrate the information of both social environment and food to modulate a food-seeking-related learning behavior.

ADL and AWA, respectively, produce the INS-16 and INS-4 signals to regulate learning. Pheromones activate ADL and increase ins-16 expression, and increasing ins-16 expression inhibits AWA sensory activity and the expression of ins-4 in AWA. Furthermore, the activities of ADL and AWA regulate the expression of the ILPs that they produce. The regulation of gene transcription is a common cellular response to neuronal activity (Yap and Greenberg, 2018). Thus, the transcriptional regulation of the ILPs in response to the pheromone-dependent activities of the sensory neurons transduces social information into neuronal ILP signals that regulate learning of harmful food.

The insulin/ILP pathway functions widely in the brains of metazoans by acting through highly conserved receptors and intracellular kinase cascades. Multiple members of the insulin/ILP family are found in mammals, fruit flies, and worms to regulate physiological responses to various internal and external environments (Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014; Fernandez and Torres-Aleman, 2012). Although mammalian insulin and ILPs exert their functions by binding to their own receptors with high affinity, the worm genome is so far known to encode a single insulin-like growth factor receptor DAF-2, which has been shown to produce at least two splice variants (Fernandez and Torres-Aleman, 2012; Kenyon et al., 1993; Kimura et al., 1997; Ohno et al., 2014). Thus, it is perhaps surprising to see that different ILPs in the worm play non-redundant functions and the ILPs produced by different neurons have distinct roles. For example, we have shown here that INS-4 produced by the AWA sensory neurons and INS-16 produced by the ADL sensory neurons regulate the learning of the pathogenic bacteria food. We propose that several mechanisms regulate the functional specificity of ILPs produced by different cells. Our results show that the neuronal activity of the sensory neurons regulates their production of the ILPs. Meanwhile, the release of neuropeptides, such as ILPs, is known to be strongly regulated by neuronal activity (van den Pol, 2012). In addition, the temporal pattern of extracellular signals, similar to those transmitted by ILPs, is critical for the biological responses elicited in the target cells (Ji et al., 2010). Thus, the production, release, and downstream effects of an ILP signal can be profoundly regulated by the activity of the neurons that generate it. We propose that the specific sensory-evoked activities in the pheromone-sensing ADL neurons and the food-sensing AWA neurons confer the functional specificity for the ADL-expressed INS-16 and the AWA-expressed INS-4, which are released with the appropriate temporal and spatial patterns to modulate learning. Functional specificity is also observed for mammalian ILPs generated by different sources, which likely represent specific information of distinct internal environments (Fernandez and Torres-Aleman, 2012). These findings together suggest that distinct functions of the ILP-producing neurons contribute to the functional specificity of the ILP family members, which carry different types of environmental information decoded by the releasing neurons. It is plausible that the production and release of many ILPs expressed in the nervous system will allow for the integration of various environmental information into the regulation of animal behavior and physiology.

The Balanced Expression of ins-4 and ins-16 is Critical for Learning

The genetic interaction between ins-4 and ins-16 is intriguing. Although deleting either ins-4 or ins-16 disrupts learning, deleting both rescues the learning defect in the single mutants. Increasing the expression of either ins-4 or ins-16 also disrupts learning, revealing the critical role of the balanced expression of ins-4 and ins-16 in regulating learning. We propose that both ins-4 and ins-16 can inhibit learning and that they inhibit each other under a normal condition (Figures 6F and 6G). This model is consistent with the learning phenotypes of the single- and the double-mutant animals and with the modulatory effects of the social pheromones on learning. It is further supported by our findings that deleting ins-16 or overexpressing ins-16, respectively, increases or decreases the expression of ins-4 in AWA. However, ins-4 does not suppress the expression of ins-16, suggesting that the inhibition of ins-16 by ins-4 likely occurs downstream of ins-16 expression. This model represents the most likely scenario that explains how ins-4 and ins-16 regulate the olfactory learning of pathogenic bacteria and the pheromonal modulation of learning.

