Introduction

Morphine produces analgesia by activating the µ-opioid receptor (MOR), a member of the G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily. MOR stimulation results in the activation of heterotrimeric G\(\alpha_i/\beta\gamma\) proteins composed of a G\(\alpha_i\) subunit and a G\(\beta\gamma\) heterodimer. Signaling is terminated via the intrinsic GTPase activity of the G\(\alpha_i\) subunit, and this process is enhanced by regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins. RGS proteins are GTPase-accelerating proteins (GAPs) and therefore reduce G\(\alpha_i\)-mediated signaling duration and intensity (De Vries et al., 2000; Ross and Wilkie, 2000; Hollinger and Hepler, 2002). Therefore, RGS proteins have been proposed as drug targets for several disease states, including both pain and addiction (Neubig and Siderovski, 2002; Traynor and Neubig, 2005).

There are 20 RGS proteins with GAP activity. These are divided into several families based on the structure of the RGS homology domain that binds G\(\alpha\) and is responsible for the classical GAP function. RGS proteins have been demonstrated to regulate signaling negatively through several GPCRs in vitro, including MOR (Potenza et al., 1999; Clark et al., 2003; Clark and Traynor, 2004; Psifogeorgou et al., 2007). Studies evaluating the contribution of individual RGS proteins to opioid effects in vivo have generally used gene knock-down or knock-out strategies in several disease states, including both pain and addiction (Neubig and Siderovski, 2002; Traynor and Neubig, 2005).
thermal stimuli: the hot-plate test for supraspinal nociception and the warm-water tail-withdrawal test for spinal nociception. In addition, opioid modulation of GABA synaptic transmission was monitored in periaqueductal gray (PAG) neurons. Loss of RGS activity toward Gαo, resulted in prolonged baseline latencies in both nociceptive tests due to an enhancement of endogenous opioid peptide signaling. Moreover, there was an enhanced potency of morphine to elicit antinociception in the hot-plate test and to inhibit GABA release in the PAG in Gαo+/-Gαo mice, all pointing to negative regulation of MOR signaling by RGS proteins. In contrast, a paradoxical decrease in antinociception was observed in the tail-withdrawal test.

Materials and Methods

Transgenic mice. Gαo, RGS mice were generated as described previously (Fu et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006; Goldenstein et al., 2009) and were backcrossed for 6 generations onto a 129S1/SvImJ background. Gαo+/-Gαo and wild-type littermates were obtained at the expected Mendelian frequency for wild type and Gαo+/-Gαo crosses (data not shown). Experiments were performed using male and female mice between 10 and 25 weeks of age and weighing between 20 and 25 g. Mice were group-housed by sex with unlimited access to food and water. Lights were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00), and all testing was performed during the light phase. Studies were performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals established by the National Institutes of Health and all experimental protocols were approved by the University of Michigan Committee on the Use and Care of Animals.

Antinociceptive tests. Supraspinal antinociception was evaluated in the hot-plate test and spinal antinociception was measured in the warm-water tail-withdrawal test using a cumulative dosing procedure, as described previously (Lamberts et al., 2011). Briefly, mice were administered saline followed by 3–4 increasing doses of morphine or methadone in 30 min intervals, and latency was evaluated 30 min after each intraperitoneal injection. To evaluate the role of endogenous opioid peptides in baseline nociception, latency was determined 30 min after injection of the opioid antagonist naltrexone (NTX; 10 mg/kg, i.p.).

For the hot-plate test, mice were placed on a hot-plate analgesia meter (Columbus Instruments) maintained at 52.0 ± 0.2°C and the latency to lick the forepaw(s) or jump was measured with a cutoff time of 60 s to prevent tissue damage. For the tail-withdrawal test, mice were lightly restrained and the distal tip of the mouse’s tail was placed in a water bath (Fisher Scientific) maintained at 50.0 ± 0.5°C. The latency to tail flick was measured with a cutoff time of 20 s.

Membrane preparation. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation and whole-brain tissue (minus cerebellum) or thoracic and lumbar spinal cord was removed and immediately chilled in ice-cold 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4 (Tris buffer). Homogenates were prepared as described previously (Lester and Traynor, 2006) and final membrane pellets were resuspended in Tris buffer and stored at −80°C until use otherwise indicated. Protein content was determined by the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976).

