1 2	Design principles to tailor Hsp104 therapeutics
3 4 5	JiaBei Lin ¹ , Peter J. Carman ^{1,2} , Craig W. Gambogi ^{1,2} , Nathan M. Kendsersky ^{1,3} , Edward Chuang ^{1,3} , Stephanie N. Gates ^{4,6} , Adam L. Yokom ^{4,6} , Alexandrea N. Rizo ⁴ , Daniel R. Southworth ⁵ , and James Shorter ^{1,2,3*} .
6	
7 8 9	¹ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, ² Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics Graduate Group, ³ Pharmacology Graduate Group Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104. U.S.A.
10	
11	⁴ Graduate Program in Chemical Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. U.S.A.
12	
13 14 15	⁵ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics and the Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158. U.S.A.
16 17	⁶ Current address: Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211. U.S.A.
18	
19	*Correspondence: jshorter@pennmedicine.upenn.edu
20	
21	
22	

23 Abstract

24 The hexameric AAA+ disaggregase, Hsp104, collaborates with Hsp70 and Hsp40 via its 25 autoregulatory middle domain (MD) to solubilize aggregated protein conformers. However, how 26 ATP- or ADP-specific MD configurations regulate Hsp104 hexamers remains poorly understood. 27 Here, we define an ATP-specific network of interprotomer contacts between nucleotide-binding 28 domain 1 (NBD1) and MD helix L1, which tunes Hsp70 collaboration. Manipulating this network 29 can: (a) reduce Hsp70 collaboration without enhancing activity; (b) generate Hsp104 hypomorphs 30 that collaborate selectively with class B Hsp40s; (c) produce Hsp70-independent potentiated 31 variants; or (d) create species barriers between Hsp104 and Hsp70. Conversely, ADP-specific 32 intraprotomer contacts between MD helix L2 and NBD1 restrict activity, and their perturbation 33 frequently potentiates Hsp104. Importantly, adjusting the NBD1:MD helix L1 rheostat via rational 34 design enables finely tuned collaboration with Hsp70 to safely potentiate Hsp104, minimize off-35 target toxicity, and counteract FUS proteinopathy in human cells. Thus, we establish important 36 design principles to tailor Hsp104 therapeutics. 37

39 Graphical Abstract



42 Introduction

43 Protein function requires high-fidelity protein folding.¹ However, in the cell, proteins are exposed 44 to various stresses such as translational errors, heat or chemical shock, and aging, which can 45 elicit protein misfolding and aggregation.² The accumulation of misfolded and aggregated proteins is problematic and can be toxic.^{3,4} Thus, cells possess sophisticated molecular chaperones, 46 47 protein disaggregases, protein-degradation systems, and stress-response pathways to maintain protein guality control.^{5,6} However, chronic accumulation of misfolded protein conformers upon 48 49 aging can yield aberrant protein fibrils that are intimately tied to fatal neurodegenerative 50 proteinopathies, such as α-synuclein fibrils in Parkinson's disease (PD), or TDP-43 and FUS fibrils in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).⁷⁻¹⁰ There are no effective treatments for these devastating 51 52 neurodegenerative disorders.

53

54 One strategy for these neurodegenerative diseases would be to develop therapeutic protein 55 disaggregases that liberate proteins trapped in aberrant oligomeric and aggregated states and 56 restore them to native solubility, form, and function.¹¹ Such agents would eliminate two malicious 57 problems associated with deleterious protein misfolding and aggregation: (1) the toxic gain of 58 function of aggregated conformers: and (2) the loss of protein function due to seguestration in 59 aggregated conformers.¹¹ Thus, we have focused on Hsp104, a ring-shaped, hexameric AAA+ 60 (ATPase Associated with diverse Activities) protein disaggregase, which can suppress agerelated protein aggregation.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Notably, Hsp104 dissolves a diverse spectrum of aggregated 61 62 including preamyloid oligomers, disordered aggregates, phase-separated structures. condensates, and stable amyloid or prion fibrils.¹⁵⁻²⁰ However, no exact Hsp104 homolog is found 63 in metazoa,^{11,21,22} although a related human mitochondrial AAA+ protein, Skd3, displays potent 64 disaggregase activity,²³⁻²⁶ and another AAA+ protein VCP/p97 may remodel ubiquitylated protein 65 inclusions in the cytoplasm.²⁷ Nonetheless, introduction of Hsp104 or engineered variants into 66 67 metazoan systems is well tolerated and can antagonize aggregation and toxicity of neurodegenerative disease proteins.^{16,28-35} 68

Hsp104 is composed of two nucleotide-binding domains (NBD1 and NBD2) per monomer separated by a middle domain (MD) and flanked by an N-terminal domain (NTD) and C-terminal domain (CTD).¹³ The MD is an autoinhibitory domain that regulates Hsp104 disaggregase activity.¹³ Indeed, single mutations in the MD can relieve autoinhibition and enhance Hsp104 disaggregase activity.³⁶⁻⁴¹ Precisely how the MD permits or restricts Hsp104 disaggregase activity is not completely understood.

75 Hsp70 and Hsp40 enable optimal Hsp104 disaggregase activity.^{15,19,42-44} Hsp70s, and their obligate cochaperones, Hsp40s, are highly conserved.⁴⁵ Hsp40 binds substrate proteins and 76 transfers them to Hsp70 via activation of Hsp70 ATPase activity.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ Interactions between Hsp70 77 78 and the NTD and MD of Hsp104 appear to enable disaggregase activity.^{13,49-51} However, the 79 precise mechanism by which Hsp104, Hsp70, and Hsp40 coordinate activity remains uncertain. 80 Several studies have utilized prokaryotic homologues of Hsp104 and Hsp70, ClpB and DnaK, 81 respectively.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ However, prokaryotic ClpB is unable to perform the complete repertoire of eukaryotic Hsp104 activities.^{17,18,31,50,56,57} Indeed, there are several key structural and mechanistic 82 differences between Hsp104 and ClpB.^{50,57-61} Several interaction sites on the MD of ClpB are 83 proposed to interact with DnaK^{52,53} and the MD of Hsp104 has been proposed to interact with a 84 fragment of human Hsp70 (HSPA1A).⁴⁹ However, the structural determination of the Hsp104-85 86 Hsp70 interaction has been difficult to resolve due to the weak and transient interactions between these two proteins.^{52,53} 87

88 We have discovered many Hsp104 variants bearing single missense mutations in NBD1, MD, or NBD2, which display enhanced disaggregase activity.^{29,30,36,58,62-65} However, some of these 89 90 Hsp104 variants, particularly MD or NBD1 variants, can present with "off-target" toxicity.^{29,30,63} 91 Specifically, overexpressing these Hsp104 variants in $\Delta hsp104$ yeast reduces growth at 37°C, 92 likely by unfolding metastable, soluble proteins.^{29,36} It has been suggested that Hsp70 might direct 93 Hsp104 to aggregated proteins rather than misfolded soluble substrates, which may prevent off-94 target toxicity.⁶⁶ However, the mechanism of Hsp104-Hsp70 cooperation and its connection to 95 off-target toxicity is still poorly understood. Consequently, rational design of potentiated Hsp104 96 variants with no off-target toxicity remains a significant challenge.³⁰

97 Here, we address these challenges by exploring an intimate network of contacts between the MD 98 and NBD1 revealed in high-resolution cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of 99 Hsp104.⁵⁹ We discover that the ATP-specific interactions between MD helix L1 and NBD1 of the 100 adjacent clockwise protomer are critical for collaboration between Hsp104 and Hsp70 in protein 101 disaggregation. Manipulating this network can: (a) reduce Hsp70 collaboration without enhancing 102 activity: (b) generate Hsp104 hypomorphs that collaborate selectively with class B Hsp40s: (c) 103 produce Hsp70-independent potentiated variants; or (d) create species barriers between Hsp104 104 and Hsp70. By contrast, the distinctive ADP-specific intraprotomer contacts between MD helix L2 105 and NBD1 restrict activity, and their perturbation frequently potentiates disaggregase activity. We 106 establish that the off-target toxicity of specific potentiated Hsp104 variants is determined by 107 reduced dependence on Hsp70 for protein disaggregation. By tuning the ATP-specific MD helix

108 L1 and NBD1 interaction, we can specify a desired level of Hsp70 collaboration to yield 109 potentiated Hsp104 variants with no off-target toxicity. Importantly, for the first time, we establish

110 that potentiated Hsp104 variants can mitigate FUS proteinopathy in human cells. Overall, our

111 findings establish important design principles to tailor therapeutic Hsp104 variants.

113 Results

The MD changes orientation as Hsp104 hexamers switch from ATP-bound to ADP-bound states, which alter NBD1:MD interactions

116 The MD plays a critical role in regulating Hsp104 activity.^{13,29,67-69} High-resolution structures of 117 Hsp104 hexamers determined by cryo-EM reveal a dramatic change in the orientation of the MD 118 between the substrate-free AMP-PNP state (hereafter referred to as the ATP state) and substratefree ADP state (Figure 1A, B).^{59,70} Importantly, these two distinct states are also observed in 119 120 substrate-bound Hsp104 hexamers in the corresponding protomers bound to ATP or ADP. 121 indicating that these states are populated by distinct protomers during substrate translocation.⁵⁹ 122 However, it has remained unclear precisely how Hsp104 activity is regulated by the distinct 123 interactions between NBD1 and the MD in either state.

We surveyed the interactions between NBD1 and the MD for each nucleotide state (Figure 1A, B). Numerous contacts, including hydrophobic (red line), electrostatic (black line), and hydrogen bond (blue line) interactions, are observed in both nucleotide states (Figure 1A, B, right). All the interactions observed in protomer 3 (P3, in green) and protomer 4 (P4, in blue) are displayed for the ATP state (Figure 1A, right) and ADP state (Figure 1B, right). Notably, in the ATP state we find that helix L1 of the MD of protomer 4 makes several interprotomer contacts with NBD1 of the adjacent clockwise protomer 3 (Figure 1A).⁷⁰

131 By contrast, in the ADP state, we find that interactions between NBD1 and the MD are completely 132 remodeled such that helix L2 of the MD now makes intraprotomer contacts with NBD1 (Figure 133 1B).⁵⁹ Moreover, in the ADP state, the precise NBD1:MD intraprotomer interactions are not 134 completely identical in different subunits. Some interactions are only present in P3 (shown in 135 green) or P4 (blue) (Figure 1B, right). Only a few interactions are the same in both protomers 136 (Figure 1B, shown in green and blue stripes), indicating diverse intraprotomer interactions 137 between the MD and NBD1 in this state. In addition to helix L2, helices L1 and L4 as well as the 138 loops between helix L2 and L3 and helix L4 and NBD1 also make intraprotomer contacts with 139 NBD1 (Figure 1B). Thus, the MD makes a radically different set of interactions with NBD1 in the 140 presence of ADP versus ATP.

