Dopamine Enables In Vivo Synaptic Plasticity Associated with the Addictive Drug Nicotine
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SUMMARY

Addictive drugs induce a dopamine signal that contributes to the initiation of addiction, and the dopamine signal influences drug-associated memories that perpetuate drug use. The addiction process shares many commonalities with the synaptic plasticity mechanisms normally attributed to learning and memory. Environmental stimuli repeatedly linked to addictive drugs become learned associations, and those stimuli come to elicit memories or sensations that motivate continued drug use. Applying in vivo recording techniques to freely moving mice, we show that physiologically relevant concentrations of the addictive drug nicotine directly cause in vivo hippocampal synaptic potentiation of the kind that underlies learning and memory. The drug-induced long-term synaptic plasticity required a local hippocampal dopamine signal. Disrupting general dopamine signaling prevented the nicotine-induced synaptic plasticity and conditioned place preference. These results suggest that dopaminergic signaling serves as a functional label of salient events by enabling and scaling synaptic plasticity that underlies drug-induced associative memory.

INTRODUCTION

Dopamine (DA) signaling has a vital role during addiction. When DA signaling is prevented, the reinforcing effects of drugs decrease, as indicated by prevention or attenuation of self-administration and place preference (Caine et al., 2007; Corrigall, 1999; Izzo et al., 2001; Stolerman and Shoib, 1991). DA concentrations are not a direct indication of reward. Rather, DA likely participates in the ongoing associative learning of adaptive behaviors as an animal continually updates a construct of environmental saliency. That viewpoint is consistent with evidence indicating that addictive drugs act upon synaptic plasticity mechanisms that normally underlie learning and memory (Dani and Harris, 2005; Hyman et al., 2006; Jay, 2003; Jones and Bonci, 2005; Kauer, 2004; Kelley, 2004; Ungless et al., 2004; Winder et al., 2002).

The hippocampus, a key center of learning and memory, receives dopaminergic afferents from the ventral tegmental areas of the midbrain. Dopamine release in the hippocampus enhances long-term potentiation (LTP) and learning, which establishes a functional link between memory systems and reward centers (Lisman and Grace, 2005). Evidence also indicates that the hippocampus has an important role in the development of drug addiction. For example, transgenic mice with diminished hippocampal synaptic plasticity and deficits in long-term memory are no longer able to develop drug-induced place preference because the place of drug taking is hypothesized not to be associated with the drug-induced reward (Biala et al., 2005). One of the most important pathways for the formation of associative memory is the perforant path, which originates in the entorhinal cortex and relays convergent information from the neocortex to the hippocampus (Deadwyler et al., 1979; Lavenex and Amaral, 2000). In particular, we focused on the medial perforant path because it specifically carries place and spatial information (Hargreaves et al., 2005) that is important for drug associated memory. The accumulated evidence also supports that synaptic plasticity along this pathway is a substrate of memory (Lynch, 2004; Martinez and Derrick, 1996; McHugh et al., 2007; Rumpel et al., 2005).

There have been behavioral and in vitro advances showing that nicotine can influence the induction of synaptic potentiation (Davis et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2001; Matsuyama et al., 2000; Nashmi et al., 2007). The in vivo experiments, however, used deep urethane anesthesia that has been shown to alter the function of ligand-gated channels (Hara and Harris, 2002), and used doses of nicotine that would cause seizures in awake mice (Franceschini et al., 2002; Miner and Collins, 1989). There has been little or no research in freely moving animals that monitors the ongoing induction of in vivo synaptic plasticity by a biologically relevant dose of an addictive drug. Here we show that the addictive drug, nicotine, dose-dependently induces long-term synaptic potentiation of the kind that supports learning and memory. More importantly, the induction of the synaptic plasticity requires a local DA signal within the hippocampus, consistent with the view that DA enables memory for particular events (Schultz et al., 1997). The results also suggest that the magnitude of the DA signal influences the strength of the synaptic plasticity.

RESULTS

Nicotine-Induced Long-Term In Vivo Synaptic Plasticity

Field potentials evoked by stimulation of the medial perforant path (Figure 1A) were recorded in the hilar region of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (Figure 1B) from freely moving C57BL/6
mice (Davis et al., 1997) (Figure 1C). We focused on the medial perforant path because it relays convergent information from the neocortex that is rich in contextual, place, and spatial content (Hargreaves et al., 2005), and evidence indicates that such information is associated with the drug experience (Biala et al., 2005; Kilts et al., 2001). Field recordings were made from the hilus to follow the field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) and the population (pop) spike that is produced when a population of granule cells fire action potentials together. Synaptic transmission was quantified by measuring the pop spike amplitude (PS, angled arrow inset, Figure 1D) because the fEPSP is often obscured by an increase in the pop spike that occurs after synaptic potentiation induction in awake animals.