Western blot analysis of G-proteins. Whole-brain or spinal cord homogenates (20 μg of protein) were mixed with sample buffer (63 mM Tris, pH 6.8, with 2% SDS, 10%, glycerol, 0.008% bromophenol blue, and 50 μM dithiothreitol) and separated by SDS-PAGE on polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose (Pierce) and probed with rabbit polyclonal anti-Gαo (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Samples were also probed with mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich) as a loading control. Blots were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:10,000) and immunoreactivity was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence in an EpiChem3 Benchtop Darkroom (UVP). Band densities were quantified using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/index.html).

Data analysis. All data were analyzed using Prism 5 software (GraphPad). Differences between genotypes were evaluated using Student’s unpaired t test or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-test, where appropriate. For all statistical tests, significance was set at p < 0.05 and was adjusted for multiple comparisons if necessary. Initial statistical analysis revealed a lack of sex × genotype interaction for any measure, so data from both male and female mice were pooled for final genotype comparisons.

Tris buffer with the radiolabeled opioid agonist [3H]diprenorphine ([3H]DPN; 4 nM) in the absence or presence of the MOR-selective antagonist D-Phe-Cys-Tyr-d-Trp-Arg-Thr-NH2 (CTAP; 300 nM) to define MOR. To measure high-affinity MOR expression, homogenates from whole brain (100 μg of protein) were incubated in Tris buffer with increasing concentrations of the radiolabeled MOR-selective agonist [3H]d-Ala2-N-MePhe4-Gly-ol5-enkephalin ([3H]DAMGO; 0.24–44 nM). Homogenates from spinal cord (100–200 μg of protein freshly prepared) were incubated in Tris buffer with 12 nM [3H]DAMGO. All binding reactions were incubated for 60 min at 25°C. Nonspecific binding was evaluated in the presence of the opioid antagonist naltrexone (NAL; 10 μM). Reactions were stopped by rapid filtration through GF/C filter mats (Whatman) using an MLR-24 harvester (Brandel). Bound radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting using a Wallac 1450 MicroBeta counter (PerkinElmer).

[35S]GTPyS-binding assays. To measure G-protein activity, the incorporation of a slowly hydrolyzed GTP analog, guanosine-5’-O-(3-[[32]S]thio)triphosphate ([35S]GTPyS), into activated Ga subunits was monitored ex vivo. Homogenates from whole brain (10 μg of protein) or spinal cord (25–50 μg of protein, freshly prepared) were preincubated in [35S]GTPyS-binding buffer (50 mM Tris, 5 mM MgCl2, 100 μM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4, with 2 mM dithiothreitol, 100 μM GDP, and 0.4 U/ml adenosine deaminase) for 10 min at 25°C with or without opioid agonist (DAMGO, morphine, or methadone). Reactions were started by the addition of 0.1 nM [35S]GTPyS, followed by incubation for 90 min at 25°C. Nonspecific binding was evaluated in the presence of 10 μM unlabeled GTPyS. Binding reactions were stopped by rapid filtration and bound radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting, as described above.

Electrophysiology. Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold cutting buffer (75 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 6 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM NaH2PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 2.5 mM d-glucose, and 50 mM sucrose). Cortical or hippocampal coronal sections (~230 μm) containing the PAG were sliced in cutting buffer oxygenated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Slices were then maintained at 35°C in oxygenated artificial CSF (126 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 2.4 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 1.2 mM NaH2PO4, 21.4 mM NaHCO3, and 11.1 mM d-glucose, pH 7.4, at 300–310 mosm) until experimentation.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from visually identified PAG neurons. Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass (WPI) on a two-stage puller (Narishige). Pipettes had a resistance of 2–4 MΩ and intra-celular solutions contained 130 mM CsCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 1.1 mM EGTA, 30 mM d-glucose, 4 mM Mg-ATP, and 1 mM Na-GTP, pH 7.3, at 280–290 mosm. Whole-cell series resistance was compensated for by ~80%. Evoked GABA-mediated IPSCs (eIPSCs) were elicited with a bipolar stimulating electrode placed ~200–300 mm distally and intracellular recordings were made from a x20 (eIPSCs). Amplitude of the AMPA receptor antagonist 6-nitro-2,3-dioxo-1,4-dihydrobenzo[f]quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide (5 μM). Stimulation pulses (2 ms) were delivered at 0.05 Hz. Currents were collected at 2 Hz and digitized at 5 kHz using an Axopatch 200B amplifier controlled by Axograph Data Acquisition software (Axograph X). During each experiment, a voltage step of −10 mV from the holding potential was applied periodically to monitor cell capacitance and access resistance. Recordings in which access resistance or capacitance changed by >15% during the experiment were excluded from data analysis.