We hypothesized that the interprotomer interactions between NBD1 and the MD in the ATP state
(Figure 1A) and intraprotomer interactions between NBD1 and the MD in the ADP state (Figure
1B) may play key roles in regulating Hsp104 activity. Thus, to investigate the mechanism by which
the MD regulates Hsp104 activity, we performed mutagenesis analysis to perturb the interactions

between NBD1 and the MD for each state. Remarkably, we find that perturbation of the interprotomer NBD1-MD interactions of the ATP state has different functional consequences for

147 Hsp104 activity than perturbation of the intraprotomer NBD1-MD interaction of the ADP state.

148Interprotomer NBD1:MD interactions of the ATP state are essential for Hsp104149collaboration with Hsp70

150 To alter interactions between NBD1 and MD helix L1 in the ATP state, we first modified the 151 charges of four of the NBD1:MD salt-bridge interactions: E190:R419, R194:E412, R353:E427, 152 and R366:D434 (Figure 1C). Thus, we constructed the opposite charge variants to perturb the 153 salt bridges: Hsp104^{E190K}, Hsp104^{R419E}, Hsp104^{R194E}, Hsp104^{E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E}, Hsp104^{E427K}, 154 Hsp104^{R366E}, and Hsp104^{D434K}. Previously, we purified and measured the ATPase activity of Hsp104^{R194E}, Hsp104^{E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E}, Hsp104^{E427K}, Hsp104^{R366E}, and Hsp104^{D434K}.⁵⁹ We found 155 156 that these Hsp104 variants display wild-type (WT) levels of ATPase activity, which is stimulated 157 by the disordered substrate casein.⁵⁹ However, these variants cannot work with human Hsp70 (Hsc70 [HSPA8]) and Hsp40 (DnaJA1) to disaggregate and reactivate firefly luciferase trapped in 158 159 chemically denatured aggregates.⁵⁹ We have now purified the remaining variants, Hsp104^{E190K} and Hsp104^{R419E}, which possessed WT levels (Hsp104^{E190K}) or approaching WT levels (~70% for 160 161 Hsp104^{R419E}) of ATPase activity (Figure 1D). Hsp104^{E190K} and Hsp104^{R419E} also displayed limited 162 ability to work with human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 to disaggregate and reactivate luciferase (Figure 163 1E, pink bars). These findings suggest that perturbation of the MD helix L1 interactions with NBD1 164 of the adjacent clockwise protomer in the ATP state does not grossly affect ATPase activity but 165 reduces disaggregase activity.

166 It remained unclear, however, whether disruption of these contacts prevents Hsp104 from 167 coupling ATP hydrolysis to protein disaggregation or whether they specifically reduce 168 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40. To distinguish between these possibilities, we first 169 established that these Hsp104 variants bind a model, disordered substrate, β-casein, with the 170 same affinity as Hsp104 (Figure S1A). Thus, substrate engagement appears unaffected by these 171 mutations. We next assessed the intrinsic disaggregase activity of the Hsp104 variants in the 172 presence of a 1:1 ratio of ATP and the slowly hydrolyzable ATP analog, ATPyS. Hsp104 173 disaggregates and reactivates luciferase trapped in chemically denatured aggregates in the 174 presence of a 1:1 ratio of ATP:ATPyS in the absence of Hsp70 and Hsp40,^{18,65,71} thereby allowing 175 assessment of whether the mutations perturbed the ability of Hsp104 to couple ATP hydrolysis to 176 protein disaggregation. We found that all the Hsp104 variants tested could disaggregate and 177 reactivate luciferase as well or better than WT Hsp104 under these conditions (Figure 1E, grey

bars). Indeed, Hsp104^{R194E} and Hsp104^{D434K} were more effective than Hsp104 (Figure 1E, grey bars). However, unlike Hsp104, none of these Hsp104 variants could collaborate with human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 to disaggregate and reactivate luciferase (Figure 1E, pink bars). These findings suggest that perturbation of the MD helix L1 interactions with NBD1 of the adjacent clockwise protomer in the ATP state can specifically impair Hsp70 collaboration and do not affect the ability of Hsp104 to couple ATP hydrolysis to protein disaggregation.

184 We next tested the ability of these Hsp104 variants to confer induced thermotolerance (i.e., ability 185 to survive at 50°C after a 37°C pretreatment) in $\Delta hsp 104$ yeast. Previously, we tested Hsp104^{R194E}, Hsp104^{E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E}, Hsp104^{E427K}, Hsp104^{R366E}, or Hsp104^{D434K}, which were 186 unable to confer induced thermotolerance.⁵⁹ Hsp104^{R366E} displayed some limited activity, which 187 188 was greater than the other variants, but was still largely ineffective.⁵⁹ These findings suggest that 189 Hsp104 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40 is critical for thermotolerance in vivo.⁷² We now 190 extend this analysis to Hsp104^{E190K} and Hsp104^{R419E}. Hsp104^{E190K} exhibited impaired activity as with other NBD1:MD variants⁵⁹ (Figure 1F) and was expressed at similar levels to Hsp104 (Figure 191 1G). Surprisingly, however, Hsp104^{R419E} could confer induced thermotolerance like Hsp104 after 192 193 20min but displayed reduced activity at 30min (Figure 1F). Hsp104, Hsp104^{E190K}, and Hsp104^{R419E} 194 were all expressed at similar levels (Figure 1G). One possible explanation for the activity of Hsp104^{R419E} and the residual activity of Hsp104^{R366E} might be an ability to collaborate with a 195 196 subset of Hsp70 or Hsp40 homologues in yeast.

197 Residues and salt-bridge interactions in the interprotomer NBD1:MD helix L1 interface 198 regulate Hsp40 compatibility for Hsp104-Hsp70 disaggregase activity

199 To assess this possibility, we purified yeast Hsp70 homologue, Ssa1, class A Hsp40, Ydj1, and 200 class B Hsp40, Sis1, to test their ability to collaborate with the Hsp104 variants in luciferase disaggregation and reactivation *in vitro*. Hsp104^{E190K}, Hsp104^{R194E}, Hsp104^{E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E}, 201 Hsp104^{E427K}, and Hsp104^{D434K} cannot disaggregate and refold luciferase in the presence of Ssa1 202 203 plus Sis1, Ssa1 plus Ydj1, or Ssa1 plus Sis1 and Ydj1 (Figure 2A). Thus, these Hsp104 variants are severely impaired in collaboration with Ssa1, Sis1, and Ydj1. By contrast, Hsp104^{R419E} and 204 Hsp104^{R366E} displayed some activity in the presence of Ssa1 plus Sis1 or Ssa1 plus Sis1 and 205 Ydj1, but not Ssa1 plus Ydj1 (Figure 2A). Thus, Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} are selectively 206 207 defective in collaboration with the class A Hsp40, Ydj1.

We next asked whether Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} collaboration with Hsp70 was also impaired. Thus, we tested the Ssa1 dose-dependent disaggregation activity of Hsp104 (positive control), Hsp104^{R366E}, Hsp104^{R419E}, and Hsp104^{D434K} (negative control) in the absence of Hsp40.
Hsp104^{D434K} is incapable of working with Ssa1 to disaggregate luciferase, whereas Hsp104^{R419E}
and Hsp104^{R366E} remain partially active, but have reduced activity compared to Hsp104 (Figure 2B). Together with the yeast thermotolerance results (Figure 1F),⁵⁹ our findings suggest that Hsp104^{E190K}, Hsp104^{R194E}, Hsp104^{E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E}, Hsp104^{E427K}, and Hsp104^{D434K} are completely impaired for collaboration with Hsp70, whereas Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} can partially work with Hsp70.

Next, we examined Hsp40 compatibility with Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} in more detail. Thus, 217 we assessed luciferase disaggregation and reactivation at fixed Hsp104^{R419E} or Hsp104^{R366E} 218 219 (1µM) and Ssa1 (0.167µM) concentrations with increasing amounts of Sis1 or Ydj1. Remarkably, 220 Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} were unable to collaborate with Ydj1 (Figure 2C), whereas they 221 could collaborate with Sis1 (Figure 2D). By contrast, Hsp104 and a canonical potentiated variant, 222 Hsp104^{A503S},²⁹ were active with Ydj1 or Sis1, although Ydj1 inhibited activity at high 223 concentrations, whereas Sis1 did not (Figure 2E, F). Notably, Hsp104^{A503S} is much more active than Hsp104 at low Ydj1 concentrations (Figure S1B).²⁹ However, at the optimal Sis1 or Ydj1 224 concentrations, the disaggregase activities of Hsp104 and Hsp104^{A503S} are similar (Figure 2E, F). 225 226 Our findings suggest that Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} can partially work with Ssa1 (Figure 2B), 227 but their luciferase reactivation activity is more sensitive to inhibition by Ydj1 (Figure 2C). Thus, 228 Hsp104 collaboration with Ydj1 during luciferase disaggregation and reactivation requires R366 229 in NBD1 and R419 in MD helix L1.

Ydj1 but not Sis1 can dissociate substrates from Hsp104 and inhibit the spontaneousrefolding of unfolded luciferase

232 Bell-shaped reactivation curves were observed for all Hsp104 variants as a function of Ydj1 233 concentration, indicating that the synergistic cooperativity of Hsp104-Ssa1-Ydj1 is regulated by 234 the Ydj1 concentration (Figure 2C, E). In the tested concentration range, an inhibitory effect of 235 Sis1 on luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity was not observed (Figure 2D, F). The 236 inhibition of protein disaggregation and reactivation by high Ydj1 concentrations could be due to 237 substrate competition between Ydj1 and Hsp104-Hsp70 complex, which may resemble the 'hook 238 effect' of proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) molecules (i.e., high concentrations of a linker 239 [in this case Ydi1] suppresses formation of ternary complexes due to excessive formation of 240 binary complexes).⁷³ Additionally or alternatively, this effect might be explained by excess Ydj1 241 binding to unfolded luciferase released by Hsp104 and preventing it from refolding.

The class A Hsp40. Ydi1, has six sites involved with substrate binding in each dimer.⁷⁴ These 242 243 sites reside in client-binding domain 1 (CBD1), client-binding domain 2 (CBD2), and the zinc-244 finger domain.⁷⁴ By contrast, the class B yeast Hsp40, Sis1, contains CBD1, but lacks CBD2.⁷⁴ 245 Thus, Ydj1 may have a higher affinity for interacting with the substrate than Sis1, which might 246 compete with Hsp104 for substrate binding. To test this idea, we preformed Hsp104:β-casein 247 complexes in the presence of ATPyS and titrated in Ydj1 or Sis1. Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} 248 bind to casein with similar affinity as Hsp104 (Figure S1). As predicted, Ydj1 can compete for βcasein (30nM) from Hsp104^{R419E}, Hsp104^{R366E}, and Hsp104 at an IC₅₀~20-40µM (Figure S2A, 249 250 Table S1), whereas Sis1 cannot (Figure S2B). This IC₅₀ value is similar to the IC₅₀ of Ydj1 for 251 inhibition of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 at ~14µM (Figure 2C, Table S1). By contrast, Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} are inhibited by much lower concentrations of Ydj1 252 253 in luciferase disaggregation and reactivation (Figure 2C and Table S1). These results suggest 254 that Ydj1 may compete for substrate binding to Hsp104, which may contribute to the inhibition of 255 Hsp104-mediated luciferase disaggregation and reactivation at high Ydj1 concentrations. However, this mode of inhibition does not readily explain the inhibition of Hsp104^{R419E} and 256 Hsp104^{R366E} by low Ydj1 concentrations. 257

258 We wondered whether Ydj1 might inhibit the refolding of the small amounts of luciferase released by Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E}, which would severely restrict their luciferase reactivation 259 260 activity. Thus, we next tested whether Ydj1 or Sis1 can inhibit spontaneous refolding of soluble 261 unfolded luciferase. For this purpose, we unfold native luciferase (at the luciferase concentrations 262 indicated in Figure S2C) with 6M Urea on ice for 5min. We then dilute the soluble unfolded 263 luciferase into buffer and verified the spontaneous refolding of luciferase over 90 min (Figure 264 S2C). At high concentrations, Ydj1 can inhibit this spontaneous refolding of soluble luciferase, but 265 this inhibition is dependent on the luciferase concentration (Figure S2D). For low concentrations 266 of unfolded luciferase (1nM or 2nM), Ydj1 inhibits spontaneous refolding with an IC₅₀ of \sim 3µM 267 (Figure S2D). At higher concentrations of unfolded luciferase (10nM or 20nM), inhibition by Ydj1 268 is insignificant, and the IC₅₀ cannot be determined at the tested Yid1 concentration range (Figure 269 S2D). By contrast, Sis1 does not inhibit luciferase refolding at all tested luciferase concentrations 270 (Figure S2E). These results indicate that when small amounts of luciferase are released from 271 aggregates by Hsp104, its refolding can be inhibited by excess Ydj1. We suggest that Hsp104 272 releases more unfolded luciferase than Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E}, and thus higher Ydj1 concentrations are needed to inhibit Hsp104 than Hsp104^{R419E} or Hsp104^{R366E}. Collectively, these 273 274 findings suggest that reduced ability to collaborate with Ssa1 and inhibition by Ydj1 render Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} hypomorphic *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These findings also emphasize 275

the importance of Hsp104 collaboration with Ssa1 and Ydj1 for thermotolerance *in vivo*, as the
 Hsp104 variants that can only work with Ssa1 and Sis1 are hypomorphic.^{72,75,76}

Rewiring the interprotomer NBD1:MD helix L1 interaction alters Hsp104 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40

We next tested whether rewiring salt-bridge interactions between NBD1 and MD helix L1 could restore Hsp104 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40. Thus, we generated Hsp104^{E190K:R419E}, Hsp104^{E190R:R419E}, Hsp104^{R194E:E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R}, Hsp104^{R366E:D434K}, and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R}, which would be predicted to have reconstructed salt bridges between NBD1 and MD helix L1 in the ATP state (Figure 1C). However, in these variants the location of the acidic and basic partner residues is reversed compared to Hsp104.

