PCMD MicroCT Imaging Core Learning Lunch Series In Vivo µCT Imaging of Rodents

X. Sherry Liu, Ph.D Wei-Ju Tseng, M.S

October 18th, 2017

Mckay Orthopaedic Research Laboratory Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA



McKay Orthopaedic Research Laboratory



Why in vivo µCT?

- 3D imaging with sufficient spatial resolution for the assessment of rodent bone microarchitecture
- Longitudinal studies of bone morphology Waarsing+2006 Brouwers+2007, Brouwers+2008, Brouwers+2009, Klinck+2008, Bouxsein+2010, Lan+2013, Boyd+2006, Campbell+2008, Buie+2008, Lambers+2011, Schulte+2011
 - Skeletal responses to various diseases and treatments
 - Bone loss associated with disuse or surgery
 - Increased bone mass owing to pharmacologic treatment or mechanical loading
- Input to micro finite element (µFE) models to estimate the mechanical properties of bone van Rietbergen+1998, Schulte+2011
- Increased statistical power
 - Reduction in number of animals Bouxsein+ 2010



Micro Computed Tomography

- In vivo µCT scanner
 - Scanco vivaCT 40
 - Best resolution:
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size
 - X-Ray Source
 - 30 70 kVp
 - Max Scan Size
 - 38.9 x 145 mm (Ø x L)









How to Choose Image Resolution

 Image resolution is determined by FOV and number of projections

Field of View (mm)	Proj./180°	Resolution (µm)	
21.5	1000	10.5	
21.5	500	21	
25.6	1000	12.5	
25.6	500	25	
30.7	1000	15	
30.7	500	30	
35.8	1000	17.5	
35.8	500	35	
38.9	1000	19	
38.9	500	38	



Radiation Dose – VivaCT 40

- Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDI)
 - proportional to the integration time (s), with the same current (µA) and number of projections

Energy (KV)	Integration time (ms)	Current (µA)	Field of View (mm)	Proj./180°	CTDI (mGy)	Resolution (µm)
55	300	109	21.5	1000	720	10.5
55	300	109	30.7	1000	350	15
55	300	109	38.9	1000	220	19

- Radiation dose on current scanning protocol
 - 10.5µm for rat tibia, mouse distal femur, proximal tibia and tibial midshaft
 - → CTDI = 639 mGy
 - 15µm for mouse vertebrae
 - → CTDI = 310 mGy
 - 19µm for rat femur midshaft
 - → CTDI = **195 mGy**

Penn



Concerns – Radiation Exposure

• In vivo scan on Wistar rats Klinck+ 2008

- 8 month old, female rats
- 12.5 µm isotropic voxel size, 55 kV voltage, 109 µA current, 200 ms integration time, 2000 projections
- Scanned right tibia at wk0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12
- Radiation dose: 502.5 mGy

→ <u>No radiation effect</u>

- In vivo scan on Wistar rats Brouwers+ 2007
 - 30 week old, female rats
 - 15 µm isotropic voxel size, 70 kV voltage, 85 µA current, 350 ms integration time, 2000 projections
 - Scanned right tibia at wk0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8; left tibia at wk0 and 8
 - Radiation dose: 939 mGy
 - Determined cell radiation damage using a cell viability test
 - \rightarrow No radiation effects on bone microarchitecture and marrow cells



Concerns – Radiation Exposure

- In vivo scan on BL6 mice Laperre+2011
 - 10 weeks old, male mice
 - 9 µm isotropic voxel size
 - In vivo scanned left tibia at wk0, 2, 4; ex vivo scanned on both tibia after sacrifice (wk4)
 - Radiation dose: 776 mGy

→ <u>Negative effects on BV/TV and Tb.N and increased Oc.S/BS</u>

- In vivo scan on BL6 mice Laperre+2011
 - 4 and 16 weeks old, male mice
 - 9 µm and 18 µm isotropic voxel size
 - In vivo scanned left tibia at wk0, 2, 4; ex vivo scanned on both tibia after sacrifice (wk4)
 - Radiation dose: 434 mGy (9 μm) and 166 mGy (18 μm)
 - → <u>No radiation effect on both trabecular and cortical bone</u> <u>architecture in pre-pubertal or adult mice</u>



Concerns – Radiation Exposure

- In vivo scan on C3H, BL6, and BAL mice Klinck+ 2008
 - 8-10 weeks old, female mice
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size, 55 kV voltage, 109 µA current, 200 ms integration time, 2000 projections
 - Scanned right tibia at wk0, 1, 2, 3
 - Radiation dose: 712.4 mGy
 - \rightarrow <u>Negative effects on trabecular microarchitecture</u>
- In vivo scan on BL6 mice Zhao+ 2016.
 - 12 weeks old, female mice
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size, 55 kV voltage, 109 µA current, 200 ms integration time, 2000 projections
 - In vivo scanned right femur and L4 at wk0, 3, 6; ex vivo scan on both femurs, L3 and L4 after sacrifice (wk9)
 - Radiation dose: 639 mGy (femur) and 310 mGy (vertebra)
 - → No effect on BV/TV and cellular activities; Negative effects on trabecular microarchitecture (~10-20%)



Conclusion: Radiation Exposure

- Minimal impact on <u>rat</u> bone mass and bone microarchitecture
- Compared to rats, <u>mice</u> are more sensitive to radiation exposure
 - High resolution scans (10-15 µm) leading to 10-20% deterioration of trabecular bone microarchitecture compared to non-radiated sites
 - Suggestion: Reduce radiation exposure by
 - Reduction in scan frequency and Increase in interval time between repeat scans
 - Reduction in scan resolution