Drugs. For behavioral experiments, all drugs were diluted in sterile water. Morphine sulfate was from RITA, NTX hydrochloride was from Endo Pharmaceuticals, and 1-methadone hydrochloride was from Eli Lilly. [3H]DPN, [3H]DAMGO, and [35S]GTPyS were from PerkinElmer. Adenosine deaminase was from Calbiochem. DAMGO, CTAP, NAL, (Met5)enkephalin (ME), and all other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated.

Data analysis. All data were analyzed using Prism 5 software (GraphPad). Differences between genotypes were evaluated using Student’s unpaired t test or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-test, where appropriate. For all statistical tests, significance was set at p < 0.05 and was adjusted for multiple comparisons if necessary. Initial statistical analysis revealed a lack of sex × genotype interaction for any measure, so data from both male and female mice were pooled for final genotype comparisons. In vivo potency (ED50) was calculated by fitting the compiled...
antinociception data to an agonist versus response curve (Hill slope = 1); maximal binding ($B_{max}$) and binding affinity ($K_d$) were derived by fitting each radiodigand binding experiment to a one-site saturation-binding curve (Hill slope = 1); and in vitro potency (EC50) was calculated by fitting individual [35S]GTPγS-binding experiments to an agonist versus response curve (Hill slope = 1). All data are reported as the means ± SEM except ED50 values, which are expressed as the means and 95% CI.

**Results**

$G_{o\alpha}$ +/GS mice demonstrate enhanced morphine antinociception in the hot-plate test

We have shown previously that $G_{o\alpha}$ plays an important role in opioid antinociception (Lamberts et al., 2011). To determine whether antinociception mediated by $G_{o\alpha}$ is modulated by interactions with RGS proteins, in the present study, $G_{o\alpha}$ +/GS mice were evaluated for opioid supraspinal antinociception using the 52°C hot-plate test (Fig. 1). In the absence of agonist, baseline hot-plate latency was significantly prolonged in $G_{o\alpha}$ +/GS mice compared with wild-type controls ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 1a). To evaluate whether the increase in baseline hot-plate latency was due to enhanced opioidergic tone, a separate group of mice was pre-treated with the opioid antagonist NTX (10 mg/kg, i.p.) before determination of hot-plate latency (Fig. 1a). Pretreatment with NTX blocked the increase in baseline hot-plate latency in $G_{o\alpha}$ +/GS mice ($p < 0.01$), but had no effect in wild-type controls ($p > 0.05$). Two-way ANOVA revealed significant effects of both...
genotype ($F_{(1,66)} = 5.8, p = 0.019$) and treatment ($F_{(1,66)} = 8.4, p = 0.005$), with a nonsignificant genotype × treatment interaction ($F_{(1,66)} = 2.9, p = 0.094$).

Morphine evoked a dose-dependent increase in hot-plate latency that was significantly enhanced (~2-fold) in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 1b). In wild-type mice, the potency (ED$_{50}$a) of morphine was 2.71 (95% CI, 2.10–3.49) mg/kg compared with 1.46 (95% CI, 1.11–1.93) mg/kg in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice. There were significant effects of both dose ($F_{(4,71)} = 79, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,71)} = 7.7, p = 0.007$), whereas the dose × genotype interaction was not significant ($F_{(4,71)} = 2.0, p = 0.100$).

To determine whether this effect was specific to morphine, we also measured antinociception produced by the higher-efficacy MOR agonist methadone. In contrast to morphine, we also measured latency that was significantly reduced (~3-fold) in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice compared with wild-type littermates (Fig. 1c). The ED$_{50}$ values for methadone were 1.41 (95% CI, 0.22–0.34) mg/kg and 0.12 (95% CI, 0.09–0.15) mg/kg, respectively. There were significant effects of both dose ($F_{(3,78)} = 77, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,78)} = 23, p < 0.001$) and a significant dose × genotype interaction ($F_{(3,78)} = 3.1, p = 0.031$).