286 We first assessed the activity of these Hsp104 variants in luciferase disaggregation and reactivation. To our surprise, Hsp104^{E190K:R419E} and Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} show enhanced activity 287 288 compared to Hsp104 in the absence or presence of human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 (Figure 3A). Remarkably, Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} exhibited similar activity with or without Hsc70 and DnaJA1 (Figure 289 290 3A). The increased level of activity of Hsp104^{E190K:R419E} and Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} and their 291 independence from Hsp70 is consistent with potentiated Hsp104 activity.^{29,30,40,51,58,63,64} Indeed, 292 these variants also exhibited increased ATPase activity, another indicator of potentiated activity 293 (Figure S3A).^{29,30,40,51,58,63,64} Thus, altering the charge orientation of the salt bridge between 294 position 190 of NBD1 and position 419 of MD helix L1 potentiates Hsp104 activity (Figure 3A). 295 Intriguingly, this potentiated activity was only uncovered at this position of the NBD1:MD helix L1 296 interaction, which may pinpoint a precise location where Hsp70 activates Hsp104.

Hsp104^{R194E:E412K}. Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R}, Hsp104^{R366E:D434K}, 297 Indeed. and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} were inactive for luciferase disaggregation and reactivation in the presence or 298 299 absence of human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 (Figure 3A). These variants retained ATPase activity, but 300 it was reduced in comparison to Hsp104 (Figure S3A). To determine activity *in vivo*, we assessed their ability to confer induced thermotolerance in ∆*hsp104* yeast. As expected, Hsp104^{R194E:E412K}. 301 Hsp104^{R194E:E412R}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, and Hsp104^{R366E:D434K} were unable to confer induced 302 303 thermotolerance (Figure 3B). To our surprise, however, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} 304 conferred induced thermotolerance to ~67% of the level conferred by Hsp104 (Figure 3B). These Hsp104 variants were expressed at similar levels (Figure S3B). Thus, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and 305 306 Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} are functional *in vivo* but fail to collaborate with human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 *in* 307 vitro (Figure 3A, B).

To understand the concordance (for Hsp104^{R194E:E412K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, and Hsp104^{R366E:D434K}) 308 and discordance (for Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R}) between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* 309 310 data, we further dissected the activity of these variants in vitro. First, we found that the intrinsic luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity of Hsp104^{R194E:E412K}. Hsp104^{R353E:E427K} and 311 312 Hsp104^{R366E:D434K} in the presence of ATP:ATPyS and the absence of Hsp70 and Hsp40 was like 313 Hsp104 (Figure 3C). Thus, these variants can couple ATP hydrolysis to protein disaggregation 314 and reactivation, indicating a specific defect in collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40. These data 315 reinforce the concept that the ATP-specific NBD1:MD helix L1 interaction is critical for 316 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40.

317 Next, we assessed whether the functional interaction with Ssa1 was affected. Thus, we assessed 318 luciferase disaggregation and reactivation in the presence of ATP plus Ssa1, but in the absence 319 of Hsp40. Here, none of the variants had levels of activity comparable to Hsp104 (Figure 3D). Indeed, Hsp104^{R194E:E412K} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434K} were inactive, whereas Hsp104^{R353E:E427K} and 320 Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} exhibited limited activity (Figure 3D). Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} exhibited ~40% Hsp104 321 322 activity, which is consistent with the ability of this variant to confer some induced thermotolerance 323 (Figure 3B). Nonetheless, these findings suggest that these Hsp104 variants have reduced ability 324 to collaborate directly with Ssa1.

325 We then added the class B Hsp40, Sis1, or class A Hsp40, Ydj1, together with Ssa1 and assessed 326 luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity (Figure 3E, F). Here, Hsp104^{R194E:E412K} and 327 Hsp104^{R366E:D434K} are inactive with Ssa1 plus Sis1 or Ydj1 (Figure 3E, F), which explains the 328 inability of these Hsp104 variants to confer induced thermotolerance (Figure 3B). 329 Hsp104^{R353E:E427K} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} both exhibited ~60% of Hsp104 activity with Ssa1 plus 330 Sis1 (Figure 3E), and limited activity with Ssa1 and Ydj1 (Figure 3F). Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} (~24% of Hsp104) was slightly more active with Ssa1 and Ydj1 than Hsp104^{R353E:E427K} (~15% of Hsp104) 331 (Figure 3F), which may help explain why Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} confers some induced thermotolerance 332 in yeast, whereas Hsp104^{R353E:E427K} confers limited induced thermotolerance (Figure 3B). 333

Notably, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} displayed WT levels of activity with Ssa1 and Sis1 (Figure 3E) and ~42% Hsp104 activity with Ssa1 and Ydj1 (Figure 3F), which helps explain why this variant confers induced thermotolerance *in vivo* (Figure 3B), despite limited activity with human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 (Figure 3A). Indeed, it appears that the reconfigured NBD1:MD helix L1 salt bridge of Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R}, and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} creates a species barrier between yeast Hsp104 and human Hsc70 and DnaJA1, i.e., Hsp104^{R353E:E427K}, Hsp104^{R353E:E427R}, and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} do not work well with human Hsc70 and DnaJA1 but are functional with yeast

Ssa1 and Ydj1. Thus, it appears that the interprotomer interactions between NBD1 and MD helix
L1 of the ATP state can be altered to establish species barriers between Hsp104 and Hsp70,
which can occur naturally as with the barrier between yeast Hsp104 and bacterial Hsp70.^{15,67}

344 Collectively, these results reveal the ATP-specific interprotomer interactions between NBD1 and 345 MD helix L1 (Figure 1A) function as a rheostat to fine-tune Hsp104 collaboration with Hsp70 and 346 Hsp40. Perturbation of these contacts can reduce Hsp70 and Hsp40 collaboration without 347 potentiating activity (Figure 2A). Surprisingly, specific perturbation of this network yields 348 hypomorphic Hsp104 variants that collaborate selectively with class B Hsp40s as with Hsp104^{R419E} and Hsp104^{R366E} (Figure 2A, C, D). Additionally, specific rewiring of this network 349 potentiates Hsp104 activity as with Hsp104^{E190K:R419E} and Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} (Figure 3A). Rewiring 350 351 the network in other ways can greatly reduce collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40 without affecting the intrinsic disaggregase activity of Hsp104 as with Hsp104^{R194E:E412K} and 352 Hsp104^{R366E:D434K} (Figure 3C-F). Remarkably, reconfiguring the NBD1:MD helix L1 network in yet 353 further ways can create species barriers with human Hsp70 as with Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and 354 Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} (Figure 3A, E, F). Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R} operate more similarly 355 356 to Hsp104 with the class B Hsp40, Sis1, but exhibit reduced activity with class A Hsp40, Ydj1 357 (Figure 3E, F), and confer up to ~67% of WT Hsp104 levels of induced thermotolerance in vivo 358 (Figure 3B). This finding suggests that collaboration with just Sis1 is insufficient for Hsp104 to 359 confer induced thermotolerance in vivo. Indeed, collaboration with Sis1 and Ydj1 appears critical 360 for WT levels of Hsp104 activity *in vivo*.⁷⁷ Overall, we conclude that ATP-specific interprotomer 361 interactions between NBD1 and MD helix L1 control several aspects of collaboration between 362 Hsp104 and Hsp70 plus Hsp40.

363 Perturbing the intraprotomer NBD1:MD contacts of the ADP state frequently potentiates 364 Hsp104 activity

365 In contrast to the ATP state, the MD primarily interacts with NBD1 within the same subunit of the 366 hexamer in the presence of ADP (Figure 1B). The role of these intraprotomer contacts in 367 comparison to the interprotomer NBD1:MD contacts of the ATP state has remained unclear. 368 Therefore, we explored 31 interactions involving 14 NBD1 residues and 19 MD residues in the 369 interaction surface presented in Figure 1B. We designed 46 mutations aiming to alter these interactions, of which 16 have been tested previously.^{29,30,39,58,59,63} Thus, we generated 30 370 371 additional single missense Hsp104 variants expected to alter the interactions of this interface 372 (Table S2 and Figure 1B).

373 We then assessed these Hsp104 variants for the ability to suppress the toxicity of α -synuclein. 374 FUS, and TDP-43 in *Ahsp104* yeast.²⁹ Here, Hsp104 and the vector control are unable to mitigate 375 α-synuclein, FUS, and TDP-43 toxicity, whereas positive control potentiated Hsp104 variants, 376 Hsp104^{A503V} or Hsp104^{A503S}, strongly suppress toxicity.²⁹ We find that ~72% (33/46) of the Hsp104 377 variants designed to weaken the intraprotomer NBD1-MD interactions of the ADP state potentiate 378 activity and enable mitigation of α -synuclein, FUS, and TDP-43 toxicity (Table S2). Among the 30 379 Hsp104 variants tested in this work, we found an additional 18 variants that enhanced activity and 380 an additional 12 variants that did not (Figure 4 and Table S2). Among the 18 potentiated variants, 381 only two variants (I361K and K470D) present off-target toxicity to yeast at 37°C (Figure S4A). 382 Moreover, the expression level of Hsp104 variants in yeast is verified (Figure S4B) and all 30 383 Hsp104 variants are expressed. These results suggest that the intraprotomer NBD1-MD-384 interactions observed in the ADP state function to restrict Hsp104 activity. However, Hsp104 385 disaggregation activity can be unleashed by weakening these intraprotomer NBD1-MD-386 interactions, resulting in potentiated variants with low off-target toxicity.

387 Among the 18 potentiated variants discovered in this work, Hsp104^{Y466C}, Hsp104^{K480C}, 388 Hsp104^{K481E}, Hsp104^{R496D}, Hsp104^{I537A}, and Hsp104^{I537R} present strong activity in mitigating α -389 synuclein, FUS, and TDP-43 toxicity with minimal off-target toxicity, and resemble Hsp104^{A503S} 390 (Figure 4 and S4A).²⁹ By contrast, Hsp104^{I361K}, Hsp104^{Y466K}, Hsp104^{K470D}, Hsp104^{H473S}, 391 Hsp104^{K480L}, Hsp104^{K481L} and Hsp104^{E521R} show slightly reduced activity compared to Hsp104^{A503S} (Figure 4), whereas Hsp104^{R179D}, Hsp104^{R407E}, Hsp104^{D408K}, and Hsp104^{D408V} were 392 393 further reduced, but still can mitigate α -synuclein, FUS, and TDP-43 toxicity (Figure 4). 394 Hsp104^{L414K} presents the lowest activity of the 18 new potentiated Hsp104 variants (Figure 4). Hsp104^{L414K} mitigates α -synuclein and FUS toxicity but is unable to reduce TDP-43 toxicity (Figure 395 396 4). Interestingly, a valine scan of the entire MD only identified Hsp104^{R496V} and Hsp104^{K480V} with 397 potentiated activity among these same sites,³⁹ highlighting the power of making rational, targeted 398 mutations to potentiate activity.