Concerns – Movement Artifacts

Movement Artifacts due to animal breathing

Distal Femur





Penn

L2 Vertebrae





Customized Holders - Machining

- Minimize the movement of the skeletal site of interest
- Minimize the reposition error induced by repeat scans





Customized Holders – 3D printing







Chang+2016 SB3C



Before Scanning - Anesthesia

- Non-painful procedures (Penn IACUC Guideline)
 - Isoflurane
 - Mice: 3-4% for induction and 1-3% for maintenance
 - Rats: 3-5% for induction and 1-3% for maintenance





http://www.upenn.edu/regulatoryaffairs/Documents/iacuc/guidelines/IACUCGuideline-MouseAndRatAnesthesiaAndAnalgesia.pdf

Before Scanning - Anesthesia

- Non-painful procedures (Penn IACUC Guideline)
 - Isoflurane
 - Mice: 3-4% for induction and 1-3% for maintenance
 - Rats: 3-5% for induction and 1-3% for maintenance
 - Ketamine/xylazine
 - Mice: 70-100 mg/kg ketamine (IP) + 5-12 mg/kg xylazine. If animals appear to be responding to touch or awakening, redose with up to 50% of the initial dose of ketamine only.
 - Rat: 40-100mg/kg ketamine (IP) + 5-10mg/kg xylazine. If the animal appears to be responding to touch or awakening, re-dose with up to 50% of the initial dose of ketamine



Before Scanning - Anesthesia





During Scanning

• Checking animal's breathing





After Scanning

- Waking up the animal
 - Heat pad
 - Light





Bone Microarchitecture – Mouse Tibia & Femur

- *In vivo* µCT scan
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size
 - 2 mm bone segment of proximal tibia, distal femur
 - Average scan time: 10 mins







From Scan to Results



Concerns – Reposition error

- Precision affected by reposition of animals at each follow-up scan
 - Short term precision study (same day, multiple scans)
 - 12.5 μm, Precision: 1-6% in rats Nishiyama+2010
 - 10.5 µm, Precision: 1%-7% in rat tibia Lan+2013
 - 10.5 μm, Precision: 1-8% in BL6 or C3H mice tibia Nishiyama+2010
 - 10.5 µm, Precision: 4-12% in femur and 6.5-17.6% in L4 of BL6 mice Chang+2016 SB3C
 - → In vivo precision in rodent bone measurements satisfy studies that expect to observe >5% change in bone mass and >10% change in bone microarchitecture
- Reduction in the reposition error
 - Customized animal holders during the scan
 - 3D image registration



Image Registration and Analysis

 Same trabecular volume of interest (VOI) identified and subjected to analysis in the baseline and subsequent scans



Day 0

VEH



Concerns – Reposition error

- Significant but moderate improvement in precision error in all morphology and density measurements
 - Short term precision study (same day, multiple scans)
 - 12.5 μm, Precision: 1-6% in rats Nishiyama+2010

→ 1-4%

- 10.5 µm, Precision: 1-8% in BL6 or C3H mice tibia Nishiyama+2010
 → 1-5%
- 10.5 μm, Precision: 0.85%-7.49% in rat tibia Lan+2013
 → 0.75%-7.01%
- 10.5 μm, Precision: 4-12.4% in femur and 6.5-17.6% in L4 of BL6 mice
 Chang+2016 SB3C → 2.9-5.01% in femur and 3.11-8.55% in L4



Concerns – Long-Term Reposition error

Continuous endochondral ossification in adult rats and mice





Repeated baseline scans and 14 day follow-up scans

Lan et al. 2013

Concerns – Long-Term Reposition error



- In vivo µCT long-term precision based on 14day follow-up scans
 - Significant difference between registered and unregistered comparisons
 - Results of unregistered comparisons are biased by global growth effect



Penn

Age-Dependent Long Bone Growth



 Suggestion: rat age > 4 months for longitudinal study of changes in rat long bone



Lan *et al.* 2013

Bone Microarchitecture – Rat Proximal Tibia

- *In vivo* µCT scan
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size
 - 4 mm bone segment of proximal tibia below growth plate
 - Average scan time: 20 mins



Lan et al., Bone. 2013;56(1):83-90







Bone Microarchitecture – Rat Femur

- *In vivo* µCT scan
 - 19 µm isotropic voxel size
 - 2 mm bone segment of femur midshaft and muscle
 - Average scan time: 10 mins







Bone Microarchitecture – Rat Mandible

- *In vivo* µCT scan
 - 19 µm isotropic voxel size
 - 28 mm bone segment of Mandible
 - Average scan time: 18 mins











Bone Microarchitecture – Mouse Vertebrae

- *In vivo* µCT scan
 - 15 µm isotropic voxel size
 - 4 mm bone segment of L1, L2
 - Average scan time: 15 mins









In vivo imaging of cartilage

- µCT-arthrography Piscaer+ 2008
 - 35 μm isotropic voxel size (55 kV, 177 μA, FOV: 35 mm)
 - Injected Non-diluted Hexabrix320 (100 µl) into the knee cavity
- May monitor cartilage changes in vivo Piscaer+ 2008, Siebelt+ 2011



In vivo imaging of cancer

- Nanoparticles Ghaghada+ 2011, Ashton+ 2015
 - 80 μm isotropic voxel size (60 kV, 500 μA, 250ms/exposure)
 - Injected Liposomal iodine contrast agent
- To enhance the signal to locate the tumor in vivo





Next generation in vivo µCT scanner

- In vivo µCT scanner
 - Scanco vivaCT 80
 - Best resolution:
 - 10.5 µm isotropic voxel size
 - X-Ray Source
 - 30 70 kVp
 - Max Scan Size
 - 80 x 145 m (Ø x L)
- Capacity to scan rat vertebrae