Two weeks after surgical implantation of the electrodes and habituation to the recording situation, mice were treated with three 4-day counterbalanced sessions of systemic intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) of saline, 0.1, 0.5, or 1.0 mg/kg nicotine, respectively. Neither saline nor 0.1 mg/kg nicotine affected transmission, but 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg nicotine induced long-term synaptic potentiation (Figure 1D, red data squares for 1.0 mg/kg, Figure S1 [available online] for 0.5 mg/kg). Systemic administration of nicotine induced synaptic potentiation of the following amplitude measured 3 hr after administration: 124.1% ± 6.4%, n = 3, p < 0.05 for 0.5 mg/kg and 159% ± 10%, n = 12, p < 0.05 for 1.0 mg/kg (paired t test). Further tests indicated that the nicotine-induced synaptic enhancement lasted for more than 5 hr: 150.2% ± 9.6% of baseline for 1.0 mg/kg, n = 3, p < 0.05 (paired t test, data not shown). Because the known half-life of nicotine in mice is only 5–8 min (Petersen et al., 1984), the synaptic potentiation is not due to the continued presence of nicotine; rather, the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation outlasts the presence of nicotine.

To test whether the nicotine-induced potentiation resulted from an increase in the number of contributing afferent axons, we measured the presynaptic fiber volley during the nicotine-induced potentiation by moving the recording electrode from the hilus to a position closer to the dendritic synaptic innervation. We found that the incoming afferent excitation (i.e., the presynaptic fiber volley) was the same before and after nicotine-induced potentiation of the pop spike (see Figure S2): 102.6% ± 4.1%, n = 5, p > 0.05 (paired t test). Thus, nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation of the perforant–dentate pathway does not result from increased afferent fibers. This result suggests that the potentiation resides beyond the incoming action potential and likely postsynaptically as expected for LTP.

Next, we verified that nicotine acted via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) to induce the synaptic potentiation. The nonselective neuronal nAChR inhibitor, mecamylamine (2.0 mg/kg, i.p.), was used (Gould and Wehner, 1999). We first showed that injections of mecamylamine alone, at the concentration (2.0 mg/kg, i.p.) we used, did not significantly alter baseline synaptic transmission (Figure 2A). Saline injections (i.p.) preceding the nicotine administration completely prevented synaptic potentiation (Figure 2B, black data circles); mecamylamine injection (2.0 mg/kg, i.p.) preceding the nicotine administration completely prevented synaptic potentiation (Figure 2B, gray data circles): 95.8% ± 11.4% of baseline, n = 5, p > 0.05 (paired t test).

Because the nicotine administrations are systemic, nicotine could be influencing hippocampal plasticity by acting at sites outside of the hippocampus. To determine whether nicotine is acting locally only within the hippocampal dentate gyrus, nicotine was ipsilaterally microinfused into the dentate gyrus 300–500 μm dorsal to the recording site (Figure 3A). Initially we microinfused nicotine (0.008 μg, 0.5 μl/min over 2 min) to achieve

**Figure 1. Nicotine-Induced Synaptic Potentiation in the Dentate Gyrus (DG) of Freely Moving Mice**

(A) Placement of the concentric bipolar stimulating electrodes (double red lines) into the medial perforant path.

(B) Placement of the recording electrode (red line) into the ipsilateral hilus of the dentate gyrus.

(C) Photograph of a freely moving mouse during a recording session.

(D) Nicotine-induced potentiation of the perforant path recorded in the DG. The time course of each procedure is across 4 days, from the day before (−1d) until 2 days after (2d) the nicotine administration. A 30 min baseline was obtained on −1d and confirmed to be stable for 30 min on day 0 (0d). Saline (open circles, n = 8), 0.1 mg/kg nicotine (blue circles, n = 7), or 1.0 mg/kg nicotine (red squares, n = 12) was injected (i.p.) at 30 min on 0d (downward arrow). The population spike (PS) amplitudes are plotted above to indicate potentiation of synaptic transmission compared to the baselines (gray traces). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures on day 0 showed significant effects of groups (F2,34 = 20.36, p < 0.001) and group x time interactions (F2,34,384 = 2.96, p < 0.001). The PS amplitude increased significantly over baseline and stayed potentiated for at least 3 hr after 1.0 mg/kg nicotine on 0d (F2,1,384 = 7.91, p < 0.001). The return to baseline is shown for 30 min on 1d and 2d. In this and all subsequent figures, standard error bars are used.
a concentration comparable to that attained with systemic i.p. injection of 1 mg/kg (as in Figure 1). When either nicotine (0.008 μg) or saline, as a control, was slowly microinfused, there was no significant change in synaptic transmission (Figure 3B). In a recent report, it was found that a much higher dose of nicotine (0.35 μg), infused into the dorsal hippocampus, altered contextual fear conditioning in mice (Davis et al., 2007). Therefore, we microinfused this higher dose into the dentate, but again there was no significant change in synaptic transmission (Figure 3C). Although these negative results are not conclusive, they suggest that nicotine has actions that extend beyond the hippocampal dentate gyrus during the induction of the in vivo synaptic potentiation.

Nicotine-Induced Synaptic Potentiation Requires a Hippocampal Dopamine Signal Arriving from the Midbrain