Restricting Hsp104 activity in the absence of Hsp70 reduces off-target toxicity of potentiated Hsp104 variants

401 Potentiated Hsp104 variants can exhibit unfavorable off-target toxicity, especially at 37°C in 402 yeast.^{29,30,63} Hsp104 likely recognizes unfolded regions of proteins with a bias for peptides of a 403 certain amino-acid composition rather than any specific sequence.⁷⁸ Thus, it was proposed that 404 potentiated Hsp104 variants may recognize and unfold metastable proteins, which results in 405 toxicity.^{29,63} One concept is that Hsp70 may direct Hsp104 to aggregated structures and away

from soluble misfolded polypeptides or naturally metastable proteins.⁶⁶ Since potentiated Hsp104 406 variants can function independently of Hsp70 to varving extents.^{29,30,63,64} this mechanism of 407 408 substrate selection may become dysregulated such that excessive soluble polypeptide unfolding 409 drives off-target toxicity. However, WT Hsp104 is too tightly regulated and is unable to overcome 410 widespread aggregation by neurodegenerative disease proteins such as TDP-43, FUS, and α synuclein in yeast.^{29,30,63,64} Thus, we hypothesize that potentiated Hsp104 variants with reduced 411 412 unfoldase activity for soluble proteins, and partial independence from Hsp70 may reside in an 413 advantageous therapeutic window. These Hsp104 variants would preferentially target aggregated 414 proteins without excessive and toxic unfolding of soluble proteins. Thus, we set out to test whether 415 potentiated Hsp104 variants with greater off-target toxicity have stronger unfoldase activity 416 against soluble proteins and less dependence on Hsp70 for protein disaggregation.

417 To test this concept, we selected several Hsp104 variants with a range of off-target toxicities: 418 Hsp104 (no off-target toxicity), Hsp104^{S535E} (an MD variant with minimal off-target toxicity), Hsp104^{E360R} (an NBD1 variant with minimal off-target toxicity), and Hsp104^{I187F} (an NBD1 variant 419 with significant off-target toxicity) (Figure 5A).^{29,63,64} We then assessed the ability of these Hsp104 420 421 variants to unfold the model substrate RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP, which is comprised of the N-terminal 15 422 residues of RepA appended to the N-terminus of GFP.⁷⁹ The RepA₁₋₁₅ tag is a short, unfolded 423 region, which is sufficient to target RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP for unfolding by potentiated Hsp104 variants. 424 By contrast, Hsp104 does not unfold RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP in the presence of ATP (Figure 5B). Strikingly, 425 however, we find Hsp104^{I187F}, which has the most off-target toxicity, unfolds RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP the 426 most rapidly, whereas the less toxic Hsp104 variants, Hsp104^{S535E} and Hsp104^{E360R} unfold RepA₁₋ 427 ₁₅-GFP less rapidly than Hsp104^{1187F} (Figure 5B). Hence, off-target toxicity correlates positively 428 with stronger unfoldase activity against soluble protein.

To measure the Hsp70 dependence for Hsp104 disaggregase activity, we performed luciferase disaggregation and reactivation experiments in the absence of Hsp70 or Hsp40 (Figure 5C). Here, we find that Hsp104^{I187F} has the highest luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity in the absence of Hsp70 or Hsp40 (Figure 5C). By contrast, Hsp104^{E360R} and Hsp104^{S535E} show significantly lower activity in the absence of Hsp70 and Hsp40, whereas Hsp104 is completely inactive as expected (Figure 5C).¹⁵ Thus, off-target toxicity correlates positively with stronger disaggregase activity in the absence of Hsp70 or Hsp40.

Next, we titrated Ssa1 (in the absence of Hsp40) into luciferase disaggregation and reactivation
experiments with each potentiated Hsp104 variant versus Hsp104. Hsp104^{I187F} shows very little
dependence on Ssa1 compared to Hsp104 (Figure 5D). By contrast, Hsp104^{E360R} shows stronger

dependence on Ssa1, but outperforms Hsp104 at every Hsp70 concentration tested (Figure 5E).
Intriguingly, Hsp104^{S535E} also shows Ssa1 dependence, but is greatly stimulated at high Ssa1

441 concentrations (Figure 5F). Thus, potentiated Hsp104 variants with minimal off-target toxicity are

442 also more dependent on Hsp70 in protein disaggregation.

443 Rationally designed potentiated Hsp104 with minimized off-target toxicity

444 Next, we developed Hsp104 variants with minimized off-target toxicity based on the design 445 principles established above, i.e., reduced unfoldase activity for soluble proteins and partial 446 independence from Hsp70 for protein disaggregation. Given that the ATP-specific interprotomer 447 NBD1:MD helix L1 interface functions as a rheostat for collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40 448 (Figure 2, 3), we focused on this region to define modifications that tune Hsp70-Hsp40 449 collaboration to the desired level.

450 Upon closer inspection of this salt bridge interaction, we observed that E190, E191, and E192 of 451 NBD1 are positioned such that they could all potentially contact R419 (Figure 6A, left panel). 452 E190, E191, and E192 are located at a loop between Helix B2 and B3 in NBD1 that forms a 453 junction with the coiled-coil MD helix L1 (Figure 6A, left panel). This loop may provide enough 454 freedom for these residues to have a dynamic interaction with R419. To test if salt-bridge 455 interactions between E190, E191, or E192 and R419 can regulate Hsp104 activity and 456 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40, we designed variants to rewire these interactions and modify 457 MD orientation. Thus, we explored combinations of R419E with arginine substitutions at E190, 458 E191, or E192. We tested whether these designed variants could mitigate α -synuclein, FUS, and 459 TDP-43 toxicity in yeast and whether they exhibited off-target toxicity. All the Hsp104 variants 460 were expressed at roughly similar levels and did not affect disease protein expression (Figure 461 S5).

Previously, we found that Hsp104^{E190K:R419E} and Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} have enhanced disaggregase 462 463 activity (Figure 3A). However, this activity was largely independent of Hsp70 and Hsp40, which 464 predicts off-target toxicity (Figure 3A). In yeast, we found that these Hsp104 variants weakly 465 suppressed α -synuclein toxicity (Figure 6B) but were unable to suppress FUS toxicity (Figure 6C), and very weakly suppressed TDP-43 toxicity (Figure 6D). This pattern of disease protein toxicity 466 mitigation is unusual and has not been observed before.^{29,30,37,58,63,64} Moreover, as predicted, 467 468 these variants exhibited off-target toxicity at 37°C (Figure 6E). Hence, the E190K/R:R419E 469 variants have the rheostat dialed too far toward Hsp70 independence (Figure 6A, right panel).

470 By contrast, Hsp104^{E191R:R419E} and Hsp104^{E192R:R419E} strongly suppress α-synuclein, FUS, and 471 TDP-43 toxicity (Figure 6B-D). Importantly, these variants did not exhibit off-target toxicity (Figure 472 6E). Hence, the E191R:R419E and E192R:R419E variants have the rheostat dialed to an 473 appropriate level of Hsp70 collaboration (Figure 6A, right panel).

Based upon these observations, we would predict that Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} would unfold RepA₁₋₁₅GFP more rapidly than Hsp104^{E191R:R419E} and Hsp104^{E192R:R419E}. We would also predict that
Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} would be less dependent on Hsp70 than Hsp104^{E191R:R419E} and Hsp104^{E192R:R419E}
for luciferase disaggregation and reactivation. These predictions were confirmed experimentally
(Figure 6F, G). Collectively, these findings suggest that interactions between NBD1 residues
E190, E191, or E192 and MD helix L1 R419 function as a rheostat that can be adjusted to finetune collaboration with Hsp70.

481 Potentiated Hsp104 variants suppress FUS proteinopathy in human cells

482 A feature of degenerating neurons in various FUS proteinopathies, including ALS and 483 frontotemporal dementia (FTD), is the depletion of FUS from the nucleus and the accumulation 484 of FUS in cytoplasmic inclusions.¹⁰ Potentiated Hsp104 variants can mitigate cytoplasmic FUS aggregation and toxicity in yeast,²⁹ but their activity in human cells has not been assessed. To 485 486 this end, we utilized a human (HeLa) cell model by expressing mCherry-tagged Hsp104 and GFP-487 tagged FUS in HeLa cells.⁸⁰ We selected a panel of potentiated variants with minimal off-target 488 toxicity to assess in this system. Importantly, potentiated Hsp104 variants can significantly 489 suppress FUS cytoplasmic mislocalization and aggregation, whereas Hsp104 cannot (Figure 7A). Indeed, Hsp104^{A503S}, Hsp104^{E191R:R419E}, Hsp104^{E360R}, Hsp104^{K481E}, and Hsp104^{S535E} suppressed 490 491 cytoplasmic FUS aggregation and maintained FUS in the nucleus (Figure 7A, B) without reducing 492 FUS expression level (Figure 7C). Thus, enhanced Hsp104 variants provide a mechanism to 493 mitigate aberrant cytoplasmic FUS aggregation in human cells.

494

496 Discussion

497 In this work, we performed an intensive structure-function analysis of how ATP-specific or ADP-498 specific MD configurations regulate Hsp104 disaggregase activity. We determined that the ATP-499 specific interactions between MD helix L1 and NBD1 of the adjacent clockwise protomer are 500 critical for Hsp104 to collaborate effectively with Hsp70 and Hsp40 during protein disaggregation. 501 Specifically, salt-bridge interactions between NBD1:MD L1 via E190:R419, R194:E412, 502 R353:E427, and R366:D434, enable Hsp104 to collaborate with Hsp70 and Hsp40. Intriguingly, 503 disrupting these interactions does not potentiate activity or affect the intrinsic disaggregase 504 activity of Hsp104. Thus, Hsp104 can still couple ATP hydrolysis to substrate processing when 505 these contacts are broken. However, the ability of Hsp104 to collaborate with Hsp70 and Hsp40 506 is specifically disrupted. These findings are surprising as it was anticipated that these interactions 507 would be important for intersubunit collaboration within the hexamer rather than collaboration with 508 Hsp70 and Hsp40.

509 Intriguingly, we find that specific perturbations of the ATP-specific NBD1:MD helix L1 interactions 510 (i.e., R419E or R366E) yielded hypomorphic Hsp104 variants. These Hsp104 hypomorphs confer 511 some thermotolerance *in vivo*. In luciferase disaggregation and reactivation *in vitro*, Hsp104^{R419E} 512 and Hsp104^{R366E} work selectively with Ssa1 and Sis1, and are unable to function with Ssa1 and 513 Ydj1 or human Hsc70 and DnaJA1. Thus, these Hsp104 variants displayed selectivity to function 514 with the class B Hsp40, Sis1, but not class A Hsp40s, Ydj1 or DnaJA1, Hsp104^{R419E} and 515 Hsp104^{R366E} were also less able to directly collaborate with Ssa1 directly (i.e., in the absence of 516 Hsp40) and were inhibited by Ydj1. These findings emphasize the importance of ATP-specific 517 NBD1:MD helix L1 interactions for productive collaboration with Hsp70 and class A Hsp40s. 518 Moreover, they reinforce the importance of Hsp104 collaboration with Ssa1 and Ydj1 for 519 thermotolerance in vivo.72,75,76

520 Having identified the critical importance of ATP-specific NBD1:MD helix L1 interprotomer 521 interactions, we next assessed the consequences of rewiring these connections. Notably, 522 rebuilding the E190:R419 salt bridge to E190R:R419E or E190K:R419E vielded potentiated 523 Hsp104 variants, which functioned independently of Hsp70. Remarkably, reconfiguring the 524 NBD:MD helix L1 network in yet further ways can create species barriers with human Hsp70 as with Hsp104^{R353E:E427R} and Hsp104^{R366E:D434R}. Thus, manipulating the network of ATP-specific 525 526 NBD1:MD helix L1 interprotomer interactions can: (a) reduce Hsp70 collaboration without 527 enhancing activity; (b) generate hypomorphic Hsp104 variants that collaborate selectively with 528 class B Hsp40s; (c) produce Hsp70-independent potentiated variants; or (d) create species

barriers between Hsp104 and Hsp70. Collectively, these findings suggest that the ATP-specific
NBD1: MD helix L1 interactions function as a rheostat to tune the level of collaboration with Hsp70
and Hsp40. Indeed, the ATP-specific network of interprotomer contacts between NBD1 and MD
helix L1 appears to be poised as a capacitor that can release diverse phenotypes.

