Adaptive optical microscopy for neurobiology
Cristina Rodríguez\(^1\) and Na Ji\(^{1,2}\)

With the ability to correct for the aberrations introduced by biological specimens, adaptive optics — a method originally developed for astronomical telescopes — has been applied to optical microscopy to recover diffraction-limited imaging performance deep within living tissue. In particular, this technology has been used to improve image quality and provide a more accurate characterization of both structure and function of neurons in a variety of living organisms. Among its many highlights, adaptive optical microscopy has made it possible to image large volumes with diffraction-limited resolution in zebrafish larval brains, to resolve dendritic spines over 600 μm deep in the mouse brain, and to more accurately characterize the orientation tuning properties of thalamic boutons in the primary visual cortex of awake mice.
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Introduction
Optical microscopy has allowed the discovery of physical structures and phenomena otherwise invisible or unresolvable to our bare eyes. A wide variety of disciplines have greatly benefited from this centuries old methodology. In particular, the field of modern neuroscience is based on Ramón y Cajal’s identification of neurons as the elementary computational unit of the brain using optical microscopes.

Because of the wave nature of light, the resolution of conventional optical microscopes is limited by diffraction to approximately half the wavelength of light. In practice, however, optimal performance of microscopes is achievable only under rather limited conditions, requiring specific coverglass thickness and immersion medium for the objective. Additionally, the optical properties of the specimen and the immersion medium need to be matched. The latter is seldom achieved for most biological samples, whose very own mixture of ingredients (e.g. water, proteins, nuclear acids, and lipids) gives rise to spatial variations in the refractive index. Such inhomogeneities induce wavefront aberrations, leading to a degradation in the resolution and contrast of microscope images that further deteriorates with imaging depth.

By dynamically measuring the accumulated distortion of light as it travels through inhomogeneous specimens, and correcting for it using active optical components, adaptive optics (AO) can recover diffraction-limited performance deep within living systems. In the present review, we outline the fundamental concepts and methods of adaptive optical microscopy, highlighting recent applications of this technology to neurobiology. As opposed to other schemes that target the scattered light \([1–3]\), this review focuses on approaches that act on the focus-forming ballistic light and correct for lower order aberrations. For a more in-depth examination on adaptive optical microscopy applications, methods, and implementations, we direct the reader to other comprehensive sources \([4–7]\).

Adaptive optics in microscopy
To recover ideal imaging performance, AO methods measure the distorted wavefront(s) involved in image formation, and modify the wavefront accordingly to compensate for such aberrations (Figure 1), which can be introduced by the sample \([8,9]\) or intrinsic to the optical system. Detecting the presence of aberrations in a microscope can be done by measuring its point-spread function (PSF), which is typically achieved by imaging a point object of sub-diffraction dimensions (e.g. fluorescent bead) in 3D, or alternatively, by measuring the wavefront leaving the exit pupil of the microscope \([10]\) and calculating the 3D PSF through a Fourier transform. Any deviation from the ideal PSF indicates the presence of aberrations, an effect that is often easier to detect on the axial plane (Figure 1b).

The implementation of AO in microscopy depends on how image formation is attained in the specific microscopy modality. For example, in laser scanning microscopy (e.g. confocal and multiphoton microscopy), specimen-induced aberrations distort the wavefront of the excitation light and prevent the formation of a diffraction-limited focal spot (Figure 1). For multiphoton microscopes, where the signal is detected by a non-imaging detector (e.g. a photomultiplier tube), aberration...
The effect of aberrations on image quality. (a) An aberration-free wavefront leads to a diffraction-limited focal spot in a point-scanning (e.g. two-photon fluorescence) microscope. (b) Specimen refractive index mismatches distort the wavefront of the excitation light, leading to a dim, enlarged focus. (c) Optimal imaging performance can be recovered by pre-shaping the wavefront of the excitation light to cancel out the specimen-induced aberration. The sinusoidal curves denote the phase relationship among the rays. Axial images obtained from two-photon excitation of 1-μm fluorescent red beads are shown for three different cases: (a) ideal, aberration-free imaging conditions, (b) an artificial aberration is introduced, causing a 8.8-fold decrease in brightness and a degradation of axial resolution, and (c) adaptive optics is used to recover ideal imaging performance.