Several other studies reveal how ILP-to-ILP regulation contributes to the compensatory interactions between the ILP members and to their specific and diverse roles in the brain and other systems (Chen et al., 2013; Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014; Fernandez and Torres-Aleman, 2012; Gronke et al., 2010). Here, we reveal a new type of signaling mechanism through which a balance between the INS-4 and INS-16 signals produced by specific sensory neurons is critical for their function in regulating olfactory learning of harmful food. Interestingly, both the social pheromones and the high-salt condition impinge on this exquisite ILP signaling mechanism to modulate the learning of the pathogenic food, revealing that ILPs act at the interface of environment and physiology to regulate adaptive responses to various internal and external conditions.
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria or Ascarosides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em> PA14</td>
<td>PA14</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> OP50</td>
<td>OP50</td>
<td>Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascaroside #2</td>
<td>asc-C6-MK; C6</td>
<td>Butcher et al. (2007, 2008, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascaroside #3</td>
<td>asc-ΔC9; C9</td>
<td>Butcher et al. (2007, 2008, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascaroside #5</td>
<td>asc-ω-C3; C3</td>
<td>Butcher et al. (2007, 2008, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine- dihydrochloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>N2(Bristol)</th>
<th>CGC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>daf-22(m130) II</em></td>
<td>DR476</td>
<td>CGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-2(tm4467) II</em></td>
<td>QL76</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-3(tm3608) II</em></td>
<td>QL28</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em></td>
<td>QL27</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-5(tm2560) II</em></td>
<td>QL24</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-8(tm4144) IV</em></td>
<td>QL52</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em></td>
<td>QL53</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-22(ok3616) III</em></td>
<td>QL46</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-23(tm1875) III</em></td>
<td>QL14</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-25(ok2773) I</em></td>
<td>QL47</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-28(ok2722) I</em></td>
<td>QL48</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-33(tm2988) I</em></td>
<td>QL50</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-35(ok3297) V</em></td>
<td>QL51</td>
<td>Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-39(tm6467) X</em></td>
<td>ZC2751</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>daf-2(e1368) III</em></td>
<td>QZ120</td>
<td>Chen et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>kyls140 I; nay-1(ky397) II</em></td>
<td>CX4998</td>
<td>CGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em></td>
<td>ZC2825</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>hpDf761 II; unc-119(ed3) III</em></td>
<td>ZM6523</td>
<td>Hung et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>ins-7(tm2001) IV</em></td>
<td>ZC2746</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-6(tm2416) II</em>; <em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em></td>
<td>ZC2826</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em>; <em>ins-7(tm2001) IV</em></td>
<td>ZC2827</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em>; <em>daf-2(e1368) III</em></td>
<td>ZC3190</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>daf-2(e1368) III</em></td>
<td>ZC3192</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em>; <em>daf-2(e1368) III</em></td>
<td>ZC3248</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>yxE1434[ins-4p::ins-4::3’UTR; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2772</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>yxE1461[odr-10p::ins-4; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2835</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>yxE1618[grp-4p::ins-4; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC3120</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-4(tm3620) II</em>; <em>yxE1555[gcy-36p::ins-4; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC3009</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em>; <em>yxE1442 [ins-16p::ins-16::3’UTR; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2792</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ins-16(ok2919) III</em>; <em>yxE1480[srh-220p::ins-16; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2863</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>jsxSi27[ins-4p::mCherry] II</em></td>
<td>QZ716</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>drcSi63[ins-16p::mCherry] II</em></td>
<td>QL263</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>jsxSi27 II; yxE1455[odr-10p::gfp; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2821</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>drcSi63 II; yxE1468[srh-220p::gfp; unc-122p::gfp]</em></td>
<td>ZC2845</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Yun Zhang (yzhang@oeb.harvard.edu).