533 By contrast, the ADP-specific intraprotomer contacts between NBD1 and MD helix L2 function to 534 restrict activity. When these contacts were disrupted (Figure 4 and Table S2), we observed 535 enhanced Hsp104 activity in mitigating α -syn, FUS and TDP-43 toxicity in yeast, indicating 536 enhanced disaggregase activity of these variants. Indeed, we designed 46 variants to alter 31 537 ADP-specific intraprotomer contacts, and 33 variants exhibited potentiated activity (Figure 4 and 538 Table S2). Disrupting these contacts likely increases the rate of ADP release from NBD1, which 539 accelerates the Hsp104 motor.⁴⁰ Notably, many residues, such as D233, E360, E366, E412, and 540 R419, are involved in both intraprotomer and interprotomer contacts between NBD1 and the MD, 541 indicating a dynamic and highly regulated network of interactions, which likely enable 542 communication within and between subunits during disaggregation.

543 A difficulty in developing potentiated Hsp104 variants as therapeutic agents lies in their off-target 544 toxicity, which likely stems from their ability to unfold, metastable soluble proteins or soluble 545 proteins with partially unfolded regions.³⁶ One solution to this problem is to increase the substrate 546 specificity of potentiated Hsp104 variants for specific neurodegenerative-disease proteins, which 547 we have achieved with α -synuclein.³⁰ However, multiple proteins can aggregate in 548 neurodegenerative disease, which may limit the utility of substrate-specific protein disaggregases. 549 Another strategy would be to tune Hsp104 activity such that potentiated disaggregase activity is 550 retained while unfolding of soluble proteins is minimized. Here, we establish that fine-tuning the 551 level of Hsp70 collaboration provides a mechanism to achieve this goal. We reach this conclusion 552 by first considering three potentiated Hsp104 variants: Hsp104^{I187F}, Hsp104^{E360R}, and 553 Hsp104^{S535E}.^{63,64} Hsp104^{I187F} exhibits more off-target toxicity than Hsp104^{E360R}, which in turn exhibits more off-target toxicity than Hsp104^{S535E}.^{63,64} Strikingly, Hsp104^{I187F} unfolds RepA₁₋₁₅-554 555 GFP (a model soluble protein with a partially unfolded region) more rapidly than Hsp104^{E360R}, which in turn unfolds RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP more rapidly than Hsp104^{S535E}. Furthermore, Hsp104^{I187F} 556 557 displays less dependence on Hsp70 than Hsp104^{E360R} or Hsp104^{S535E} in luciferase disaggregation 558 and reactivation. Thus, too much independence from Hsp70 may yield off-target toxicity, whereas 559 too much dependence of Hsp70 (as with wild-type Hsp104) leads to a reduced ability to combat 560 deleterious protein misfolding connected with neurodegenerative disease. Overall, our findings 561 suggest rules for minimizing off-target toxicity: (1) minimize the ability of the Hsp104 variant to

unfold soluble proteins with partially unfolded regions; and (2) tune the level of collaboration withHsp70.

564 We then leveraged this knowledge to adjust the ATP-specific NBD1:MD helix L1 rheostat to the 565 appropriate level of Hsp70 collaboration. We find that Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} displays increased off-566 target toxicity, enhanced ability to unfold RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP, and less dependence on Hsp70 in luciferase disaggregation and reactivation. By contrast, Hsp104^{E191R:R419E} and Hsp104^{E192R:R419E} 567 568 display reduced off-target toxicity, reduced ability to unfold RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP, and more dependence 569 on Hsp70 in luciferase disaggregation and reactivation. Hence, these NBD1:MD helix L1 variants 570 may set the ATP-specific MD configuration in a way that optimally tunes Hsp70 collaboration to 571 yield potentiated Hsp104 variants with minimal off-target toxicity.

572 Finally, we establish that potentiated Hsp104 variants with minimal off-target effects can mitigate 573 aberrant FUS aggregation in human cells for the first time. Thus, a panel of potentiated Hsp104 574 variants can reduce cytoplasmic FUS aggregation in human cells, whereas Hsp104 is ineffective. 575 These findings suggest that Hsp104 and enhanced variants can be translated to reduce 576 deleterious protein aggregation in human cells, which sets the stage for further developing 577 Hsp104 as a therapeutic agent. Indeed, in this light, advances in lipid nanoparticle-mediated 578 mRNA therapeutics are particularly exciting as they provide a mechanism to introduce a transient dose of Hsp104 variants to where they are needed.^{81,82} In this way, potentiated Hsp104 variants 579 580 could relieve toxic protein aggregation and then be downregulated such that potential off-target 581 effects are minimized.

582 STAR Methods

583 Key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit anti-Hsp104 polyclonal	Enzo Life	Cat# ADI-SPA-
	Sciences	1040-F; RRID:
		AB_2039208
Rabbit anti-FUS polyclonal	Bethyl	Cat# A300-302A;
	Laboratories	RRID: AB_309445
Rabbit anti-TDP-43 polyclonal	Proteintech	Cat# 10782; RRID:
		AB_615042
Rabbit anti-GFP polyclonal	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# G1544; RRID:
		AB_439690
Rabbit monoclonal anti-alpha tubulin antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab6160
Mouse anti-PGK1 monoclonal	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 459250;
		RRID: AB_2532235
Anti-mCherry polyclonal antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab167453
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Mouse IgG secondary	LI-COR	Cat# 926–32210;
antibody		RRID:AB_621842
IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Rabbit IgG secondary	LI-COR	Cat# 926–68071;
antibody		RRID:
		AB_10956166
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rat IgG Secondary	LI-COR	Cat#926-32219;
Antibody		RRID: AB_1850025
Bacterial and virus strains		
Escherichia coli DH5α competent cells	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 18265017
Escherichia coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)	Agilent	Cat# 230245
-RIL competent cells		
One Shot TOP10 Chemically Competent	Invitrogen	Cat# C404010
Escherichia coli		
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Creatine phosphate	Roche	Cat# 10621722001

Adenosine 5'-triphosphate disodium salt hydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A3377
(ATP)		
Adenosine 5'-[γ -thio] triphosphate tetralithium salt	Roche	Cat# 11162306001
Dpnl	NEB	Cat# R0176S
Gateway BP Clonase II Enzyme Mix	Invitrogen	Cat# 11789013
Gateway LR Clonase II Enzyme Mix	Invitrogen	Cat# 11791019
NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix	NEB	Cat# E2621S
cOmplete, Mini, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 4693159001
Cocktail		
Casein fluorescein isothiocyanate from bovine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# C0528
milk (FITC-Casein)		
Creatine kinase	Roche	Cat# 10127566001
Firefly luciferase	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# L9506
Lysozyme	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# L6876
Hoechst 33342 stain	Invitrogen	Cat# H3570
Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent	Invitrogen	Cat# 11668027
VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with	Vector	Cat# H-1200-10
DAPI	Laboratories	
DMEM, high glucose, pyruvate	Gibco	Cat# 11995065
Fetal bovine serum	Cytiva	Cat# SH30910.03
Penicillin-streptomycin	Gibco	Cat# 15140122
TeSR Plus medium	STEMCELL	Cat# 100-0276
	Technologies	
HCI	Sigma	Cat# H9892
His-TEV protease	Cupo and	N/A
	Shorter ⁸³	
Hsp104	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{A503S}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R179D/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{I187F}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E190R/K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E190R/K:R419E}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E191R:R419E}	This paper	N/A

Hsp104 ^{E192R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E190R:E191R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E190R:E192R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R194E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E412K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R194E:E412K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R353E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E427K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R353E:E427K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R366E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D434K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R366E:D434K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D231R}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D232V/R}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D233R}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K358D}	Mack et al. ³⁰	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E360R}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
Hsp104 ^{I361K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D408K/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K410E/L/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D492R}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R495D/E/N/M}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R496D}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R496V}	Ryan et al. ³⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D484K}	Mack et al. ³⁰	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K480E}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K480C/L}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K481C/E/L}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{Y466C/K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E521R/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{M536K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{I537A/R}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{H473F}	This paper	N/A
	•	•

Hsp104 ^{A531V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R407E}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K470D/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{K470Q}	Jackrel et al.58	N/A
Hsp104 ^{D408K/V}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{L414K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{N539L/E/D/G/K}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
Hsp104 ^{P411H}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{E412K}	This paper	N/A
Hsp104 ^{R419E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
GroEL _{trap}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
RepA ₁₋₁₅ -GFP	This paper	N/A
Hsc70	Enzo Life	Cat#ADI-SPP-
	Sciences	751-F
DnaJA1	Enzo Life	Cat#ADI-SPP-405-
	Sciences	F
pE-His₀-SUMO-Ssa1	Michalska et al. ⁸⁴	N/A
pE-His ₆ -SUMO-Sis1	Michalska et al. ⁸⁴	N/A
pE-His ₆ -SUMO-Ydj1	Michalska et al. ⁸⁴	N/A
pFGET19-Ulp1	Addgene	Plasmid #64697
Luciferase Assay Reagent	Promega	Cat# E1483
ATPase Activity Kit (Colorimetric)	Innova Bioscieces	Cat# 601–0120
QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit	Agilent	Cat# 200518
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
S. cerevisiae: W303a∆hsp104 (MATa, can1-100,	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
his3-11, 15, leu2-3, 112, trp1-1, ura3-1, ade2-1,		
hsp104::KanMX)		

S. cerevisiae: W303aΔhsp104-pAG303GAL-α-syn-	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
YFP-pAG304GAL-α-syn-YFP		
S. cerevisiae: W303a∆hsp104-pAG303GAL-FUS	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
S. cerevisiae: W303a∆hsp104-pAG303GAL-TDP-	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
43		
Cell line:		
Human:HeLa	ATCC	Cat#CCL-
		2;RRID:CVCL_003
		0
Recombinant DNA		
pAG416GAL-CCDB		
pAG416GAL-Hsp104	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{A503S}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R179D/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{I187F}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E190R/K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E190R/K:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E191R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E192R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E190R:E191R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E190R:E192R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E190R:E191R:E192R:R419E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R194E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E412K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R194E:E412K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R353E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E427K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R353E:E427K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R366E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D434K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R366E:D434K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D231R}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D232V/R}	This paper	N/A

pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D233R}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K358D}	Mack et al. ³⁰	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E360R}	Tariq et al. ⁶³	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{I361K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D408K/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K410E/L/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D492R}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R495D/E/N/M}	Tariq et al.63	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R496D/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D484K}	Mack et al. ³⁰	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K480E}	Tariq et al.63	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K480C/L}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K481C/E/L}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{Y466C/K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E521R/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{M536K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{I537A/R}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{H473F}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{A531V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R407E}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K470D/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{K470Q}	Jackrel et al. ⁵⁸	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{D408K/V}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{L414K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{N539L/E/D/G/K}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{P411H}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{E412K}	This paper	N/A
pAG416GAL-Hsp104 ^{R419E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{A503S}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R194E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{E412K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R194E:E412K}	This paper	N/A

pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R353E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{E427K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R353E:E427K/R}	This paper	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R366E}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{D434K}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{R366E:D434K/R}	This paper	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{E360R}	Tariq et al.63	N/A
pNOTAG-Hsp104 ^{S535E}	Tariq et al.64	N/A
pRS313HSE-ccdB	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pRS313HSEHsp104 ^{wt}	Gates et al. ⁵⁹	N/A
pRS313HSEHsp104 ^{E190K}	This paper	N/A
pRS313HSEHsp104 ^{R419E}	This paper	N/A
pRS313HSE -Hsp104 ^{R194E:E412K/R}	This paper	N/A
pRS313HSE -Hsp104 ^{R353E:E427K/R}	This paper	N/A
pRS313HSE -Hsp104 ^{R366E:D434K/R}	This paper	N/A
pTrc99A-GroEL _{trap}	Jackrel et al. ²⁹	N/A
pBAD-RepA ₁₋₁₅ -GFP	Lopez et al. ⁷⁹	N/A
pE-SUMO-Hsp72	This study	N/A
pHis-TEV	Cupo and	N/A
	Shorter ⁸³	
pEGFP-FUS ^{WT}	Fare et al. ⁸⁰	N/A
mCherry2-N1	N/A	AddgeneCat#5456
		3
PMV-Hsp104-mCherry	This study	N/A
PMV- Hsp104 ^{A503S} -mCherry	This study	N/A
PMV- Hsp104 ^{E191R:R419E} -mCherry	This study	N/A
PMV- Hsp104 ^{E360R} -mCherry	This study	N/A
PMV- Hsp104 ^{K481E} -mCherry	This study	N/A
PMV- Hsp104 ^{E535R} -mCherry	This study	N/A
Software and algorithms		N/A
Prism 9	GraphPad	
ImageJ	Rueden et al. ⁸⁵	N/A
PyMOL 4.6.0	Schrodinger, LLC	N/A

Discovery Studio Visualizer	Dassault	N/A
	Systemes Biovia	
	Corp	

584

585 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

586 Lead contact

- 587 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
- 588 fulfilled by the lead contact, James Shorter (jshorter@pennmedicine.upenn.edu).