Adaptive optics methods in microscopy
The different implementations of AO in microscopy [4–7] mainly differ in how the aberration is measured, and are commonly classified into direct and indirect wavefront sensing methods.

Direct wavefront sensing
Direct wavefront sensing methods make use of a dedicated wavefront sensor, such as a Shack–Hartmann (SH) wavefront sensor, to directly measure wavefront aberrations (Figure 2). Such a scheme has been widely employed in ground-based telescopes [11] to measure and correct atmospheric aberrations, allowing the formation of high-quality images of astronomical objects.

In optical microscopy, a common direct wavefront sensing approach involves measuring the wavefront from a light-emitting point-like source generated via fluorescence excitation or back scattering of the excitation light [12**,13**,14–23]. Light from such a ‘guide star’ accumulates aberrations as it propagates through the sample and the instrument before reaching a SH sensor, consisting of a 2D array of lenses and a camera (Figure 2a). The local slope of each wavefront segment can be determined from the displacement of the focus of the corresponding light ray from its aberration-free position on a camera placed at the focal plane of the lenslet array (Figure 2b). By assuming a continuous wavefront, the phase offset of each segment can be calculated [24] and the wavefront reconstructed. This information is then used to control the wavefront shaping device in order to compensate for instrument-induced and sample-induced aberrations before image formation. Since wavefront aberration is
Adaptive optical microscopy using direct wavefront sensing. (a) Two-photon fluorescence microscope using a Shack–Hartmann (SH) sensor, PMT, photomultiplier tube. (b) A wavefront is incident on a SH wavefront sensor, where an array of lenslets focus the light into a 2D array of foci onto a camera. The local slopes of wavefront segments can be measured from the displacements of the foci relative to their aberration-free positions. (c) Two-photon in vivo imaging of zebrafish larval brain obtained without and with AO correction [12*]. (d) Two-photon in vivo imaging of dendritic spines in the mouse brain obtained without and with AO correction (left), and SH sensor image with its corresponding corrective wavefront (right) [13*].
obtained in a single measurement, direct sensing and correction can operate at high speed (e.g. milliseconds).

Accurate wavefront aberration measurements from such a direct wavefront sensing scheme are only possible when enough ballistic (unscattered) light reaches the wavefront sensor. As such, this method works well in cultured cells and transparent specimens.

Indirect wavefront sensing
Indirect wavefront sensing schemes, needing only a wavefront shaping device, are typically easier to implement into existing microscopes and can be readily used for scattering samples (Figure 3). One of several indirect methods, based on pupil-segmentation, relies on similar physical principles as SH wavefront sensors. By measuring lateral image shifts when different pupil subregions are sequentially illuminated, the local slope of each wavefront segment can be calculated [25]. The phase of each segment can then be obtained by direct interference measurements [26] or through reconstruction algorithms. By illuminating one pupil segment at a time, each image is taken under a lower NA with an enlarged focus. As a result, the images can contain contributions from structures originally beyond the excitation volume under full-pupil illumination, making image shift measurements difficult in densely labeled samples. Alternatively, the entire pupil can be illuminated at all times [27], thus maintaining the full excitation NA and rendering this approach applicable to samples of arbitrary labeling density. Scanning one ray around the aberrated reference focus formed by the remaining rays while monitoring the variation of the signal strength reveals the additional tilt needed for maximal interference, ultimately yielding the local slope of this wavefront segment. To speed up the aberration measurement and improve the signal-to-noise ratio, gradients of multiple wavefront segments can be determined in parallel through frequency multiplexing [28**].