Opioid antinociception is reduced in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice in the tail-withdrawal test

To evaluate whether the enhancement of morphine antinociception in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice was specific to supraspinal pathways, antinociception was also evaluated using the 50°C warm-water tail-withdrawal test (Fig. 2). The tail-withdrawal test is thought to measure primarily spinal nociception and involves modulation of a simple spinal reflex (Irwin et al., 1951). At baseline, tail-flick latency was slightly prolonged in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice compared with wild-type littermates ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2a). Similar to observations in the hot-plate test, pretreatment with NTX (10 mg/kg, i.p.) reversed the increase in tail-flick latency in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice ($p < 0.05$), but did not affect tail-flick latency in wild-type animals ($p > 0.05$; Fig. 2a). There was a significant genotype × treatment interaction ($F_{(1,77)} = 5.2, p = 0.026$), although the main effects of neither genotype ($F_{(1,77)} = 0.63, p = 0.428$) nor treatment ($F_{(1,77)} = 1.4, p = 0.236$) were significant.

Increasing doses of morphine produced an increase in tail-flick latency that was significantly reduced (~3-fold) in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice compared with wild-type littermates (Fig. 2b), with ED$_{50}$ values of 3.08 (95% CI, 2.49–3.82) mg/kg and 1.11 (95% CI, 0.92–1.33) mg/kg, respectively. There were significant effects of both dose ($F_{(3,76)} = 180, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,76)} = 66, p < 0.001$), as well as a significant dose × genotype interaction ($F_{(3,76)} = 10, p < 0.001$).

Like morphine, methadone was also less potent (~2-fold) in $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 2c), with ED$_{50}$ values of 0.27 (95% CI, 0.22–0.34) mg/kg and 0.12 (95% CI, 0.09–0.15) mg/kg, respectively. There were significant effects of both dose ($F_{(3,78)} = 77, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,78)} = 23, p < 0.001$) and a significant dose × genotype interaction ($F_{(3,78)} = 3.1, p = 0.031$).

Opioid inhibition of GABA release is potentiated in PAG neurons from $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice

One of the mechanisms by which opioids produce antinociception is by removing tonic GABA inhibition (i.e., GABA disinhibition) of descending antinociceptive neurons that emanate from the PAG (Moreau and Fields, 1986; Reichling et al., 1988). This effect can be measured by evaluating the ability of opioids to inhibit eIPSCs in slices containing the PAG (Vaughan and Christie, 1997; Vaughan et al., 1997). To determine the role of RGS proteins in opioid-mediated GABA disinhibition, slices containing the PAG were isolated from wild-type and $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice and the ability of either morphine or the higher-efficacy opioid peptide ME to inhibit eIPSCs was measured using whole-cell voltage-clamp electrophysiology (Fig. 3).

Superfusion of morphine inhibited the amplitude of GABA eIPSCs in both wild-type and $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice, but the inhibition elicited by a submaximal concentration of morphine (5 μM) was enhanced in slices from $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3a). There were significant main effects of both concentration ($F_{(1,18)} = 16, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,18)} = 11, p = 0.003$), although the concentration × genotype interaction was not significant ($F_{(1,18)} = 0.82, p = 0.377$). Similarly, application of ME at a concentration of either 300 nM or 10 μM resulted in a greater inhibition of eIPSCs in slices from $\text{G} \alpha_o + / \text{GS}$ mice ($p < 0.05$) compared with slices from wild-type littermates (Fig. 3b). There were significant effects of both concentration ($F_{(3,18)} = 36, p < 0.001$) and geno-
type ($F_{1,8} = 21, p = 0.002$), whereas the concentration × genotype interaction was not significant ($F_{1,8} = 0.00, p = 0.989$).

