

Gender Dynamics, Migration Sequencing, and Immigrant Health Selection: A Bi-National Comparison of Disability Rates Among Older Adult Couples*

Yezhen (David) Li, Michel Guillot, and Jason Schnittker
Department of Sociology, University of Pennsylvania

*For any questions, suggestions, and/or comments, please
email Yezhen (David) Li at liyezhen@sas.upenn.edu.

Background

- Immigrant health selection
 - In general, immigrants are healthier than their non-migrant counterparts (e.g. Riosmena et al. 2017; Mehta and Elo 2012)
 - This is because migration is a selective process: poor health, lack of education, financial shortage... may all inhibit decisions to migrate
 - And often times, migration decisions are made by an entire **family**, as opposed to an **individual**
- Gaps in the prior literature
 - Studies on immigrant health selectivity: findings based on individual-level analysis, i.e. comparing differences in health outcomes, such as chronic disease conditions, between immigrants and non-migrants/non-Hispanic whites
 - Household characteristics are often overlooked
 - Meanwhile, sociological theory in immigration: migration is a *household* decision
 - Household is often the decision-making unit (e.g. Mincer 1978; Stark 1984)
 - Husbands are often the decision makers; wives have little say in migration decisions (Hondagneu-Sotelo 1994)
 - Thus, their health conditions might well be overlooked when the migration decision was made
 - Numerous studies have investigated the role of family migration decisions in socioeconomic selectivity of immigrants vis-à-vis non-migrants (e.g. He and Gerber 2019; Lee and Zhou 2015)
 - How about its effect on health outcomes?

Research question

- How does family migration decision affect the health outcomes of Chinese and Mexican immigrants in the U.S.?
 - Gender egalitarian values in household plays an important part: female migrants might be “involuntary” migrants complying with their husband’s migration decisions
 - **Utility of a sociological concept: migration sequencing**
 - Unmarried migrants: migrated before marriage
 - Lead migrants: married before migration; initiated the migration (followed by spouse)
 - Concurrent migrants: married before migration; migrated together with spouse
 - Follower migrants: married before migration; migrated after spouse
 - Migration sequencing captures gender norms in household (He and Gerber 2019)
 - Compared to those who followed their husbands, female migrants who initiated migration/migrated before marriage are less likely to espouse traditional gender norms (He and Gerber 2019)
 - Female follower migrants: made the decision because their husbands cut off contacts/remittances; sometimes coerced by their husbands to migrate
 - Their health conditions were less likely to be accounted for during migration decision-making
 - Female lead migrants: looking for better educational/job opportunities in the destination country; made the migration decision for themselves
 - However, their breach of gender norms in home country might negatively affect health conditions (He and Gerber 2019)

Data and Methods

- Binational health data
 - The U.S. side: pooled data from the American Community Survey (ACS), 2008-2017
 - The sending-country side: global aging data, including the Mexican Health and Aging Survey (MHAS), 2012, and the China Health and Retirement Survey (CHARLS), 2013
- Study populations:
 - U.S.-born non-Hispanic white couples
 - Immigrant couples in the U.S., both born in China (for Chinese immigrants) / Mexico (for Mexican immigrants)
 - Chinese and Mexican non-migrant couples
 - *Focus on older adults (both aged 50-84)*
- Outcome variables: three measures of disabilities
 - Self-care limitation, Ambulatory limitation (functional limitation) Independent-living limitation (ADL limitation)
- Primary independent variable:
 - Migration sequences of husbands and wives, by examining their records in year of marriage and migration
- Other covariates:
 - Age, gender, educational attainment, immigration status, years in the U.S. (for immigrants), U.S. region of residence (for immigrants and non-Hispanic whites)
- Methods:
 - Logistic regressions and Matching Methods
 - Predicting differences in disability rates across populations
 - Separated analysis for the male and female subjects

Preliminary Findings (Selected)

- **Odds ratios [95% CI]** from logistic regressions predicting differences in limitations between female immigrants and non-Hispanic whites
 - Mexican women
 - Overall less prevalence of disability than white women, but only after controlling for education
 - Follower migrants experience significantly higher rates of independent-living difficulty than unmarried migrants
 - Chinese women
 - Unmarried and follower migrants have significantly lower disability rates than concurrent and lead migrants, as well as white women