An important feature of nicotine’s addictive potential arises from nicotine-evoked firing of midbrain DA neurons, which produces a potent DA signal (Nestler, 2004; Nisell et al., 1994; Pontieri et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2009). To determine whether the DA signal induced by systemic injection of nicotine contributed to the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation, we systemically inhibited D1 and D5 (D1-type) DA receptors using SCH23390 (Ushijima et al., 1995) because D1-type receptors are expressed in the hippocampus (Huang et al., 1992). Injections of SCH23390 (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) alone did not significantly alter synaptic transmission (Figure 4A). Systemic injection of SCH23390 (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) preceding the nicotine (1 mg/kg) administration, however, completely prevented nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation (Figure 4B, black data circles): 107.5% ± 11.1% of baseline, n = 7, p > 0.05. In control experiments where saline (i.p.) preceded the nicotine administration, nicotine induced a significant potentiation over the baseline (Figure 4B, gray data circles): 150.0% ± 7.7% of baseline, n = 8, p < 0.001. To determine whether the D1-type receptor antagonism was acting indirectly via distant targets or was acting locally within the hippocampal dentate gyrus, SCH23390 was ipsilaterally microinfused into the dentate gyrus (Figure 4E, black circles) 300–500 μm dorsal to the recording site (Figure 4E, gray triangles). When either SCH23390 (1.0 μg) or saline, as a control, was slowly microinfused alone into the dentate gyrus using an infusion pump (0.5 μl/min over 2 min), there was no significant

Figure 2. Nicotinic Receptor Antagonist Mecamylamine Inhibits Nicotine-Induced Potentiation

(A) Mecamylamine (2.0 mg/kg, i.p., n = 3) alone did not significantly alter evoked synaptic transmission from the perforant path, as indicated by the stable amplitude of the population spike (F_{44.88} = 0.61, p > 0.05).

(B) Mecamylamine (2.0 mg/kg, i.p., black circles, n = 5) administered 15 min prior to nicotine inhibited the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation when compared with saline injected 15 min before nicotine (gray circles, n = 3), ANOVA showed significant effects of groups (F_{3.5} = 35.28, p < 0.005) and groups x time (F_{44.264} = 2.76, p < 0.001).

Figure 3. Local Microinfusion of Nicotine into the Dentate Gyrus Does Not Induce Synaptic Plasticity of the Perforant Path

(A) Four different schematic representations of the rostral-caudal planes depict all five of the sites where nicotine was microinfused (black circles) and the field potential was recorded (gray triangles). The numbers represent the coordinate posterior from bregma.

(B) Nicotine at the infusion dose (0.008 μg, n = 4) comparable with systemic injection did not significantly alter evoked synaptic transmission from the perforant path, as indicated by the stable amplitude of the population spike (F_{41.123} = 0.45, p > 0.05).

(C) At an increased nicotine dose (0.35 μg, n = 5), local microinfusion still did not change the synaptic transmission of the perforant path (F_{41.164} = 0.62, p > 0.05).
change in synaptic transmission (Figure 4C). Microinfusion of SCH23390 (1.0 μg, 0.5 μl/min over 2 min) into the dentate gyrus preceding the nicotine administration, however, completely prevented nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation (Figure 4D, black data circles): 93.2% ± 16.6% of baseline, n = 6, p > 0.05. In paired control experiments where saline microinfusion preceded the nicotine administration, nicotine induced a significant potentiation over the baseline (Figure 4D, gray data circles): 141.8% ± 8.7%, n = 6, p < 0.001. The results indicate that D1-type receptors act locally within the hippocampal dentate gyrus to enable nicotine-induced long-term synaptic potentiation.

**Figure 4. Systemic or Local Hippocampal Microinfusion of Dopamine D1-type Receptor Antagonist SCH23390 Inhibits Nicotine-Induced Potentiation**

(A) Systemic administration of SCH23390 (0.2 mg/kg, i.p., n = 3) alone did not significantly alter evoked transmission of the perforant path (F_{4,12} = 1.06, p > 0.05). (B) SCH23390 (0.2 mg/kg, i.p., black circles, n = 7) administered 30 min prior to nicotine inhibited the synaptic potentiation when compared to saline injected 30 min before nicotine (gray circles, n = 8). ANOVA showed significant effects of groups (F_{1,12} = 10.09, p < 0.01) and groups × time (F_{44,572} = 2.19, p < 0.001). (C) Microinfusion of SCH23390 (1.0 μg, n = 6) directly into the DG had no effect on the basic synaptic transmission in the perforant path (F_{1,164} = 0.38, p > 0.05). (D) Microinfusion of SCH23390 (1.0 μg, black circles, n = 6) directly into the DG 15 min before nicotine injection inhibited nicotine-induced potentiation. When saline (1.0 μl) was microinfused before nicotine (gray circles, n = 6), nicotine-induced potentiation was observed. ANOVA showed the significant differences (groups: F_{1,10} = 6.62, p < 0.05; groups × time: F_{44,440} = 1.48, p < 0.05). (E) Four different schematic representations of the rostral-caudal planes depict all six of the sites where SCH23390 was microinfused (black circles) and the field potential was recorded (gray triangles). The numbers represent the coordinate posterior from bregma.

D2-type receptors regulate the firing of DA neurons by autoinhibition at their midbrain source (Robinson et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2009). Therefore, we used a D2-type agonist, quinpirole (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.), to inhibit DA neuron firing and, consequently, to decrease the outgoing DA signal (Job et al., 2006; Robinson et al., 2004). Injections of quinpirole (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) alone did not significantly alter perforant-path synaptic transmission (Figure 5A). Injection of quinpirole (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) preceding the nicotine administration, however, prevented nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation (Figure 5B, black data circles): 119.9% ± 9.5% of baseline, n = 5, p > 0.05. In control experiments where saline (i.p.) preceded the nicotine administration, nicotine induced a significant potentiation over the baseline (Figure 5B, gray data circles): 157.7% ± 8.1%, n = 11, p < 0.001.