**$\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice exhibit a loss of $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ expression in brain and spinal cord**

To determine whether the knock-in mutation affected G-protein levels, whole-brain or spinal cord homogenates from $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice were subjected to Western blot analysis of G-protein expression (Fig. 4). Quantification of Western blot images revealed that in $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice, total $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ protein expression was significantly reduced (~25–35%) in both whole brain ($t_{(14)} = 2.2, p = 0.048$; Fig. 4a) and spinal cord ($t_{(12)} = 2.2, p = 0.049$; Fig. 4a) compared with wild-type controls. In contrast, the expression of several other G-protein subunits, including $\text{G} \alpha_z$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^1$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^2$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^3$, $\text{G} \beta_1$–4, and $\text{G} \gamma_2$, was unchanged in either whole brain or spinal cord from $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice ($p > 0.05$; Fig. 4b–g).

We demonstrated previously that reduction of $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ protein by ~50% in mice results in reduced high-affinity MOR expression with no change in total MOR number (Lamberts et al., 2011). To evaluate whether the smaller, ~25–35% loss of $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ expression in $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice affected MOR levels, whole-brain or spinal cord homogenates were subjected to radioligand-binding analysis using the MOR-selective agonist $[^3\text{H}]$DAMGO (Table 1). In homogenates from whole brain, saturation-binding experiments revealed no difference in $[^3\text{H}]$DAMGO $B_{\text{max}}$ between genotypes ($t_{(11)} = 0.73, p = 0.479$; Table 1). Furthermore, there were no differences in $[^3\text{H}]$DAMGO $K_d$ between $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice and wild-type controls ($t_{(11)} = 0.54, p = 0.600$; Table 1). Similarly, there were no changes in MOR expression in spinal cord homogenates from $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS mice, as measured by $[^3\text{H}]$DAMGO binding at a maximal concentration (12 nM; $t_{(6)} = 0.43, p = 0.683$; Table 1). Total opioid receptor expression (MOR and $\delta$- and $\kappa$-opioid receptors), as measured by the nonspecific antagonist $[^3\text{H}]$DPN (4 nM), was not different in either whole brain ($t_{(9)} = 0.57, p =$

![Figure 4. G-protein expression in whole-brain or spinal cord homogenates from wild-type (WT) and $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ +/GS (+/GS) mice. a–g. Homogenates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed for the expression of $\text{G} \alpha_\text{o}$ ($n = 7–8$; $\text{G} \alpha_z$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^1$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^2$, $\text{G} \alpha_i^3$, $\text{G} \beta_1$–4, and $\text{G} \gamma_2$) using tubulin as a loading control. G-protein band densities were quantified in ImageJ and normalized to tubulin band densities, and data are plotted as a percentage of WT within each tissue. *$p < 0.05$ compared with wild type in the same tissue by Student’s t test. Legend in a also describes b–g. All data are plotted as the mean ± SEM.](image-url)
0.582) or spinal cord ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 0.56, p = 0.596$) of $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type littermates (Table 1). MOR expression was measured in the total pool of opioid receptors using the MOR-selective antagonist CTAP (300 nM). Neither whole brain ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 0.56, p = 0.590$) nor spinal cord expression of MOR ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 0.73, p = 0.495$) was altered in $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type controls (Table 1).

To determine whether the loss of $G_{i}$ protein in $G_{i} + / +$ mice was associated with a reduction in G-protein activation, opioid agonist-stimulated G-protein activity was evaluated in whole-brain or spinal cord homogenates using the [$^{35}$S]GTPγS-binding assay (Fig. 5; Table 2). In whole brain, basal G-protein activity was significantly lower in $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type littermates ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 3.5, p = 0.003$; Table 2). However, [$^{35}$S]GTPγS incorporation stimulated by the high-efficacy MOR-selective agonist DAMGO was unchanged in whole brain from $G_{i} + / +$ mice (Fig. 5a, top). Statistical analysis of DAMGO concentration-response curves obtained in whole-brain homogenates from wild-type and $G_{i} + / +$ mice revealed a significant effect of concentration ($F_{[7,128]} = 53, p < 0.001$), whereas there was neither a significant effect of genotype ($F_{[1,128]} = 0.45, p = 0.503$) nor a significant concentration × genotype interaction ($F_{[7,128]} = 0.22, p = 0.980$). There was no change in DAMGO potency (EC$_{50}$) between $G_{i} + / +$ mice and wild-type littermates ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 1.6, p = 0.126$; Table 2). In contrast, morphine-stimulated G-protein activation was attenuated in whole-brain homogenates from $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 5a, bottom). Analysis of the morphine concentration response in whole-brain homogenates from wild-type and $G_{i} + / +$ mice demonstrated significant effects of both concentration ($F_{[7,112]} = 36, p < 0.001$) and genotype ($F_{[1,112]} = 6.6, p = 0.012$), although the concentration × genotype interaction was not significant ($F_{[7,112]} = 0.92, p = 0.493$). However, there was no difference in the EC$_{50}$ for morphine between $G_{i} + / +$ and wild-type mice ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 1.2, p = 0.247$; Table 2).