Another indirect approach, known as modal wavefront sensing, involves acquiring a series of images while intentionally distorting the wavefront by a known combination of orthogonal aberration modes (e.g. Zernike polynomials) using a wavefront shaping device. The wavefront is adjusted iteratively until a certain image metric is optimized, such as brightness or sharpness [31–34]. When the signals are bright and stable, genetic or hill-climbing algorithms have been employed to find the optimal correction [35–38]. In some cases, the metric is mathematically related to the amount of aberrations present, and a minimum of \(N + 1\) measurements need to be carried out for determining \(N\) aberration modes [39–41].

A different wavefront correction approach, termed ‘focus scanning holographic aberration probing’ (F-SHARP), directly measures the amplitude and phase of the scattered electric field PSF via the interference of two excitation beams, allowing for fast wavefront correction of both aberrations and scattering at high resolution [30**]. Placing a wavefront shaping element at a Fourier plane to the image plane, the required correction pattern is the two-dimensional Fourier transform of the measured PSF of the scattered electric field.

Adaptive optical imaging improves morphological imaging of neurons in vivo
Visualizing biological structures and processes in vivo is one of the most important applications of optical microscopy, as it allows the study of biological systems in their natural state, and can provide information otherwise not attainable from in vitro preparations. In the following, we review a number of recent experimental realizations that illustrate how neurobiology has benefited from using adaptive optical microscopy to correct for brain-induced aberrations in vivo.

For morphological imaging of neurons, measuring and correcting for brain-induced optical aberrations, using either direct or indirect wavefront sensing methods, improves image quality and can allow individual synaptic terminals to be resolved at depth.

Using a fast direct wavefront sensing scheme (‘Direct wavefront sensing’ section) with two-photon excited visible-fluorescent guide stars, diffraction-limited two-photon imaging was demonstrated in large volumes (>240 μm per side) in zebrafish larval brains in vivo (Figure 2c) [12**]. This correction scheme was also applied for multicolor diffraction-limited confocal imaging in the zebrafish brain down to 200 μm, allowing for the study of subcellular organelles [13**]. By making use of the reduced tissue scattering of NIR guide stars, the applicability of direct wavefront sensing was extended to tissues that strongly scatter visible light [13**]. Such an approach allowed in vivo two-photon microscopy imaging of the mouse brain at depth (Figure 2d), with the ability to resolve synaptic structures down to 760 μm.

To use the visible fluorescent signal for wavefront sensing in scattering brain tissue at depth, indirect wavefront sensing methods need to be employed. In one of the early demonstrations of adaptive optical microscopy for in vivo brain imaging, a pupil-segmentation approach with single-segment illumination (‘Indirect wavefront sensing’ section) allowed for diffraction-limited two-photon imaging 450 μm below the surface of the mouse brain in vivo [42*]. The same method has also been used to correct for system aberrations in two-photon fluorescence microscopy [43,44]. For more densely labeled samples, the frequency-multiplexed pupil-segmentation method (‘Indirect wavefront sensing’ section) proved successful at measuring and correcting for aberrations during in vivo two-photon imaging of Caenorhabditis elegans, zebrafish...
Adaptive optical microscopy using indirect wavefront sensing. (a) An AO two-photon fluorescence microscope. PMT, photomultiplier tube. (b) Two-photon maximal-intensity projection images of dendrites at 376–395 μm below dura measured without and with AO in the mouse brain in vivo, using the frequency-multiplexed pupil-segmentation method [28**]. (c) In vivo three-photon transcutical imaging in the lateral horn of the fly brain [29**]. (d) Two-photon in vivo imaging of zebrafish larval brain obtained without and with AO correction, 300 μm under the brain surface, using F-SHARP [30**].