To verify the DA signal was required and not just inhibition of D2-type receptors, we ipsilaterally microinfused tetrodotoxin...
When either TTX (2.0 mM) and, consequently, inhibit action potentials from the DA neurons.
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(B) Microinfusion of TTX (2.0 mM, 0.5 μl, n = 3) into the VTA had no effect on the basic synaptic transmission of the perforant path (F_{1,82} = 1.07, p > 0.05).

TTX Local VTA Infusion

Figure 6. Local Microinfusion of TTX Inactivates Midbrain Neurons and Abolishes Nicotine-Induced Potentiation

(A) Three different schematic representations of the rostral-caudal planes depict all five of the sites where TTX was microinfused (black circles) into the midbrain ventral tegmental area (VTA, arrow). The numbers represent the coordinate posterior from bregma, and the labels are parvocellular red nucleus (RPC), magnocellular red nucleus (RMC), substantia nigra reticulata (SNR).

TTX + Nic

(C) Microinfusion of TTX (2.0 mM, 0.5 μl, black cycles, n = 5) into the VTA 20 min before nicotine injection inhibited nicotine-induced potentiation. When saline (0.5 μl) was microinfused before nicotine (gray circles, n = 5), nicotine-induced potentiation was observed. ANOVA showed the significant differences (groups: F_{1,8} = 11.02, p < 0.05; groups x time: F_{43,360} = 2.50, p < 0.01).

TTX + Nic

Nicotine-Induced Conditioned Placed Preference Requires Dopamine D1-type Signaling

The results to this point indicate that nicotine induces synaptic plasticity that requires D1-type receptor activity. To examine whether the electrophysiological results are consistent with memory-based behavioral outcomes, we tested nicotine-induced conditioned place preference (CPP). Others have

Figure 7. Systemic Dopamine D2-type Receptor Antagonist Eticlopride Increases Nicotine-Induced Potentiation

(A) Systemic administration of the D2-type receptor antagonist eticlopride (0.5 mg/kg, i.p., n = 3) alone did not significantly alter perforant-path synaptic transmission (Figure 7A). Injection of eticlopride (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.) preceding the nicotine administration, however, statistically enhanced nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation (Figure 7B, black data circles): 205.4% ± 17.5% of baseline, n = 6, p < 0.001. In control experiments where saline (i.p.) preceded the nicotine administration, nicotine induced a significant (but statistically smaller) potentiation over the baseline (Figure 7B, gray data circles): 157.7% ± 8.0%, n = 11, p < 0.001. The results suggest that the strength of the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation is influenced by the magnitude of the DA signal.

(B) Eticlopride (0.5 mg/kg, i.p., black circles, n = 6) administered 30 min prior to nicotine (1.0 mg/kg, i.p.) enhanced nicotine-induced potentiation when compared with saline injected 30 min before nicotine (gray cycles, n = 11) (group x time: F_{43,645} = 1.91, p < 0.001).
shown that the hippocampus participates in CPP (Meyers et al., 2003, 2006). In addition, nicotine-induced CPP requires nAChR activity, and there is an inverted U-shaped dose response with 0.5 mg/kg of nicotine causing the strongest CPP (Walters et al., 2006). We found that concentration of nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) also induces in vivo synaptic plasticity (Figure S2).

Using procedures adapted from published methods (Walters et al., 2006), we found significant CPP (Figure 8) in response to nicotine (Nic, 0.5 mg/kg, i.p., p < 0.05, n = 10) compared with saline (Sal, n = 10). Then, we examined whether dopamine D1-type receptor activity, which is required for nicotine-induced synaptic plasticity, is also required for CPP. Although saline injection before conditioning still resulted in nicotine-induced CPP (Figure 8, Sal + Nic, n = 12), CPP was not induced when the D1-type inhibitor SCH23390 (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) was administered 20 min before conditioning (Figure 8, SCH + Nic, n = 12, p < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

**Nicotine-Induced In Vivo Synaptic Plasticity, Condition Place Preference, and Implications**

In this study, in vivo synaptic transmission was directly measured in freely moving mice, and an addictive drug, nicotine, dose-dependently induced synaptic plasticity of the kind that underlies learning and memory (Kauer, 2004; Kelley, 2004; Whitlock et al., 2006; Winder et al., 2002). Although saline or low doses of nicotine did not alter synaptic transmission, two higher and behaviorally relevant nicotine doses (Grabus et al., 2006) caused long-lasting synaptic potentiation that was stable for at least 5 hr. Biologically relevant nicotine-doses in mice are larger than in rats because nicotine has a very short half-life of 5 to 8 min in mice (Petersen et al., 1984). The rapid turnover means that the nicotine concentration in the mice fell to less than 1% in 1 hr. Therefore, the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation outlasted the presence of nicotine by hours.

More importantly, pharmacological manipulations indicated that the drug-induced synaptic plasticity required a DA signal broadcast from the midbrain that acted locally within the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus. Systemic or local inhibition of dopamine D1-type receptors within the dentate gyrus prevented the nicotine-induced potentiation. The impact of D2-type receptor manipulations is different. D2-type agonists activate negative feedback via D2 autoreceptors and decrease the DA signal to the hippocampus by suppressing DA neuron firing (Robinson et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2009). Likewise, active D2 autoreceptors on DA terminals inhibit DA release in the hippocampus, which also decreases the DA signal. Therefore, systemic activation of D2-type receptors, which inhibits DA neuron firing and DA release, prevented nicotine-induced potentiation. This explanation was supported because inhibiting DA neuron action potentials by microinfusion of TTX into the midbrain also prevented nicotine-induced plasticity. However, systemic inhibition of D2 receptors, which boosts DA neuron firing (Robinson et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2009), enhanced the amplitude of nicotine-induced potentiation. Overall, the results suggest that DA enables, at least, this form of synaptic plasticity, and the strength of the DA signal modulates the amplitude of the induced synaptic potentiation.