589 *Materials availability*

- 590 Plasmids newly generated in this study will be made readily available to the scientific
- 591 community. We will honor requests in a timely fashion. Material transfers will be made with no
- 592 more restrictive terms than in the Simple Letter Agreement or the Uniform Biological Materials
- 593 Transfer Agreement and without reach through requirements.

594 Data and code availability

- 595 Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from
- 596 the lead contact upon request.

597 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

598 Yeast strains

- 599 Yeast strains used were wild-type W303a (MATa, can1-100, his3-11, 15, leu2-3, 112, trp1-1,
- 600 *ura3-1, ade2-1*) or the isogenic strain W303a Δ *hsp104*.²⁹ The yeast strains W303a Δ *hsp104*-
- 601 pAG303GAL-α-syn-YFP-pAG304GAL-α-syn-YFP, W303aΔ*hsp104*-pAG303GAL-FUS, and
- 602 W303aΔ*hsp104*-pAG303GAL-TDP-43, have been described previously.^{29,36,38} Yeast were
- grown in rich medium (YPD) or in synthetic media without amino acids used for selection. 2%
- 604 sugar (dextrose, raffinose, or galactose) was added to synthetic media.

605 HeLa cell maintenance

- 606 Once thawed, HeLa cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)
- 607 containing high glucose, supplied by Gibco. This medium was enriched with 10% fetal bovine
- 608 serum (FBS) from HyClone and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution from Gibco. The cells were

- 609 incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C at 5% (v/v) CO2. Cells that pass passage number
- 610 20 were discarded.
- 611 METHOD DETAILS

612 Site-directed mutagenesis

- 613 Mutations were introduced into Hsp104 through QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent)
- and confirmed by DNA sequencing.

615 **Protein purification**

- 616 Hsp104
- 617 Hsp104 proteins were purified as previously described with the following modifications.²⁹ Eluate
- from Affi-Gel Blue Gel was equilibrated to a low-salt buffer Q (~100mM NaCl, 20mM Tris-HCl
- 619 pH 8.0, 5mM MgCl₂, 0.5mM EDTA and 10% glycerol) and purified via ResourceQ anion
- 620 exchange chromatography. Buffer Q (20mM TRIS-HCl pH 8.0, 50mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl₂, 0.5mM
- 621 EDTA, and 10% glycerol) was used as running buffer, and the protein was eluted with a linear
- 622 gradient of buffer Q+ (20mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1M NaCl, 5mM MgCl₂, 0.5mM EDTA, and 10%
- 623 glycerol). The eluted protein was buffer-exchanged into high-salt storage buffer (40mM HEPES-
- 624 KOH pH 7.4, 500mM KCl, 20mM MgCl₂) plus 50% glycerol and 1mM DTT and snap-frozen.

625 GroEL_{trap}

- 626 pTrc99A-GroEL_{trap} was transformed into DH5α competent *E. coli* cells (Thermo Fisher). Cells
- 627 were grown in 2xYT medium with appropriate antibiotics at 37°C with shaking until OD₆₀₀
- 628 reached ~0.4-0.6. Protein overexpression was induced with 1mM IPTG, and cells were grown at
- 629 37°C until OD₆₀₀~2.0. Cells were harvested by spinning (4,658g, 4°C, 15min) and pellet was
- 630 resuspended in 50mM sodium phosphate buffer and centrifuged (4,658g, 4°C, 15min). The
- 631 pellet fraction was resuspended in low-salt buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1mM EDTA, 1mM
- 632 DTT, 50mM NaCl) and 10mg lysozyme per g cell pellet. Sample was stirred gently for 5min,
- 633 lysed through sonication, and centrifuged (30,996g, 4°C, 30min). Clarified lysate was loaded
- onto HiTrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare) and eluted through salt gradient using low-salt buffer
- 635 (as described above) and high-salt buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1mM EDTA, 1mM DTT,
- 636 500mM NaCl).⁸⁶ Collected fractions were exchanged into the following TKME-100 buffer: 20mM
- 637 Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100mM KCl, 10mM MgCl₂, 0.1mM EDTA, 5mM DTT, 10% glycerol, and
- 638 0.005% Triton X-100, and snap-frozen.

639 RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP

640 pBAD-RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP was transformed into BL21 (DE3)-RIL cells. Cells were inoculated in 2xYT 641 medium with appropriate antibiotics at 37°C with shaking until OD₆₀₀ reached ~0.6-0.8. Protein 642 overexpression was induced with 1mM IPTG, and cells were grown at 30°C for 4h. Cells were 643 harvested by spinning (4,658g, 4°C, 25min) and pellet was resuspended in 40mM HEPES-KOH 644 pH 7.4 plus 2mM 2-Mercaptoethanol (BME) and EDTA-free protease inhibitors. Cells were lysed 645 using a sonicator and centrifuged (30,996g, 4°C, 20min). The resulting pellet was washed twice 646 with HM buffer (40mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 20mM MgCl₂) plus 2mM BME. After each wash. 647 cells were centrifuged (30,996g, 4°C, 20min). The pellet fraction was then resuspended in buffer 648 containing 8M urea, 40mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 500mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) and agitated 649 slowly overnight at 25°C. The solubilized pellet was then centrifuged (30,996g, 25°C, 20min) 650 and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant fraction was incubated with Ni-NTA beads 651 (HisPur[™] Ni-NTA Resin, Thermo Scientific) pre-equilibrated in buffer containing 8M urea, 40mM 652 Tris pH 6.8, 500mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) for 2h on a spinning wheel at 25°C at the lowest 653 speed. The Ni-NTA beads were then washed 5 times with buffer containing 8M urea, 40mM 654 Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 500mM NaCl, 20mM imidazole, 10% glycerol (v/v) and then washed 5 times 655 with buffer containing 8M urea, 40mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 500mM NaCl, 40mM imidazole, 10% 656 alvcerol (v/v). The Ni-NTA beads were then eluted with buffer containing 8M urea. 40mM Tris-657 HCl pH 6.8, 500mM NaCl, 500mM imidazole, 10% glycerol (v/v). The eluate was dialyzed 658 overnight into buffer containing 40mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 20mM imidazole, 150mM KCI, 2mM 659 BME, 10% glycerol (v/v) at 4°C and re-loaded onto a Ni-NTA column (HisTrap[™] HP, GE 660 Healthcare). An imidazole gradient was applied (from 20mM to 500mM) over 20CV in buffer 661 containing 40mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 20mM imidazole, 150mM KCl, 2mM BME, 10% glycerol 662 (v/v). The purity of eluted fractions was assessed using SDS-PAGE. Collected fractions were 663 buffer-exchanged into HKM-150 buffer (40mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 150mM KCI, 20mM MgCl₂) 664 plus 2 mM BME and 10% glycerol (v/v) and snap-frozen.

665 Hsp70 and Hsp40

Hsc70 and DnaJA1 were from Enzo Life Sciences. Ssa1, Ydj1 and Sis1 were purified as
 described.⁸⁴

668 ATPase assay

- 669 0.25µM (monomeric) Hsp104 was incubated with ATP (1mM) for 5min at 25°C in luciferase-
- 670 refolding buffer (LRB150: 25mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 150mM KAOc, 10mM MgAOc, 2 mM 2-
- 671 Mercaptoethanol). The final reaction buffer contained < 0.3 % of HKM-500 buffer (stock of
- 672 Hsp104 is >100 μM). ATPase activity was evaluated by the release of inorganic phosphate,
- 673 which was measured using a malachite green phosphate detection kit (Innova Biosciences).
- 674 Background hydrolysis at time zero was subtracted.

675 Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation assay

- 676 Aggregated luciferase (100nM, monomer concentration) was incubated with Hsp104 or Hsp104
- 677 variants (1µM monomer), ATP (5mM), and an ATP regeneration system (10mM creatine
- 678 phosphate, 0.25µM creatine kinase) in the presence or absence of additional chaperones
- Hsp70 (Hsc70 or Ssa1, various concentrations as indicated in the figure) and Hsp40 (Ydj1,
- DnaJA1, or Sis1), for 90min at 25°C in LRB. The final reaction buffer contained less than 1% of
- 681 HKM-500 buffer. After 90min, luciferase activity was measured with a luciferase assay reagent
- 682 (Promega). Recovered luminescence was measured using a Tecan Infinite M1000 or Spark
- 683 plate reader.

684 Luciferase refolding assay

685 Native luciferase (10μM) in 6M urea was incubated on ice for 5 min. The sample was then

- diluted to a final luciferase concentration of 1, 2, 10 or 20 nM in to LRB150 with an ATP
 regeneration system (10mM creatine phosphate, 0.25 µM creatine kinase), at the indicated
- 687 regeneration system (10mM creatine phosphate, 0.25 μM creatine kinase), at the indicated
- 688 Hsp40 (Ydj1 or Sis1) concentration. The sample was then incubated for 90min at 25°C. After
- 689 90min, luciferase activity was measured with a luciferase assay reagent (Promega). Recovered
- 690 Iuminescence was measured using a Tecan Infinite M1000 or Spark plate reader.
- To check luciferase spontaneous refolding, native luciferase (10µM) in 6M urea was incubated
- on ice for 5 min. The sample was then diluted to a final luciferase concentration of 1, 2, 10, or
- 693 20 nM into LRB150 with ARS. The activity of luciferase was measured at time of dilution (0 min)
- and after incubated for 90 min at 25°C as described above.

695 Yeast plasmids

- 696 Hsp104 variants were under control of a galactose-inducible promoter on pAG416GAL
- 697 plasmids. In thermotolerance assays, Hsp104 expression was induced by 30min incubation in
- 698 37°C through a heat inducible HSE promoter on pRS313HSE plasmids.

699 Thermotolerance assay

700 Hsp104 variants under the HSE promoter were transformed into W303a Δ hsp104 yeast. Yeast 701 cultures were grown to saturation overnight at 30°C in glucose dropout media. Cultures were 702 normalized to $OD_{600} = 0.3$ and grown in glucose dropout media at 30°C for at least 4h, after 703 which the equivalent of 6 ml culture with an $OD_{600} = 0.6$ was grown at 37°C for 30 min (if 704 assessing Hsp104 expression, samples would be harvested at this stage for western blot as 705 described above). Cultures were then heat-shocked at 50°C in 1.5ml Eppendorf tubes in an 706 Eppendorf Thermomixer for 30min and incubated on ice for 2min. Cultures were diluted 707 appropriately, plated on glucose dropout media, and incubated at 30°C. After 2-3 days, colonies 708 were counted using an aCOLyte colony counter and software (Synbiosis). Spotting result 709 presented in Figure 3B was quantified using ImageJ as described in the quantification and 710 statistical analysis section.