All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact without restriction.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* hermaphrodites were used in this study. The *C. elegans* strains were maintained at 20°C as described (Brenner, 1974). The strains used in this study are listed in the Key Resources Table.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Transgenes and transgenic animals**

To make the DNA plasmid `ins-4p::ins-4::30UTR`, a 4 kb DNA fragment was generated using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (forward primer 5' agagttgtcaagaagtgcgtcaagttgt 3' and reverse primer 5' gacaaccgtccctccgtttcataataa 3') and cloned into the pCR8 Gateway entry vector (Invitrogen). To make the DNA plasmid `ins-16p::ins-16::30UTR`, a 4.7 kb DNA fragment was generated using PCR (forward primer 5' gttgagggctatatcttgtaaacagacag 3' and reverse primer 5' aagtctgaagtttagccaaggttgaccta 3') and cloned into the pCR8 Gateway entry vector (Invitrogen). To make `ins-4p::mCherry`, we used recombineering pipeline (Sarov et al., 2006) to replace the `ins-4` coding sequence on WEM061CG10 fosmid with `mCherry` cassette generated by PCR (ins-4 Redplf Fw: 5' tgtttaattttcataatttttcagaagtttttcaaaagctccaagagaatgAGCTCAGGAGGTAGCGG 3' and ins-4 Redplf Rv: 5' ctctattgaaaattatttgacgacggagatggcttgttggacgactgtttGGCAGATCGTCAG TCAG 3') and subcloned the `ins-4::mCherry` fusion into the BSD vector generated with PCR (5' tttacctcaattttccagactcaatcgaaactcttcaatcttcaaggaaacctaggTTAGCCCTCCCACACATAACC 3' and ins-4 PUBp15A: 5' gtccaatataaaacgagtcttgacacatttatcaattcctgttctgaatacctgcaggCTAGGGAT AACAGGGTAATTG 3').

**REAGENT or RESOURCE IDENTIFIER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>yxSi1[odr-10p::ins-4]</code> X</td>
<td>ZC2969</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-4(tm3620) II; ins-16(ok2919) III; yxSi6[odr-10p::ins-4]</code> I</td>
<td>ZC2996</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-4p[ins-16p::ins-16]</code></td>
<td>ZC3089</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-4(tm3620) II; ins-16(ok2919) III; yxSi10[ins-16p::ins-16]</code> I</td>
<td>ZC3091</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxSi8 I; yxSi1 X</code></td>
<td>ZC3260</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>lskEx218[se-1p::GCaMP3; unc-122p::dsRed]</code></td>
<td>KHK225</td>
<td>Ryu et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxEx1393[gap-4delta6p::GCaMP6s; unc-122p::dsRed2]</code></td>
<td>ZC2706</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>jsI571 II; yxEx1582[apa-4p::gfp; unc-122p::gfp]</code></td>
<td>ZC3037</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>jsI571 II; yxEx1552[gcy-36p::gfp; unc-122p::gfp]</code></td>
<td>ZC3006</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxEx1638[odr-10p::HisC11; unc-122p::gfp]</code></td>
<td>ZC3160</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxEx1683[jrh-220p::HisC11; unc-122p::gfp]</code></td>
<td>ZC3237</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxSi8 I; jsI571 II</code></td>
<td>ZC3186</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-4p[ins-16p::ins-16]</code></td>
<td>ZC2893</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-4p[tm3620] II; drcSi63 II</code></td>
<td>ZC3187</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ins-16(ok2919) III; yxEx1393</code></td>
<td>ZC2896</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>yxSi8 I; yxEx1393</code></td>
<td>ZC3188</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>jsI571 II; yxEx1638</code></td>
<td>ZC3261</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>drcSi63 II; yxEx1683</code></td>
<td>ZC3264</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>pha-1(e2123) III; denEx2</code></td>
<td>SAL105</td>
<td>Alper et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Software**