The nicotine-induced CPP results help to link the synaptic measurements to a memory-based behavior. It has previously been shown that nicotine displays an inverted U-shaped dose response (Walters et al., 2006). Consistent with our nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation, nicotine induces CPP at 0.5 mg/kg but not at 0.1 mg/kg, which is likely too low a dose for either effect. Nicotine at 1 mg/kg induces synaptic potential, but it did not induce significant CPP (Walters et al., 2006). That result is not too surprising. At this higher concentration, nicotine is a slight sedative in mice, causing them to become relatively immobile. In fact, this property lowers the mouse core body temperature at 1 mg/kg nicotine (Marks et al., 1986), which likely causes the delayed onset of synaptic potentiation seen at 1 mg/kg nicotine (Figure 1D) but not seen at 0.5 mg/kg, which does not cause sedation (Figure S1). Furthermore, at 1 mg/kg nicotine is approaching doses that cause adverse autonomic effects. Therefore, the synaptic plasticity results lead us to hypothesize that the mice are learning in association with the 1 mg/kg nicotine injection, but they might be learning place avoidance nearly as often as place preference. Coupled with the complication of nicotine sedation, 1 mg/kg is a dose that is beyond the optimum for CPP.

It has been shown that drug-induced CPP requires the dorsal hippocampus, where we make our measurements. Excitotoxic lesion or inactivation of the dorsal hippocampus by muscimol prevented CPP (Meyers et al., 2003, 2006). In a very recent study, the role of the dorsal hippocampus in CPP also was confirmed in C57 mice that we use (Tropeda et al., 2008). Therefore, the dorsal hippocampus contributes to CPP. Because the DA receptor antagonist was administered systemically in our CPP experiment, we can only correlate the nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation, the DA signaling, and the CPP. A study has shown, however, that dorsal hippocampal DA receptors play a role in morphine reward (Rezayof et al., 2003). Intrahippocampal injection of D1-type receptor agonist SKF83893 significantly potentiated morphine-induced CPP, and this effect was reversed by D1-type receptor antagonist SCH23390 (Rezayof et al., 2003). Those results support a mechanistic connection.
between the hippocampal DA signal and the CPP results that we observed. Overall, our in vivo and behavioral results provide a link between an addictive drug and DA’s influence over the memory circuits that create associations to the act of drug use (Dani and Montague, 2007; Kauer, 2004; Kelley, 2004). The medial perforant path carries contextual and spacial information from the cortex to the hippocampus (Deadwyler et al., 1979; Hjorth-Simonsen and Jeune, 1972; Lavenex and Amaral, 2000). The hippocampus receives cholinergic innervation arising mainly from the medial septum and diagonal band (Woolf, 1991) and densely expresses nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Dani and Bertrand, 2007). In addition, the hippocampus receives dopaminergic innervation from the ventral tegmental area, and nicotine increases the firing rates of those midbrain DA neurons (Grenhoff et al., 1986; Mameli-Engvall et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2009). The results from this study indicate that the DA signal acts to enable synaptic potentiation by nicotine in the dentate gyrus. The results suggest that as information continually flows through the perforant path to the hippocampus, the DA signal provides a time stamp that labels particular events for storage as memories (Wise, 2004). In one view, the DA signal arises during unpredicted events in the environment that require learning to update the repertoire of adaptive behaviors (Schultz et al., 1997). We speculate that normally important events in the environment will produce the convergence of perforant path activity and a DA signal to produce synaptic potentiation. In our example, the nicotine administration produced the convergence of perforant path synaptic activity and the DA signal.

Drug-Associated Memories Contribute to Continued Drug Use

This study was based on the hypothesis that addictive drugs produce synaptic plasticity that underlies drug-linked associative memory (Kauer, 2004; Kelley, 2004; Winder et al., 2002). This hypothesis is consistent with the emerging view that memories associated with addictive behaviors become internal motivational drives to continue drug use (Bonson et al., 2002; Dani and Montague, 2007; Everitt et al., 2001; Kenny and Markou, 2005; Kilts et al., 2001). That is, environmental cues previously associated with drug use elicit internal sensation-based memories that motivate the desire for the drug, making long-term abstinence difficult.

Normally, neural systems operating beneath our consciousness enable us to associate environmental situations with behavioral sequences that produce success (Everitt et al., 2001; Packard and Knowlton, 2002; Schultz et al., 1997). Eventually, those same environmental situations cue internal states (i.e., feelings or sensations) that motivate the successful behaviors. In that way, we continually learn how to exploit our environments successfully. Dopamine signals might serve as a label during this learning process, indicating when the environment presented unexpected events that needed to be updated in our mnemonic map of environmental saliency (Schultz, 2001; Schultz et al., 1997; Wise, 2004).