larva, and mouse brains [28**]. With the synaptic-level resolution achievable with such a scheme, it was possible to resolve submicrometer-sized spines inside a Thy1-YFP-H mouse brain at depth (Figure 3b). For a more densely labeled brain (wild-type mouse with viral GCaMP6s [45] expression), a single correction improved image quality at 427-μm to 547-μm depth, with fine neuronal processes and even the much larger somata going from invisible to clearly resolvable after AO correction.
Other indirect wavefront sensing methods have also been used to improve the signal and contrast during in vivo brain imaging. Modal approaches have been used to improve image quality in two-photon imaging of the mouse brain in vivo [33,46]. Three-photon fluorescence microscopy, where longer excitation wavelengths lead to reduced light scattering and larger in vivo imaging depth [47,48], also benefits from AO correction [49]. A modal approach has been used to improve the signal and contrast during in vivo three-photon imaging of neurons (780 µm depth) and vasculature (1-mm depth) inside the mouse brain [50]. The greater penetration depth of three-photon excitation also allowed transcuticle three-photon imaging of neuronal structures in the lateral horn of the fly brain, where a modal approach was used to correct the aberration introduced by the cuticle and the brain (Figure 3e) [29]. F-SHARP [30] (‘Indirect wavefront sensing’ section) can compensate for both aberrations and scattering, allowing the acquisition of high-contrast images inside turbid tissue, including zebrafish larva and mouse brain in vivo (Figure 3d).

Some general rules can be recognized from these studies.

Unlike the atmospheric aberration in astronomical AO, which changes on the scale of milliseconds and requires fast direct wavefront sensing for realtime correction, aberrations in the brain are much more temporally stable. Time-varying aberrations may arise, if brains in the embryo stage need to be imaged over hours, during which changes in the shape and/or composition of the brains may lead to variations in the brain-induced aberration. However, aberrations in the adult brains are usually temporally stable when compared to the imaging period [42]. This makes the speed of aberration correction a less crucial factor and allows both direct and indirect wavefront sensing methods to achieve effective corrections.

The mouse brain has limited curvature (often further reduced by the cranial window that presses on the brain), which allows a single correction to improve image quality over hundreds of microns in 3D [13**,28**,42**]. The low spatiotemporal variation of brain-induced aberrations therefore facilitates the application of adaptive optical microscopy to mouse brains, where aberration correction only needs to be carried out at the beginning of an imaging session, with the resulting correction improving the image quality throughout the hours of experiments to follow.

In contrast, in highly curved samples such as zebrafish larval brain [13**] or Caenorhabditis elegans [28**], correlative patterns are often highly local, and a single aberration correction may improve image quality only in the close vicinity (tens of microns) of where the wavefront was measured. For such samples, direct wavefront sensing, if applicable, is the preferred choice due to its high correction speed.

In scattering tissue (e.g. adult brains), to compensate for the exponential loss of ballistic photons with imaging depth, one has to increase the excitation power exponentially. Eventually, the electric field strength at the surface of the brain becomes high enough to generate two-photon fluorescence signal without the need for focal confinement. When the out-of-focus fluorescence signal overwhelms the in-focus signal, the ultimate imaging depth limit of two-photon fluorescence microscopy is reached [51]. Because AO increases focal intensity and thus in-focus signal, but affects out-of-focus signal minimally [28**], correcting brain-induced aberrations increases the imaging depth limits.

One consistent observation is that correcting the same specimen-induced aberration improves the brightness of small features (e.g. synaptic terminals) more than large features (e.g. somata) [28**,42**]. This is because aberrations lead to an enlarged focal volume and thus weaker light intensity. The signal of small features approaching a point object, depends on local light intensity, and thus is substantially reduced by the focal intensity loss in an aberrated focus. For large extended features, on the other hand, the reduction of focal intensity is partly compensated by the increase of focal volume, which allows more fluorophores to contribute to the final signal [52]. In other words, while fluorescent probes and excitation strategies utilizing a longer wavelength range allow cell bodies to be visualized at increasing depths [48,53,54], adaptive optical microscopy remains crucially needed whenever synapse-sized structures are to be imaged at depth.