GraphPad Prism 8 https://www.graphpad.com

Igor Pro 6.12 https://www.wavemetrics.com

Fiji/ImageJ https://fiji.sc/
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The deletions are: ins-4(tm3620) and recombined with the destination vector pDEST-ins-4::unc-54 3'UTR, following the manufacturer's instruction. To make pDEST-ins-4::unc-54 3'UTR, the coding region of the ins-4 genomic locus (634bp) was amplified from wild-type genomic DNA (Nhel_ins-4_primer_F: 5'-CCGGTACCAATTTCATTTTTAACG 3' and KpnI_ins-4_primer_R: 5'-CCGGTACCTACGAGATTTTTAGCG 3') and cloned into a pDEST vector. A 2.46 kb gpa-4 promoter and a 1.09 kb gcy-36 promoter were used for expression in ASI and URX, respectively (Chen et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2015). To generate the plasmid shr-220p::ins-16::unc-54 3'UTR, a 4 kb DNA sequence upstream of the shr-220 gene specifically expressed in the ADL (McCarroll et al., 2005) was generated using PCR, cloned into the pCR Gateway entry vector and recombined with the pDEST-ins-16::unc-54 3'UTR following the manufacturer’s instruction. To make pDEST-ins-16::unc-54 3'UTR, the coding region of the ins-16 genomic locus (285bp) was amplified from wild-type genomic DNA (Nhel_ins-16_primer_F: 5'-CGGCTAGCATGGAAAGCCCTA 3' and KpnI_ins-16_primer_R: 5'-CCGGTACCTAAATTGTGATT 3') and cloned into a pDEST vector. To make pDEST-HisClI::unc-54 3'UTR, the coding region of HisClI was digested from pNP403 (gift from Dr. C. Bargmann) by using Nhel and Kpnl and cloned into a pDEST vector. Transgenic animals were generated by injecting transgene(s) (10 - 30 ng/µL) mixed with a co-injection marker unc-122p::gfp or unc-122p::DsRed2 (10 ng/µL) using the standard methods (Mello et al., 1991). The transgenic animals containing single-copy transgenes, xySi[odr-10p::ins-4], xySi[odr-10p::ins-4], xySi[ins-16p::ins-16], or xySi[ins-16p::ins-16] were generated and isolated with the miniMos method (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2014).

Genotyping

Two pairs of primers were designed to examine the genotype of ins-4(tm3620) or ins-16(ok2919) using PCR. One pair differentially amplifies wild-type and mutant isoforms of each gene, while the other pair only amplifies the corresponding wild-type sequence. The primers are: for ins-4(tm3620), 5'-gatggctgtttgacgactg 3'; 5'-gatgcgttggtgacagctg 3'; 5'-gatgtgacctccagatgaact 3'; for ins-16(ok2919), 5'-gtctgacttcggtccagacg 3'; 5'-gaaattttcttcgaacgctg 3'; 5'-ggtgaacttcggtcagctg 3'; 5'-gatgtgacctccagatgaact 3'; for ins-16(ok2919), 5'-gaaattttcttcgaacgctg 3'; 5'-ggtgaacttcggtcagctg 3'; 5'-gaaattttcttcgaacgctg 3'; 5'-acgtttaatgctaaaaattttt 3'. The DNA fragments generated by PCR were sequenced to confirm the deletions. The flanking regions and the deletions are: ins-4(tm3620) 5’-acctctccatgacagccagaa [153bp deletion] acgtttaatgctaaaaattttt 3’; ins-16(ok2919) 5’-cggaataatttcttcgaacgctg 3’.

Aversive olfactory training and two-choice olfactory preference assay

The long-term aversive olfactory training and the two-choice olfactory preference assay were performed similarly as described previously (Chen et al., 2013) and as shown in Figure 1A. To prepare a training plate, 250 µL PA14 culture in NGM medium (nematode growth medium, 2.5 g peptone/L, 3.0 g NaCl/L, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 25 mM KPO4 pH 6.0, 1 mL 5 mg/mL Cholesterol/L) was spread on a 10 cm NGM plate. The plates were dried on bench, incubated at 26°C before use. Embryos were collected by bleaching well-staged and well-fed gravid adults cultivated under the standard condition. Around 500 embryos were put on each naive or training plate for training. The standard chemotaxis plates (1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 5 mM KPO4 pH 6.0) were used for assay. To prepare the bacteria spots for the choice assay, a single OP50 or PA14 colony was picked into 30 mL NGM medium in a 250 mL flask to incubate at 26°C for 12-16 hours. The supernatant was removed and the bacteria pellets were resuspended with NGM medium to reach final OD 600 around 1.0. Twenty-five µL of resuspended bacteria culture of OP50 or PA14 was added to the two opposite sides of an assay plate, air-dried and let stand at room temperature for less than 2 hours before use. Animals were washed off from the training plate or the control plate and washed twice before putting on the assay plate. 50-200 worms were put in the center of each assay plate, dried with Kimwipes and assayed for 90 minutes. At the end of the assay, 1 µL 1M sodium azide was added to each bacterial lawn to immobilize the worms for counting. A choice index was determined as the number of the worms on the small OP50 lawn minus the number of the worms on the small PA14 lawn, which was normalized by the total number of the worms in one assay. A learning index was determined as the choice index of one assay on the trained animals subtracted by the average choice index of the naive animals on the day, similarly as previously described (Jin et al., 2016). A positive choice index indicates a preference for OP50 and a positive learning index indicates learned avoidance of PA14.