Addictive drugs usurp these normally adaptive systems, which include the dopaminergic system originating in the ventral tegmental area of the midbrain. When an addictive drug is used, there are associated behaviors and environmental events obligatorily linked to the usage. Addictive drug use can be considered a learned (conditioned) behavior reinforced by the drug. Those events associated with the drug also are subject to conditioning. A recent fMRI study of addicted smokers showed that cues related to smoking elicit neural activity in regions linked to attention, memory, emotion, and motivation (Smolka et al., 2006). In rats, presentation of nicotine-associated cues reinstated previously extinguished nicotine-seeking behavior, providing evidence that links nicotine-associated cues to relapse (Paterson et al., 2005).

Based on the background of information, the results provide interesting suggestions. As information continuously passes through the hippocampus, most information is not stored but particular salient events are labeled by a phasic DA signal that enables synaptic plasticity and, subsequently, memory. That is, an animal’s construct or internal memories of the environment are updated when the DA signal labels the particular event as important, new, and salient. In the case presented in this study, those memories would be linked to the addictive drug. When specific environmental events are present (e.g., the location of drug use), they are capable of cuing drug-associated memories or feelings that motivate continued drug use or relapse (Paterson et al., 2005; Smolka et al., 2006).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animal Care and Surgery

Adult male C57BL6/J mice (Jackson Laboratory), 3–4 months old, were used in all the experiments. For electrophysiology, they were housed individually with food and water ad libitum in a temperature-controlled room (23°C ± 0.5°C) with a 12 hr artificial light-dark cycle (light on at 9 p.m.). All experiments took place during the dark phase of the cycle. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all procedures in accordance with federal guidelines.

On day 0, mice were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (80 mg/kg, i.p., supplemented with 15 mg/kg as necessary) and secured in stereotaxic apparatus. A concentric bipolar stimulating electrode consisting of a tungsten wire placed inside a stainless steel tube was placed at the medial part of the perforant path (0.2 mm posterior and 2.8–3.0 mm lateral of lambda, 1.0–1.3 mm below the dura). The recording electrode (Teflon-coated tungsten wire, bare diameter 50 μm) attached to a stainless steel guide tube (outer diameter 310 μm) was targeted ipsilaterally to the hilus of the dentate gyrus (DG) (1.8–2.0 mm posterior, 1.4–1.6 mm lateral of bregma, 2.2–2.3 mm below the skull) (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997). Final depths of the electrodes were determined by electrophysiological guidance. A cortical silver ball, placed contraterlaterally, served as a recording reference as well as ground. Surgical screws and dental cement were used to anchor the electrodes, a unity gain preamplifier, and the connecting device for chronic recordings. Mice were given at least 2 weeks to recover.

Handling, Habituation, Stimulation, and Recording Procedures

From day 10 for a total of 5 days, each animal received 5 min handling followed by 0.3 ml saline (i.p.) in the home cage both in the morning and afternoon. Then the mice were individually habituated to the recording chamber (Plexiglas cylinder with bedding) and to the recording headstage system. Mice received 1 hr of habituation daily for 4 consecutive days starting from day 11. On the days of habituation and testing, mice were slightly sedated with isoflurane for 3–5 s to connect the implanted electrode assembly to the recording headstage (Tang et al., 2001). Monophasic square pulses (100 μA) were delivered to the perforant path. Signals were amplified (>100), filtered (bandpass 0.1 Hz to 5 kHz), digitized at 10 kHz, and stored on disk for off-line analysis.

On day 15, an input-output curve (I/O) was generated. Single test stimuli were then delivered at 30 s intervals at an intensity (35–100 μA) that evoked
40% of the maximal amplitude of the population spike. In the typical experiments examining evoked synaptic responses in the perforant path-dentate pathway, there were two to three counterbalanced sessions for every individual mouse: saline, 0.1 mg/kg, and 0.5 mg/kg or 1.0 mg/kg nicotine. Each session went across 4 days. On day minus one (~1d), the baseline amplitude of the population spike was examined for stability for 30 min. On od, after 30 min of baseline recording, saline, 0.1 mg/kg, 0.5 mg/kg, or 1.0 mg/kg nicotine was administered (i.p.) at a volume of 0.1 ml per 10 g body weight. The responses were usually monitored for another 3 hr following the injection, but in some cases the responses were monitored for 5 hr. The posttests were repeated for 30 min on 1d and 2d. I/O curves were recorded systematically between two sessions to verify the stability and the recovery after the treatment of each session.

Throughout the electrophysiological recording, the mouse’s behavior was videomonitor, and the EEG derived from the dentate gyrus recording also was displayed on an oscilloscope to ensure the absence of electrical after discharges and to ensure the mouse was in a “still-alert” state (Doyere et al., 2003).

Drug Administration and Histology
All the drugs were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). For intraperitoneal injection, (–)-nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (calculated as the free base), mecamylamine hydrochloride, R(+)-SCH-23390 hydrochloride, S(–)-eticlopride hydrochloride, and (–)-quinpirole hydrochloride were dissolved in saline and adjusted for 0.1 ml per 10 g body weight.