**Adaptive optical imaging improves functional imaging of neurons in vivo**

In the context of neurobiology, an important class of experiments involves measuring neural activity with fluorescent indicators, with the most popular being calcium transient measurements using genetically encoded calcium indicators such as GCaMP6 [45,55]. AO correction leads to a more accurate characterization of the functional properties of neurons.

Similar to morphological imaging, AO correction improves the brightness and contrast of functional images (Figure 4a). In addition, it has been consistently observed that the amplitude of calcium transients increases after AO correction [13**,28**,42**,56**], which results from the reduced excitation focal volume and enhanced focal brightness after aberration correction. In brains densely labeled with fluorescent sensors (e.g. through bulk loading [42**], viral injection [28**], or transgenic expression [13**,46]) another challenge arises: in addition to the more brightly labeled neurons of interest (i.e. the ones from which regions of interest are chosen), there is also a
Adaptive optics improves the accuracy of calcium activity measurements. (a) Calcium transients evoked by the stimulation of a drifting grating, 400 and 500 μm below pia in the primary visual cortex of a mouse (Thy1-GCaMP6s line GP4.3) without and with AO correction (left panel). Calcium transients at regions of interest (ROI) i–vi, without and with AO correction (right panel). (b) Calcium transients (left panel) and tuning curves (center panel) for three different ROIs taken from images of GCaMP6s+ thalamic axons without and with AO, at a depth of 170 μm. Percentages of non-responsive (NR), not orientation selective (NOS), and orientation selective (OS) boutons; and cumulative distributions of global orientation selectivity index (gOSI) for boutons measured without and with AO (right panel). Cranial window thickness is 170 μm.

Spatially diffuse neuropil background from more weakly labeled neurons. Because this signal is an average from many neurons and is generally less correlated with the stimulus feature, it is considered a contamination [57]. As explained in the previous section, aberration correction increases the signal from our spatially confined regions of interest more than that from the neuropil contamination, and thus increases the strength of calcium transients.

For orientation tuning measurements that characterize the selectivity of neurons in the mouse visual pathway towards drifting gratings of various orientations, AO correction leads to a sharpening of their tuning curves (Figure 4). When imaging thalamic boutons located in the primary visual cortex of awake mice (Figure 4b), it was found that the percentage of visually responsive boutons, as well as those classified as orientation selective, steadily increases with decreasing amount of aberration [56**]: with a 340-μm-thick cranial window, 70% of all imaged boutons appeared to be non-responsive to visual stimuli and only 7% satisfied orientation-selective criteria; with a thinner cranial window of 170 μm, 31% of boutons were found to satisfy orientation-selective criteria, in contrast to 48% orientation-selective boutons as determined when the same boutons were imaged after AO correction. Between the latter two conditions, correcting aberrations sharpens the orientation tuning curves and leads to an overall shift of the global orientation selectivity index distribution towards higher selectivity, more accurately reflecting the tuning properties of these synaptic inputs.
Conclusions and future directions
Adaptive optical microscopy has proven successful at measuring and correcting for brain-induced aberrations at depth, providing the synaptic resolution required for accurate characterization of both structural and functional properties of neurons. Given the maturity of this methodology, the next challenge is to advance from the demonstration-of-principle experiments to daily applications in neurobiology laboratories. For this, we need methods that can provide aberration correction for a wide variety of specimens, in a fast and accurate fashion, which, once implemented, are robust and simple to use. Finding the best AO method to use, however, is complicated by several factors, including the spatial and temporal variabilities of the aberrations to be corrected, whether the sample is transparent or highly scattering, together with the available budget and expertise. Direct sensing methods outperform indirect methods when it comes to aberration measurement speed and accuracy, provided enough unscattered light reaches the SH wavefront sensor. Indirect methods are easier to implement into existing microscopes and work for both scattering and transparent samples, but can be slow and may not always reach optimal correction. Once implemented, however, emerging brighter and longer-wavelength fluorescent sensors would allow adaptive optical microscopy to push the depth limits of in vivo imaging in scattering samples even further.
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