G-protein activation was also measured in whole-brain homogenates using a saturating concentration of methadone (10 μM). [$^{35}$S]GTPγS incorporation stimulated by methadone was unchanged in whole brain from $G_{i} + / +$ mice (percent stimulation: 64.2 ± 11, n = 4) compared with wild-type controls (percent stimulation: 69.0 ± 15, n = 3; $t_{[G_{i}]} = 0.27, p = 0.801$).

In the spinal cord, there was also a reduction in basal [$^{35}$S]GTPγS incorporation in $G_{i} + / +$ mice ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 2.4, p = 0.042$; Table 2). DAMGO stimulation of G-protein activation was not different between wild-type and $G_{i} + / +$ spinal cord (Fig. 5b, top). There was a significant main effect of concentration ($F_{[7,32]} = 88, p < 0.001$), although the effect of genotype ($F_{[1,32]} = 0.25, p = 0.623$) and the concentration × genotype interaction were not significant ($F_{[7,32]} = 0.08, p = 0.999$). Moreover, there was no difference in DAMGO EC$_{50}$ between genotypes in this tissue ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 0.14, p = 0.892$; Table 2). Morphine-stimulated G-protein activity was also unchanged in spinal cord from $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type littermates (Fig. 5b, bottom). There was a significant effect of concentration ($F_{[7,32]} = 21, p < 0.001$), but there was no significant effect of genotype ($F_{[1,32]} = 0.37, p = 0.545$) and no significant concentration × genotype interaction ($F_{[7,32]} = 0.72, p = 0.658$). Moreover, morphine EC$_{50}$ was not altered in spinal cord homogenates from $G_{i} + / +$ mice compared with wild-type littermates ($t_{[G_{i}]} = 1.1, p = 0.332$; Table 2).

### Discussion

In this study, we show that endogenously expressed RGS proteins regulate opioid antinociception by acting at $G_{i}$-coupled receptors. Mice expressing $G_{i}$ RGSi subunits demonstrated an opioid-dependent increase in baseline latency in two different thermal nociceptive tests: the hot-plate test, a measure of supraspinal nociception (Heinricher and Morgan, 1999), and the warm-water tail-withdrawal test, which primarily involves spinal nociceptive pathways (Irwin et al., 1951; Cesselin et al., 1999). Furthermore, these mice exhibited an enhancement of morphine-mediated antinociception in the hot-plate test, as well as a potentiation of opioid inhibition of GABA transmission in the PAG. These data confirm the hypothesis that RGS proteins regulate MOR signaling and antinociception negatively. In contrast, there was no effect of the loss of RGS regulation on methadone antinociception in the hot-plate test, and an unexpected reduction in morphine and methadone antinociception in the tail-withdrawal test. Overall, the results demonstrate that although RGS proteins regulate MOR signaling negatively in the PAG, they alter opioid-mediated antinociception differentially depending upon the agonist and nociceptive pathway(s) involved.

Pretreatment of wild-type mice with NTX did not affect baseline latency in either the hot-plate or the tail-withdrawal test, indicating that endogenous opioid peptide tone is insufficient to cause an antinociceptive response. In contrast, $G_{i} + / +$ mice exhibited a NTX-sensitive increase in baseline latency in both the hot-plate and tail-withdrawal tests compared with wild-type littermates. We ascribe this to enhanced MOR signaling in response to endogenous opioid peptides only in mice expressing $G_{i}$ RGSi subunits.