For intracranial microinfusion, solutions were adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH and back filled into a 30 gage injector. Nicotine (0.008 or 0.35 μg) or the dopamine D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 or saline was injected slowly using an infusion pump into the DG at 0.5 μl/min over either 1 or 2 min. Pilot tests with lidocaine in anesthetized and freely moving mice verified that this procedure worked well. To avoid the mechanical effect of the cannula insertion on the electrophysiological recording, the injector tip was located 0.3–0.5 mm above the recording sites in the DG. For VTA inactivation with TTX, a 24G guide cannula was implanted (3.2 mm posterior and 0.5 mm lateral of bregma, 3.0 mm below the skull). The tip of the injector was 1.2 mm below the guide tip. Saline or TTX (0.5 μl over 1 min) was infused into the VTA 20 min before nicotine administration (i.p.). Following drug infusion, cannulas were left in place for another minute to allow diffusion of the drug away from the cannula tip. At the end of the microinfusion experiments, 4% methylene blue in phosphate-buffered saline (0.5 μl) was injected into the infusion site. Mice were later killed with an overdose of isoflurane. An anodal current (30 mAm) was passed through the tungsten wire for identification of the electrode place for another minute to allow diffusion of the drug away from the cannula tip. At the end of the microinfusion experiments, 4% methylene blue in phosphate-buffered saline (0.5 μl) was injected into the infusion site. Mice were later killed with an overdose of isoflurane. An anodal current (0.1 ml over 1 min) was infused into the VTA 20 min before nicotine administration (i.p.). Following drug infusion, cannulas were left in place for another minute to allow diffusion of the drug away from the cannula tip. At the end of the microinfusion experiments, 4% methylene blue in phosphate-buffered saline (0.5 μl) was injected into the infusion site. Mice were later killed with an overdose of isoflurane. An anodal current (0.1 ml, 10 s) was passed through the tungsten wire for identification of the electrode placements. Frozen 30 μm coronal sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin.

Behavioral Procedures
C57BL6/J mice (3.5–4 months old) were used for the CPP experiments. The procedure for handling and habituation of needle injection was the same as that used for the mice in physiological recordings. The procedures and apparatus for the nicotine-induced CPP were adapted from published methods (Walters et al., 2006).

Because most of our mice in the pilot test did not show initial bias to one of two sides of the conditioning apparatus, an unbiased protocol consisting of three phases was used. (1) Preconditioning was conducted on day 1. Each mouse was placed separately into the apparatus for 15 min, with free access to both compartments. The time spent in each compartment was recorded by ANY-maze software (Stoelting Inc., Kiel, WI). (2) Conditioning took place on days 2–4. In experiment 1, mice received saline or 0.5 mg/kg nicotine (i.p.) and were immediately confined to the pairing compartment for 30 min in the morning. Five hours later in the afternoon, animals were injected with the alternate nicotine or saline and immediately confined to the opposite compartment for 30 min. Saline control groups received saline on both sides of the apparatus. This procedure was repeated on days 3 and 4. A counterbalanced design was used between the two compartments, morning and afternoon sessions and from day to day. In experiment 2, the conditioning protocol was exactly the same as experiment 1 except for the pharmacological treatment. For this experiment, mice first received saline or 0.2 mg/kg SCH23390 (i.p.) and stayed in their home cages. Twenty minutes later all the animals were given 0.5 mg/kg nicotine (i.p.) before being confined to one of the two compartments. (3) Testing was done on day 5. The mice were able to freely explore the full apparatus for 15 min and time spent on each side was recorded. Preference score was expressed in seconds and is calculated by subtracting preconditioning day data from test day data.

Data Analysis
The amplitude of the population spike was measured for each evoked response. The values from every ten consecutive recordings were averaged and normalized for each animal as a percentage of the mean baseline values obtained during the 30 min immediately before drug treatment. Data were expressed as mean and standard error of the mean and analyzed with two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance. Post hoc tests for time × group interactions were first assessed with another repeated-measure analysis of variance for the time variable operating only on a single group. Significant time effects were then explored with paired t tests by comparing the mean of all baseline points with each postbaseline time bin. Group effects in the time × group interaction were assessed with one-way analyses of variance for each time point. For experiments with more than two levels to the group variable (e.g., Figure 1A) a SNK post hoc was used.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
Supplemental Data include two figures and can be found with this article online at http://www.neuron.org/supplemental/S0896-6273(09)00580-7.
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**Supplemental Figure S1.** Nicotine at 0.5 mg/kg induces Synaptic Potentiation in the Dentate Gyrus (DG) of Freely Moving Mice

The time course on day 0 is shown for systemic administration of nicotine (0.5 mg/kg, i.p., n = 3) inducing potentiation of the perforant path recorded in the DG (one-way ANOVA, $F_{41,82} = 3.01$, $P < 0.001$). Note that the induction of the synaptic potentiation is faster for 0.5 mg/kg nicotine than for 1.0 mg/kg nicotine. We hypothesize that the delay seen with 1 mg/kg nicotine arises because the sedation that is produced contributes to a decreased core body temperature (Marks et al., 1986) that reduces synaptic transmission and delays the measurement of the increased population spike.
Supplemental Figure S2. Afferent Fiber Volley is Not Changed by Nicotine-induced Synaptic Potentiation

(A) An example field EPSP recording before (gray) and after (black) nicotine-induced synaptic potentiation shows that the fiber volley, representing incoming action potentials, is unchanged. The population spike (PS) is larger after nicotine-induced potentiation.

(B) The averaged data shows that the fiber volley is not changed after nicotine-induced potentiation (n = 5).
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Both conscious and unconscious memory mechanisms contribute to the rewarding effects of nicotine and other drugs of abuse. In this issue of *Neuron*, Tang and Dani use in vivo measures of synaptic plasticity in freely moving mice to link nicotine-induced dopamine release in hippocampus to LTP induction and behavioral reinforcement.