In all pheromone experiments a 1:1:1 ratio of three ascarosides, ascr#2 (asc-C6-MK; C6), ascr#3 (asc-∆C9; C9), and ascr#5 (asc-ωC3; C3) (Butcher et al., 2007, 2008), was used to reach a final concentration of 1 µM for each. Briefly, the appropriate amount of ascaroside stock solution made with 200 proof ethanol (33.3 µL of a 3mM stock of each ascaroside) was added to 100 mL NGM agar that had cooled to ~55°C to reach the final concentration. The plates were stored at 4°C and used within one week. The NGM plates and the assay plates with 50mM or 200mM NaCl were made similarly as described above with the appropriate NaCl concentration. The training and the assays under the high salt condition were performed similarly as described above. To inhibit
neurons that expressed HisCl1, histamine-dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the NGM plates and/or the assay plates as needed to reach a final concentration of 5 mM. The training and assay were otherwise performed as described above. The statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 8.

**Confocal microscopy**

Images were collected on a confocal Nikon Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope with a 40X oil-immersion objective with an ANODR iXon Ultra EMCCD camera. NIH ImageJ was used to generate a maximum intensity Z-projection. The intensity of a reporter expression in each cell body was determined as the mean value of a circle centered on the soma minus the mean background intensity. The expression intensities of multiple somata were measured on different days. Adult worms were used for the quantitation. The statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 8.

**Calcium imaging**

Calcium imaging was performed essentially as previously described (Ha et al., 2010) using a microfluidic device that delivered the stimuli and limited the movement of the animal (Chronis et al., 2007). The animals were cultivated and treated with pheromones as described above. To prepare the bacteria-conditioned media, a single OP50 or PA14 colony was picked into 30 mL NGM medium in a 250 mL flask to incubate at 26°C overnight to reach the OD_{600} between 0.3 and 0.5 for OP50 culture and between 0.5 to 0.7 for PA14 culture. The culture was spun down in a benchtop centrifuge at 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was collected to use as the conditioned-medium. To prepare the pheromones for imaging, 3.3 mL stock solution of C3, C6 and C9 at 3 mM each was added into 10 mL NGM buffer to reach the final concentration of each ascaroside at 1 μM. The movies for the fluorescence time-lapse imaging were collected using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-U inverted microscope with a 40X oil immersion objective equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 scanner unit and a Photometrics CoolSnap EZ camera at 5 frames per second. The movies were analyzed by using Fiji. Briefly, the GCaMP signal of the imaged neuron on each movie frame, F, was determined as the average fluorescence intensity of a region of interest (ROI) that contained the soma of the neuron subtracted by the average fluorescence intensity of the background of the same size and shape. F_{baseline} is the average fluorescence intensity during the 30 s window before the PA14 stimulation or the pheromone stimulation and (F - F_{baseline})/F_{baseline} (%) was obtained for all frames in each movie. Multiple worms were imaged for each experiment and average (F - F_{baseline})/F_{baseline} (%) were plotted as a function of time.