Removal of negative regulation of $G_{i}$ by RGS proteins also resulted in enhanced morphine-mediated antinociception in the hot-plate test, indicating that RGS proteins function as negative regulators of morphine supraspinal antinociception. In support of this and consistent with the role of RGS proteins as negative regulators of signaling, there was a robust potentiation of morphine or ME inhibition of GABAergic neurotransmission in the PAG from $G_{i} + / +$ mice. The ability of opioids to inhibit presynaptic GABA release in the PAG is thought to underlie the production of antinociception (Moreau and Fields, 1986; Reichling et al., 1988).

In contrast to the hot-plate test, morphine antinociception as measured in the tail-withdrawal test was reduced significantly in $G_{i} + / +$ mice. Although a reduction in $G_{i}$ protein was observed in the spinal cord, it is unlikely that $G_{i}$ levels are a limiting factor for morphine spinal antinociception given that a $>50\%$ loss of $G_{i}$ protein did not affect morphine antinociception in the tail-withdrawal test (Lamberts et al., 2011). Therefore, it appears that the reduction in morphine spinal antinociception in $G_{i} + / +$ mice is a direct consequence of the inability of $G_{i}$ RGSi subunits to bind RGS proteins, indicating that

<p>| Table 1. Agonist and antagonist radioligand binding in whole brain or spinal cord homogenates from wild-type and $G_{i} + / +$ mice |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tissue</strong></th>
<th><strong>Genotype</strong></th>
<th><strong>$[^{3}H]$DAMGO binding</strong></th>
<th><strong>$[^{3}H]$DPN binding</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole brain</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>$R_{o}^{max}$ (fmol/mg protein)</td>
<td>$K_{d}$ (nM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$G_{i}$</strong></td>
<td>219 ± 3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>357 ± 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spinal cord</strong></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>198 ± 18</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$G_{i}$</strong></td>
<td>123 ± 26</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>184 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$G_{i}$</strong></td>
<td>109 ± 22</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>202 ± 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOR expression was measured by evaluating the amount of bound $[^{3}H]$DPN displaced by the MOR-selective antagonist CTAP (300 nM). Data represent the mean ± SEM (whole brain: n = 5–7; spinal cord: n = 4). Each sample was assayed in duplicate. ND, Not determined.
RGS proteins act as positive regulators of opioid antinociception in this test. The reason for this differential responsiveness to morphine between the hot-plate and tail-withdrawal tests is not immediately obvious. Systemic morphine acts at both spinal and supraspinal sites, including the PAG, and so activates a variety of MORs. For example, presynaptic MORs in the PAG activate a voltage-sensitive potassium channel via phospholipase A2 (Vaughan et al., 1997), whereas MORs in the spinal cord do not appear to use this mechanism (Heinke et al., 2011). In contrast, postsynaptic MORs in both the PAG and spinal cord activate G-protein-coupled, inwardly rectifying potassium channels and inhibit voltage-gated calcium channels (Chiang and Christie, 1994; Connor et al., 1999; Heinke et al., 2011). However, different signaling mechanisms may not be the explanation because NTX blocked basal antinociception in Goαs+/+ mice in both the hot-plate and tail-withdrawal tests. This implicates negative regulation of endogenous opioid peptide signaling by RGS proteins, a finding that was confirmed by the electrophysiological measurements in the PAG.

One possible explanation for the discrepancy between baseline and morphine antinociception in the tail-withdrawal test is that the endogenous opioid peptides responsible for the basal antinociceptive tone in Goαs+/+ mice are discretely released at specific synapses, whereas the systemically administered morphine acts at many spinal and supraspinal sites. Morphine may therefore recruit opposing transmitter systems that use Goαs, and so are also subject to regulation by RGS proteins. For example, adrenergic and serotonergic systems are involved in descending antinociceptive pathways (Millan, 2002) and nociceptin has also been reported to modulate opioid antinociception (Mogil et al., 1996; Heinricher et al., 1997; Tian et al., 1997; Scoto et al., 2007). Nevertheless, our findings in Goαs+/+ mice are reminiscent of observations made in RGS9 knock-out mice, in which morphine supraspinal antinociception was enhanced (Zachariou et al., 2003) whereas morphine spinal antinociception was reduced (Papachatzaki et al., 2011). Those previous studies showed that RGS9 was required for the opioid peptide DAMGO to cause hyperpolarization in lamina II dorsal horn neurons, and therefore the investigators suggested that RGS9−2 performs a scaffolding role. However, our results in Goαs+/+ mice indicate that the loss of RGS GAP activity alone is sufficient to observe this phenomenon. The reason for the difference in responses between endogenous opioid peptides and morphine in the tail-withdrawal test could therefore be explained by a predominantly central site (i.e., the PAG) for opioid peptide action and a predominantly spinal effect of systemically administered morphine.