711 Yeast transformation and spotting assays

Plasmids containing Hsp104 variants were transformed into yeast using a standard lithium acetate and polyethylene glycol procedure.⁸⁷ For spotting assays, yeast cultures were grown to saturation overnight at 30°C in dropout media containing raffinose. Raffinose cultures were then normalized to an $OD_{600}=2$. Five-fold serial dilution was performed on sterile 96-well plates and spotted onto glucose and galactose plates using a 96-bolt replicator tool. Plates were grown at 30°C for 3 days and imaged at both day 2 and day 3.

718 Western blotting

- For yeast Western blotting, Hsp104 variants transformed into appropriate yeast strains were
- grown to saturation overnight at 30°C in dropout media containing raffinose. Cultures were
- normalized to OD₆₀₀ = 0.3 and grown in galactose dropout media at 30°C to induce Hsp104 and
- disease substrate expression (TDP-43 and FUS cultures induced for 5h). Galactose cultures
- 723 were then normalized according to OD_{600} and the equivalent of 6ml culture with an $OD_{600} = 0.6$
- were harvested by centrifugation. Media was aspirated, and the cell pellets were resuspended
- in 0.1M NaOH and incubated at room temperature for 5min. Cells were pelleted again by
- 726 centrifugation, supernatant removed, and pellet was resuspended in 100µL 1X SDS sample
- 527 buffer and boiled for 4-5min. Samples were separated via SDS-PAGE (4-20% gradient, Bio-
- Rad) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore) using a Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Transfer
- 729 Cell (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked for at least 1h at room temperature and then

- incubated with primary antibodies (rabbit anti-Hsp104 polyclonal (Enzo Life Sciences); rabbit
- anti-FUS polyclonal (Bethyl Laboratories); rabbit anti-TDP-43 polyclonal (Proteintech); rabbit
- anti-GFP polyclonal (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti-PGK1 monoclonal (Thermo Fisher) at 4°C
- 733 overnight. Membranes were washed multiple times with PBS-T, incubated with secondary
- antibodies (goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rabbit, LI-COR) for 1h at room temperature, and
- 735 washed again multiple times with PBS-T (final wash with PBS). Membranes were imaged using
- a LI-COR Odyssey FC Imaging system.

737 Toxicity spotting assay

- pAG416GAL plasmids containing Hsp104 variants were transformed into W303a∆*hsp104* yeast.
- 739 Yeast cultures were grown to saturation overnight at 30°C in dropout media containing raffinose.
- Raffinose cultures were then normalized according to OD₆₀₀ and five-fold serial diluted. The
- cultures were spotted onto two sets of glucose and galactose plates using a 96-bolt replicator
- tool. One set of plates was grown at 30° C, and the other at 37° C, for three days and imaged
- subsequently at day 2 and day 3.

744 **RepA**₁₋₁₅-**GFP** unfoldase assay

- 745 RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP (0.7µM) was incubated with Hsp104 or Hsp104 variants (6µM, monomeric), ATP
- 746 (4mM), ARS (20mM creatine phosphate, 0.06μg/μl creatine kinase). GroEL_{trap} (2.5μM
- tetradecamer) was included to prevent refolding of unfolded RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP. Hsp104 variants
- 748 were buffer-exchanged into TKME-100 buffer at 25°C. Reactions were assembled on ice in
- 749 TKME-100 buffer plus 20µg/ml BSA. RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP unfolding was measured by fluorescence
- (excitation 395nm, emission 510nm) using a Tecan Safire², which was heated to 30° C prior to
- reading.

752 Codon-optimized Hsp104 plasmid for human cell expression:

- The codon optimized Hsp104 plasmid for human cell expression were purchased through Twist
- by two fragments with 20nt overhangs to insert into mCherry2-N1 plasmid using Gibson
- Assembly. The mCherry-N1 plasmid was linearized using Agel restriction enzyme. For
- 756 mCherry-tagged Hsp104 variants, mCherry is located at the C-terminal end of Hsp104. The
- 757 following Hsp104 sequence was inserted on the N-terminal site of mCherry separated by a
- 758 glycine-serine linker (Gly-Gly-Ser-Gly-Gly-Gly-Ser-Gly-Gly).

759 ATGAATGACCAGACGCAGTTCACGGAGCGCGCGCGCCACCATACTCACACTTGCACAAAAA

761 AGACTCCAGAAGACGGGTCAGTACCCTATCTGCAGAATCTGATAGAGAAGGGAAGGTATG 762 ATTACGATTTGTTTAAAAAGGTCGTTAATCGAAACTTGGTACGGATCCCCCAACAACAGCCA 763 GCTCCGGCTGAGATAACTCCGAGTTATGCTCTCGGAAAGGTACTGCAGGATGCAGCTAAG 764 ATTCAGAAGCAGCAGAAAGATTCATTTATCGCCCAAGATCATATTCTCTCGCTCTGTTCAA 765 CGACTCATCCATTCAACAGATCTTCAAGGAGGCTCAGGTGGACATAGAAGCTATCAAGCAG 766 CAGGCCTTGGAGTTGCGCGGGAACACGAGAATTGATTCCCGCGGCGCAGATACTAATACA 767 CCTCTGGAATATCTTTCTAAATATGCAATAGATATGACGGAGCAGGCCAGACAGGGCAAAT 768 TGGATCCAGTGATAGGGCGAGAGGAGGAGGAGATTCGCTCAACTATTCGAGTCCTTGCTCGAA 769 GAATAAAAAGCAACCCATGTCTGATTGGTGAACCGGGAATTGGTAAGACTGCAATCATCGA 770 AGGCGTTGCTCAGAGAATCATCGATGACGATGTGCCAACCATACTTCAGGGGGGCGAAGCT 771 GTTTAGTCTCGATCTTGCTGCCCTTACCGCTGGTGCAAAGTACAAAGGCGACTTTGAAGAG 772 CGGTTTAAGGGTGTCCTCAAGGAAATCGAGGAATCAAAGACCCTTATCGTGCTTTTCATAG 773 ACGAGATTCATATGTTGATGGGGGAATGGGGAAAGATGATGCGGCTAACATACTCAAGCCTGC 774 GCTCTCACGAGGACAGCTCAAGGTTATTGGCGCTACTACCAACAACGAGTACAGATCAATA 775 GTTGAAAAGGACGGCGCGCTTCGAACGGCGGTTTCAAAAAATAGAAGTAGCTGAGCCGAGC 776 GTGAGACAGACTGTCGCCATATTGAGGGGTCTCCAGCCTAAGTACGAAATCCATCACGGC GTGCGGATCCTGGACTCAGCACTGGTTACAGCGGCGCAGTTGGCGAAACGGTATCTTCCC 777 778 TACCGCAGGTTGCCCGACTCTGCTCTTGACTTGGTAGACATAAGTTGTGCGGGCGTGGCA GTTGCAAGAGACTCCAAACCTGAAGAATTGGACTCCAAAGAGCGACAACTCCAACTGATCC 779 780 AGGTCGAGATTAAAGCGTTGGAGCGCGACGAAGACGCGGACTCTACTACTAAGGACCGGC 781 TTAAACTTGCTCGACAGAAGGAAGCGTCCCTCCAGGAGGAACTCGAGCCTTTGAGGCAGC 782 GATACAACGAGGAAAAACACGGACATGAGGAACTGACCCAAGCTAAGAAAAAGCTCGACG 783 AGCTTGAGAACAAAGCCCTCGATGCGGAGAGAGAGATATGATACTGCTACTGCTGCCGACC 784 TGAGATACTTTGCTATCCCTGATATTAAGAAACAGATCGAAAAGCTGGAGGATCAGGTTGC 785 TGAAGAAGAAGACGAGCCGGAGCGAATTCAATGATACAGAACGTCGTTGATAGTGATAC 786 GATATCCGAAACAGCCGCGCGCGACTTACTGGAATACCGGTTAAAAAGCTCTCAGAGTCTGAG 787 AATGAAAAACTCATTCACATGGAACGCGATCTCAGTTCAGAAGTTGTCGGTCAGATGGACG 788 CCATTAAGGCAGTATCCAACGCTGTACGACTTTCCAGGTCTGGCCTTGCAAATCCGCGCCA 789 ACCTGCTAGCTTTCTTTTCCTTGGCCTGTCAGGGTCCGGAAAAACAGAACTGGCTAAGAAG 790 GTTGCAGGGTTTCTGTTTAACGATGAAGATATGATGATTAGAGTAGACTGCTCTGAACTGTC 791 CGAGAAATACGCCGTGAGTAAATTGCTCGGAACCACTGCCGGATATGTTGGATATGACGAA 792 GGCGGATTCCTCACAAATCAGCTGCAGTACAAACCATACAGCGTCCTTTTGTTCGATGAAG 793 TCGAGAAGGCTCACCCAGACGTTCTGACTGTTATGCTCCAGATGCTTGATGATGGGAGGAT 794 TACTTCTGGTCAAGGAAAGACCATCGATTGCAGCAACTGTATTGTAATCATGACCAGTAATT

795 TGGGTGCTGAATTCATCAACAGTCAGCAGGGTTCAAAAATCCAAGAATCCACTAAAAACCT 796 797 GTGATATTCAACAAGCTCAGTCGCAAGGCAATCCATAAAATTGTGGACATAAGACTCAAAG 798 AGATAGAAGAAAGGTTTGAACAGAACGATAAGCATTACAAGCTTAATCTGACACAGGAGGC 799 AAAGGACTTCCTCGCGAAGTACGGGTATAGCGACGACATGGGTGCTAGACCGCTTAATCG 800 CTTGATTCAAAATGAGATCCTCAACAAGCTGGCTCTTAGGATACTGAAAAACGAGATCAAG 801 GACAAAGAGACTGTGAATGTAGTGTTGAAAAAGGGAAAATCCCCGAGATGAAAATGTACCGG 802 AAGAGGCCGAGGAATGCCTTGAAGTACTTCCAAACCATGAGGCAACCATCGGTGCTGATA 803 CCCTCGGTGATGATGATAACGAAGATTCAATGGAAATCGACGACGACCTCGAC

804 HeLa cell culture and transfections

805 HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with high glucose, 806 supplied by Gibco, which was enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) from HyClone and 807 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution from Gibco. The cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a 808 density of 2-2.5x10⁵ cells per plate, 24h prior to the transfection. The transfection was carried 809 out with 1.5µg of total DNA mixed with 4.5µl of Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen). Four 810 hours post-transfection, the medium was replaced with the standard growth medium to continue 811 cell maintenance. 24h after the transfection, the cells were collected for analysis by microscopy 812 or Western blotting.

- 813 In the microscopy experiments, the colocalization of proteins was assessed manually. At least
- 500 cells per experiment sample were analyzed across four separate trials. The statistical
- 815 analysis was conducted using a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test, with the calculations
- 816 performed using GraphPad Prism Software.

817 Western blotting for HeLa cell experiment

For HeLa cells, ~2-2.5x10⁵ cells were seeded and transfected with GFP-tagged FUS and either
mCherry-tagged Hsp104 variants or an empty vector expressing mCherry. After 24h, cells were
washed once with PBS, then resuspended in RIPA lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100,
1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 25mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6) supplemented with protease
inhibitors and 1 mM PMSF. Cells were then sonicated and centrifuged at 4°C for 10min at
10,000g, and the cell lysate was mixed with 1× SDS-PAGE sample buffer.