Mexican Women	Self-Care Difficulty		Independent Living Difficulty		Ambulatory Difficulty	
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Migration Sequence (ref. = U.S. Born Non-Hispanic Whites)						
Concurrent Migrant	1.793*** [1.55,2.07]	0.877 [0.76,1.02]	1.497*** [1.34,1.68]	0.722*** [0.64,0.81]	1.406*** [1.29,1.54]	0.651*** [0.59,0.71]
Lead Migrant	1.881*** [1.45,2.45]	0.913 [0.70,1.19]	1.649*** [1.34,2.03]	0.789* [0.64,0.97]	1.267** [1.07,1.50]	0.581*** [0.49,0.69]
Follower Migrant	1.859*** [1.60,2.16]	0.867 [0.74,1.01]	1.624*** ^d [1.45,1.82]	0.747*** ^d [0.66,0.84]	1.365*** [1.25,1.49]	0.600*** [0.55,0.66]
Unmarried Migrant	1.639*** [1.41,1.91]	0.754*** [0.64,0.88]	1.394*** ^c [1.24,1.57]	0.633*** ^c [0.56,0.71]	1.390*** [1.27,1.52]	0.605*** [0.55,0.66]

Chinese Women	Self-Care Difficulty		Independent Living Difficulty		Ambulatory Difficulty	
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Migration Sequence (ref. = U.S. Born Non-Hispanic Whites)						
Concurrent Migrant	1.057 ^{c,d} [0.87,1.28]	0.735*** ^c [0.61,0.89]	1.275*** ^{b,c,d} [1.13,1.44]	0.894 ^{c,d} [0.79,1.01]	0.717*** ^{c,d} [0.64,0.81]	0.484*** ^{c,d} [0.43,0.55]
Lead Migrant	0.943 ^c [0.64,1.38]	0.768 ^c [0.52,1.13]	0.960 ^{a,c,d} [0.73,1.26]	0.779 ^c [0.59,1.03]	0.705*** ^{c,d} [0.55,0.90]	0.560*** ^{c,d} [0.44,0.72]
Follower Migrant	0.414*** ^{a,b} [0.32,0.54]	0.353*** ^{a,b} [0.27,0.46]	0.584*** ^{a,b} [0.49,0.70]	0.492*** ^{a,b} [0.41,0.59]	0.372*** ^{a,b} [0.31,0.44]	0.309*** ^{a,b} [0.26,0.37]
Unmarried Migrant	0.580*** ^a [0.43,0.78]	0.551*** [0.41,0.75]	0.588*** ^{a,b} [0.47,0.74]	0.552*** ^a [0.44,0.69]	0.392*** ^{a,b} [0.32,0.48]	0.365*** ^{a,b} [0.30,0.45]

Model (1): age, age squared, citizenship status, and U.S. region of residence. Model (2): Model (1) + educational attainment.

* p < .05; ** p < .01; *** p < .001

^a The odds ratio is significantly different from concurrent migrants at .05 level.

^b The odds ratio is significantly different from lead migrants at .05 level.

^c The odds ratio is significantly different from follower migrants at .05 level.

^d The odds ratio is significantly different from unmarried migrants at .05 level.

Discussion

- Findings imply the complex role of household migration decisions in immigrant health selection
 - Variations in gender cultures across sending countries (in this study, Mexico vs. China) shape the disability outcomes of female immigrants in differential ways
- Mexican female immigrants: those who followed their husband's migration saw significantly higher rates of independent-living difficulty than those who initiated the migration process
 - Suggesting negative effect of having less agency in migration decisions on their health
- Chinese female immigrants: unmarried (migrated before marriage) and follower (migrated after husband) migrants showed significant health advantage than lead (migrated after marriage, before husband) and concurrent (migrated together with husband) migrants
 - Lead migrants' higher disability rate: suggesting negative health effect of breaching gender norms (once married, women are supposed to stay with their husband)
 - Concurrent migrants' health disadvantage: potentially due to less flexible migration decisions
 - If an entire family decides to migrate together, presumably hard to accommodate one person's health concerns