To experience the song of the Sirens without falling victim to their attractions, Odysseus tied himself to the mast of his ship and plugged the ears of his crewmen with beeswax (Figure 1). The Sirens’ song promised him wisdom and knowledge of the past and future—but pursuit held certain peril for his ship. The strategy worked, and he survived to pursue other challenges (Homer, 1998 edition). There are obvious parallels between the influence of the Sirens’ songs and the attractions of addictive drugs, both of which can have perilous effects. Devising effective protection from those dangers is an ongoing challenge for drug-abuse researchers and society as a whole.

Tobacco is among the most widely used addictive substances, and we know that nicotine is its most important neuroactive constituent. Like other addictive drugs, nicotine has profound effects upon the midbrain dopamine system, increasing excitability and strengthening the synaptic strength in several different brain areas (Kauer and Malenka, 2007; Mansvelder and McGehee, 2002). Enhanced dopamine output is a hallmark of many addictive drugs (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988), but rather than encoding reward directly, emerging evidence suggests that the dopamine signals contribute to associative learning in response to behaviorally important cues (Schultz, 2007). A major challenge in the field is the identification of the cellular and circuit-level consequences of dopamine release and relating those consequences to animal behavior. In this issue of *Neuron*, Tang and Dani (2009) use in vivo recordings from freely moving mice to demonstrate that nicotine-induced dopamine signaling in hippocampus is necessary for LTP of the inputs from entorhinal cortex to the dentate gyrus. Potentiation of this input persisted for at least 5 hr after nicotine exposure, consistent with the activation of memory mechanisms in a region of the hippocampus that has been implicated in associative memory formation. In parallel behavioral tests, they confirm that the reinforcing effects of nicotine, as measured by conditioned place preference, are also dependent upon dopamine transmission.

Although there is strong support from this group and others for a contribution of nicotinic receptors within the hippocampus in synaptic plasticity (Fujii et al., 1999; Ji et al., 2001; Kenney and Gould, 2008), the studies reported here support the idea that nicotine-induced dopamine release is critical for LTP in the dentate gyrus. Using focal drug infusions, they demonstrate that introducing nicotine into the hippocampus is not sufficient to induce LTP. In addition, either inactivation of the midbrain dopamine nucleus with TTX or blockade of D1 dopamine receptors interferes with systemic nicotine-induced LTP. They also show modulation of these effects by D2 receptors, the inhibitory autoreceptors for dopamine. Activation of D2 suppresses LTP induction by...
nicotine, while inhibition of these inhibitory dopamine receptors increases nicotine-induced LTP. Dopamine is apparently permissive for the induction of plasticity here, providing a “label” that the experience is novel, important, and linked to nicotine exposure.

Though technically difficult, the use of freely moving mice is a major strength of the study, as investigating addiction requires assessment of drug effects in the context of the relevant behaviors. When a colleague of mine recently described exciting new findings on cellular and synaptic effects of nicotine to a senior investigator, the immediate response was, “Why didn’t you do the experiments in vivo”? Clearly, the relevance of mechanistic investigations requires demonstration that the phenomena observed in reduced preparations contribute to the relevant behaviors—a major challenge for ongoing investigations of the cellular mechanisms of drug abuse. The study by Tang and Dani (2009) represents an important contribution to this effort.

The results presented in this study demonstrate a typical “inverted U” dose-effect relationship for nicotine, where the effects on LTP and behavior first increase with dose and then decline at higher concentrations, as seen with many other behavioral and cellular investigations (Picciotto, 2003). One aspect of this phenomenon certainly relates to the fact that nicotinic receptors are initially activated by nicotine and then rapidly undergo desensitization, particularly at high agonist concentrations. Exploring the relative importance of activation and desensitization to the behavioral effects of nicotine represents both opportunity and peril for investigators, depending upon your perspective (Mansvelder and McGehee, 2002; Quick and Lester, 2002). In the current study, blockade of nicotine-induced LTP is seen with systemic administration of mecamylamine, a nonselective nicotinic receptor antagonist. These data, along with previous behavioral studies demonstrate that nicotinic receptor activation is a necessary step for most nicotine-associated changes (Lundahl et al., 2000; Shoaib et al., 1997). Another important aspect of nicotine’s concentration dependence is the induction of both appetitive and aversive behavior across the spectrum of concentrations. Thus, the field is also challenged to ultimately determine the relative contribution of receptor activation and desensitization to both appetitive and aversive behavioral effects (Quick and Lester, 2002). The study by Tang and Dani (2009) emphasizes that mechanisms must be related to neuronal excitability in specific brain areas and circuits.

There is considerable support for the idea that nicotine and other drugs of abuse enhance learning and memory, which has lead several groups to focus upon the hippocampus as an important site of action for the drug. Both conscious and unconscious memories contribute to the development and maintenance of drug dependence (Kauer and Malenka, 2007; Kelley, 2004). Positive associations of drug cues with feelings or experiences and negative associations with the aversive consequences of drug withdrawal combine to create powerful motivation for continued drug use (Dani and Montague, 2007).

Identifying those aspects of the Sirens’ song of nicotine that induce conscious and unconscious memory traces is one important step toward identifying effective strategies to interfere with the process and limit the perilous consequences.

REFERENCES