**Measuring dauer formation**

The assay plates were prepared one day before use. 500 mL NGM-agar (no peptone) was autoclaved and cooled down to 55°C. The pheromone mixture was added to the NGM-agar to make the final concentration of each ascaroside to be 1 μM (aliquot 3 mL NGM-agar and 50 μL diluted pheromone mixture containing 1 μL 3 mM C3, 1 μL 3 mM C6, 1 μL 3 mM C9 and 47 μL water to each 3.5 cm assay plate). To prepare the OP50 food, an individual OP50 or PA14 colony was picked into 30 mL NGM medium in a 250 mL flask to incubate at 26°C overnight to reach the OD_{600} between 0.6 and 0.8. The OP50 culture was spun down in a benchtop centrifuge at 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes, supernatant was removed and the bacteria pellet was resuspended with 3 mL LB. Twenty μL resuspended OP50 was added to the center of an assay plate and dried close to a flame. Five young adult worms were picked onto each assay plate to lay eggs for about 3 hours and then removed. The assay plate was placed in a closed plastic box containing a damp paper towel, which was put in a 25°C incubator for about 64-72 hours before the numbers of dauers and L4/adults on each plate were counted. The percent of offspring dauer was quantified by dividing the number of dauers on each plate by the total number of dauers plus L4/adults. The dauer index was calculated as (percent of offspring dauer) / (average percent of wild-type offspring dauer) x 100%. The statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 8.

**Measuring pumping rate**

The pumping rate under each condition was measured on the third day of the training (Figures 1A and 1B). The pharyngeal pumps in each worm were counted under Zeiss AXIO Zoom V16 microscope for 3 times and for 20 s each time, the total number of which was determined as the average fluorescence intensity of the background of the same size and shape. F_{baseline} is the average fluorescence intensity during the 30 s window before the PA14 stimulation or the pheromone stimulation and (F - F_{baseline})/F_{baseline} (%) was obtained for all frames in each movie. Multiple worms were imaged for each experiment and average (F - F_{baseline})/F_{baseline} (%) were plotted as a function of time.

**Slow killing assay**

The slow killing assay was performed similarly as described (Reddy et al., 2009; Styer et al., 2008; Tan et al., 1999). To make a plate for the slow killing assay with a full lawn, 100 μL overnight LB culture of PA14 was spread on a 6 cm NGM plate to cover the plate completely. To make a plate for the slow killing assay with a small lawn, 10 μL PA14 was put on the center of a 3.5 cm NGM plate. The seeded plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and then at 25°C for overnight to grow the PA14 lawns. Twenty to thirty adult animals from the naive plate, the training plate, the naive plate containing the pheromones, or the training plate containing the pheromones were transferred onto each slow killing assay plate. All of the assay plates were kept at 25°C and scored for live and dead worms twice every day or as frequently as needed. Live worms were transferred to fresh slow killing assay plates on each day to prevent confusion between the tested animals and their progeny. No DNA synthesis inhibitor or RNAi treatment was used during the assay. The statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 8 and OASIS 2 (Han et al., 2016).
**Liquid culture of *C. elegans* in high density**

The liquid culture of *C. elegans* was generated as described previously (Stiernagle, 2006). Briefly, 75 mL S Medium (to make 1L S Medium, 1L S Basal, 10 mL trace metals solution, 10 mL 1 M potassium citrate, 3 mL 1 M MgSO₄, 3 mL 1 M CaCl₂ were mixed together with all components sterilized with appropriate filters) was added to a sterilized 500 mL flask and inoculated with concentrated *E. coli* OP50. Adult worms were bleached and the eggs were washed with 1 mL M9 buffer for twice. The eggs were added to the 75 mL S Medium in the flask, which was put on a shaker at 120 rpm at 20°C for 6 days. Concentrated *E. coli* OP50 suspended in S Medium was added daily to provide a sufficient amount of food. The culture was transferred to a 50 mL sterile conical centrifuge tube and spun down by using a benchtop centrifuge at 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was filtered three times. Fifty mL of the filtered supernatant or 50 mL S Medium was added into 250 mL NGM agar to make training or naive plates. The training and the assay were performed similarly as described above.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The boxplots were generated using Igor Pro 6.12 or GraphPad Prism 8, the statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 8, and imaging analysis was done using Fiji or ImageJ. The statistical tests, value of n and what each n value represents, and other related measures are shown in the legend of each relevant figure. In all, **** p < 0.0001, *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05, ns, not significant.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

The published article includes all datasets generated or analyzed during this study.