We also observed differences between morphine and methadone in the two antinociceptive tests. In the hot-plate test, methadone was not different between Goαs+/+ mice and their wild-type littermates. In contrast, methadone antinociception in the tail-withdrawal test was shifted to a lower potency, although the effect was less than that seen with morphine. There are reports that RGS proteins can act as either positive or negative regulators of opioid antinociception depending upon the agonist tested. For example, knock-out of RGS9 has been shown to enhance morphine antinociception but to inhibit methadone or fentanyl antinociception in the hot-plate test (Psifogeorgou et al., 2011). Those previous studies showed that RGS9−2 performs a scaffold-role. However, our results in Goαs+/+ mice indicate that the loss of RGS GAP activity alone is sufficient to observe this phenomenon. The reason for the difference in responses between endogenous opioid peptides and morphine in the tail-withdrawal test could therefore be explained by a predominantly central site (i.e., the PAG) for opioid peptide action and a predominantly spinal effect of systemically administered morphine.

Table 2. Basal and agonist-stimulated [35S]GTPγS binding in membranes from whole brain or spinal cord of wild-type and Goαs+/+ mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Basal [35S]GTPγS binding (fmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>Goαs+/+</th>
<th>DEG (nm)</th>
<th>Morphine</th>
<th>E0 (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole brain</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>65.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>524 ± 39</td>
<td>806 ± 210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>66.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>547 ± 103</td>
<td>174 ± 88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goαs+/+</td>
<td>46.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>722 ± 116</td>
<td>526 ± 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goαs+/+</td>
<td>45.3 ± 4.6*</td>
<td>524 ± 121</td>
<td>332 ± 113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05 compared with wild-type spinal cord. **p < 0.01 compared with wild-type whole brain by Student’s t test. Data represent the mean ± SEM (whole brain: n = 8–9, basal n = 10; spinal cord: n = 3, basal n = 5). Each sample was assayed in duplicate.
protein is likely a compensatory response to the enhanced signaling activity of Goα, RGSi subunits. Alternatively, there may be altered expression of the Goα, RGSi mutant allele that contains a nongenomic insertion in exon 5 of Gnao1 (Fu et al., 2004; Goldstein et al., 2009). However, the reduction in Goα, protein in Goα +/GS mice was not sufficient to affect the expression of MOR in whole brain or spinal cord, and it had only a small effect on the maximum stimulation of [35S]GTPγS binding by the partial agonist morphine in whole brain but not spinal cord. Nevertheless, we cannot discount other compensatory and/or developmental changes in Goα, +/GS mice that may have contributed to the behavioral differences observed in this study. Conversely, the effects we observed in the PAG and on the antinociceptive behavior of both morphine and endogenous opioid peptides are likely to be an underestimate of the degree of RGS modulation of MOR-mediated signaling and behavior given that we used heterozygous mice with only one allele of Gnao1 that expresses Goα, RGSi.

In conclusion, the current studies used a novel knock-in mouse model to demonstrate a role for RGS proteins in opioid antinociception mediated specifically by Goα. Our results demonstrate that endogenous RGS GAP activity negatively regulates antinociceptive responses to endogenous enkephalins, morphine antinociception in the hot-plate test, and opioid inhibition of GABAergic transmission in the PAG. In contrast, these studies revealed a potential role of RGS protein GAP activity as a positive regulator of morphine and methadone antinociception in the tail-withdrawal assay. Therefore, the present work provides evidence that endogenous RGS proteins are able to regulate differentially nociceptive and antinociceptive pathways that are activated by a single nociceptive modality. Although the importance of the interaction between RGS proteins and Goα, subunits for MOR function remains to be fully elucidated, this interface could represent a novel target for the development of more effective pain therapeutics and/or new treatments for drug addiction. For example, the fact that Goα, +/GS mice show reduced responsiveness to a noxious stimulus suggests that inhibition of RGS activity alone could afford an antinociceptive effect.
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