The samples were then boiled and separated by SDS-PAGE (4–20% gradient, Bio-Rad) and
transferred to a PVDF membrane. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-GFP

- 826 polyclonal (Sigma-Aldrich) for induced GFP-FUS expression, anti-alpha Tubulin monoclonal
- 827 (Abcam: ab184970 for yeast; ab6160 for human cells), anti-mCherry polyclonal (Abcam). Three
- 828 fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies were used: anti-rabbit (Li-Cor), anti-rat (Li-Cor), and
- 829 anti-mouse (Li-Cor). Blots were imaged using a LI-COR Odyssey FC Imaging system.

830 Fluorescence microscopy

- 831 For HeLa cell microscopy, transfected HeLa cells were fixed with 2% formaldehyde for 30 min at
- room temperature, followed by treatment with Triton X-100 for 6 min to permeabilize cells.
- 833 Coverslips were then assembled using VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI
- 834 (Vector Laboratories) and sealed before imaging. Images were taken at 100× magnification
- 835 using the EVOS M5000 Imaging System (ThermoFisher) and processed using ImageJ. At least
- 836 100 cells were counted for each condition across four independent trials.

837 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- 838 The Absolute IC₅₀ model in GraphPad was used to fit the dose-dependent luciferase
- 839 reactivation isotherms as a function of Ssa1 or Sis1 concentrations.
- 840 Fifty=(Top+Baseline)/2
- 841 Y= Bottom + (Top-Bottom)/(1+((Top-Bottom)/(Fifty-Bottom)-1)*(AbsoluteIC₅₀/X)^HillSlope)
- 842
- 843 The Bell-shaped dose-response model in GraphPad was used to fit the dose- dependent
- 844 luciferase reactivation isotherms as a function of Ydj1 concentrations.
- 845 Span1=Plateau1-Dip
- 846 Span2=Plateau2-Dip
- 847 Section1=Span1/(1+(EC₅₀_1/X)^nH1)
- 848 Section2=Span2/(1+(X/EC₅₀_2)^nH2)
- 849 Y=Dip+Section1+Section2
- Here, X is Ssa1, Sis1 or Ydj1 concentration, and Y is the level of reactivated Luciferase in an
- arbitrary unit.
- 852 Quantification is as described in the figure legends. Statistical analyses were performed using
- the GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.; La Jolla, CA, USA) as described in figure
- 854 legends.
- 855 Thermotolerance spotting assay quantification

The spotting images were opened in imageJ. The image type was changed to 8-bit, and applied

857 background subtraction by choosing 'subtract background' under the 'Process' tab. The image

- 858 was then converted to binary images by choosing 'Binary' under the 'Process' tab. The density
- of each spot was then quantified, as D₁ for the first spot, and D₂ for the second spot, etc. For
- 860 each sample, only the first four spots (5-fold dilution serial) are included for this analysis. The
- dilution factor was corrected to account for sum of the density (D_{Sum}) for each sample as shown
- 862 below:
- 863 $D_{Sum} = D_1 + 5^* D_2 + 25^* D_3 + 125^* D_4$
- 864 The D_{Sum} of each Hsp104 variant was then normalized to D_{Sum} of Hsp104 for each replicate.

865 Acknowledgements

We thank Zarin Tabassum, Zhuoyi Chen, and Sabrina Lin for feedback on the manuscript. This work was supported by an Alzheimer's Association Research Fellowship, a Warren Alpert Foundation Distinguished Scholars Fellowship, and a Mildred Cohn Distinguished Postdoctoral Award (J.L.), a Blavatnik Family Foundation Fellowship (E.C.), and NIH grants: T32GM008076 (E.C.), R01GM110001 (D.R.S), and R01GM099836 (J.S.).

871

872 Author contributions

- 873 Conceptualization, J.L., D.R.S. & J.S.; Methodology, J.L., E.C., & J.S.; Validation, J.L., P.J.C.,
- 874 C.W.G., & N.M.K.; Formal analysis, J.L; Investigation, J.L., P.J.C., C.W.G., & N.M.K.; Resources,
- 875 J.L., P.J.C., C.W.G., N.M.K., E.C., S.N.G., A.L.Y., A.N.R., D.R.S., & J.S.; Data curation, J.L.;
- 876 Writing–original draft, J.L., & J.S.; Writing–review and editing, J.L., P.J.C., C.W.G., N.M.K., E.C.,
- 877 S.N.G., A.L.Y., A.N.R., D.R.S., & J.S.; Visualization, J.L., D.R.S. & J.S.; Supervision, J.L., D.R.S.,
- 878 & J.S.; Project administration, J.L., D.R.S., & J.S.; Funding acquisition, J.L., E.C., D.R.S., & J.S.
- 879

880 **Declarations of interests**

- 881 The authors have no conflicts, except for: J.S. is a consultant for Dewpoint Therapeutics, ADRx,
- and Neumora Therapeutics. J.S. is a shareholder and advisor at Confluence Therapeutics.
- 883

885 References

886 887	1.	Englander, S.W. (2023). HX and Me: Understanding Allostery, Folding, and Protein Machines. Annu Rev Biophys 52, 1-18. 10.1146/annurev-biophys-062122-093517.
888 889	2.	Labbadia, J., and Morimoto, R.I. (2015). The biology of proteostasis in aging and disease. Annu Rev Biochem <i>84</i> , 435-464. 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-033955.
890 891	3.	Lopez-Otin, C., Blasco, M.A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M., and Kroemer, G. (2013). The Hallmarks of Aging. Cell <i>153</i> , 1194-1217. 10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039.
892 893 894	4.	Eisele, Y.S., Monteiro, C., Fearns, C., Encalada, S.E., Wiseman, R.L., Powers, E.T., and Kelly, J.W. (2015). Targeting protein aggregation for the treatment of degenerative diseases. Nat Rev Drug Discov <i>14</i> , 759-780. 10.1038/nrd4593.
895 896	5.	Fare, C.M., and Shorter, J. (2021). (Dis)Solving the problem of aberrant protein states. Dis Model Mech <i>14</i> . 10.1242/dmm.048983.
897 898	6.	Balch, W.E., Morimoto, R.I., Dillin, A., and Kelly, J.W. (2008). Adapting proteostasis for disease intervention. Science <i>319</i> , 916-919. 10.1126/science.1141448.
899 900	7.	Chuang, E., Hori, A.M., Hesketh, C.D., and Shorter, J. (2018). Amyloid assembly and disassembly. J Cell Sci <i>131</i> . 10.1242/jcs.189928.
901 902 903	8.	Scheres, S.H.W., Ryskeldi-Falcon, B., and Goedert, M. (2023). Molecular pathology of neurodegenerative diseases by cryo-EM of amyloids. Nature <i>621</i> , 701-710. 10.1038/s41586-023-06437-2.
904 905 906 907	9.	Huang, E.J., Zhang, J., Geser, F., Trojanowski, J.Q., Strober, J.B., Dickson, D.W., Brown, R.H., Jr., Shapiro, B.E., and Lomen-Hoerth, C. (2010). Extensive FUS- immunoreactive pathology in juvenile amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with basophilic inclusions. Brain Pathol <i>20</i> , 1069-1076. 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2010.00413.x.
908 909	10.	Portz, B., Lee, B.L., and Shorter, J. (2021). FUS and TDP-43 Phases in Health and Disease. Trends Biochem Sci <i>46</i> , 550-563. 10.1016/j.tibs.2020.12.005.
910 911	11.	Shorter, J. (2008). Hsp104: a weapon to combat diverse neurodegenerative disorders. Neurosignals <i>16</i> , 63-74. 10.1159/000109760.

912 913 914 915	12.	Erjavec, N., Larsson, L., Grantham, J., and Nystrom, T. (2007). Accelerated aging and failure to segregate damaged proteins in Sir2 mutants can be suppressed by overproducing the protein aggregation-remodeling factor Hsp104p. Genes Dev <i>21</i> , 2410-2421. 10.1101/gad.439307.
916 917 918	13.	Shorter, J., and Southworth, D.R. (2019). Spiraling in Control: Structures and Mechanisms of the Hsp104 Disaggregase. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol <i>11</i> . 10.1101/cshperspect.a034033.
919 920	14.	Lin, J., Shorter, J., and Lucius, A.L. (2022). AAA+ proteins: one motor, multiple ways to work. Biochem Soc Trans <i>50</i> , 895-906. 10.1042/BST20200350.
921 922 923	15.	Glover, J.R., and Lindquist, S. (1998). Hsp104, Hsp70, and Hsp40: a novel chaperone system that rescues previously aggregated proteins. Cell <i>94</i> , 73-82. S0092-8674(00)81223-4 [pii].
924 925 926 927	16.	Lo Bianco, C., Shorter, J., Regulier, E., Lashuel, H., Iwatsubo, T., Lindquist, S., and Aebischer, P. (2008). Hsp104 antagonizes alpha-synuclein aggregation and reduces dopaminergic degeneration in a rat model of Parkinson disease. J Clin Invest <i>118</i> , 3087-3097. 10.1172/JCI35781.
928 929	17.	Shorter, J., and Lindquist, S. (2004). Hsp104 catalyzes formation and elimination of self-replicating Sup35 prion conformers. Science <i>304</i> , 1793-1797. 10.1126/science.1098007.
930 931 932 933	18.	DeSantis, M.E., Leung, E.H., Sweeny, E.A., Jackrel, M.E., Cushman-Nick, M., Neuhaus- Follini, A., Vashist, S., Sochor, M.A., Knight, M.N., and Shorter, J. (2012). Operational plasticity enables hsp104 to disaggregate diverse amyloid and nonamyloid clients. Cell <i>151</i> , 778-793. 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.038.
934 935 936	19.	Yoo, H., Bard, J.A.M., Pilipenko, E.V., and Drummond, D.A. (2022). Chaperones directly and efficiently disperse stress-triggered biomolecular condensates. Mol Cell <i>82</i> , 741-755 e711. 10.1016/j.molcel.2022.01.005.
937 938 939	20.	Tomaszewski, A., Wang, R., Sandoval, E., Zhu, J., Liu, J., and Li, R. (2023). Solid-to- liquid phase transition in the dissolution of cytosolic misfolded-protein aggregates. iScience 26. 10.1016/j.isci.2023.108334.

940 941	21.	Vashist, S., Cushman, M., and Shorter, J. (2010). Applying Hsp104 to protein-misfolding disorders. Biochem Cell Biol <i>88</i> , 1-13. 10.1139/o09-121.
942 943 944	22.	Erives, A.J., and Fassler, J.S. (2015). Metabolic and chaperone gene loss marks the origin of animals: evidence for Hsp104 and Hsp78 chaperones sharing mitochondrial enzymes as clients. PLoS One <i>10</i> , e0117192. 10.1371/journal.pone.0117192.
945 946 947 948	23.	Cupo, R.R., Rizo, A.N., Braun, G.A., Tse, E., Chuang, E., Gupta, K., Southworth, D.R., and Shorter, J. (2022). Unique structural features govern the activity of a human mitochondrial AAA+ disaggregase, Skd3. Cell Rep <i>40</i> , 111408. 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111408.
949 950 951	24.	Cupo, R.R., and Shorter, J. (2020). Skd3 (human ClpB) is a potent mitochondrial protein disaggregase that is inactivated by 3-methylglutaconic aciduria-linked mutations. Elife <i>9</i> . 10.7554/eLife.55279.
952 953 954 955	25.	Warren, J.T., Cupo, R.R., Wattanasirakul, P., Spencer, D.H., Locke, A.E., Makaryan, V., Bolyard, A.A., Kelley, M.L., Kingston, N.L., Shorter, J., et al. (2022). Heterozygous variants of CLPB are a cause of severe congenital neutropenia. Blood <i>139</i> , 779-791. 10.1182/blood.2021010762.
956 957 958	26.	Cupo, R.R., and Shorter, J. Decoding Skd3 (Human CLPB): a Mitochondrial Protein Disaggregase Critical for Human Health. Isr. J. Chem. <i>n/a</i> , e202300153. 10.1002/ijch.202300153.
959 960 961 962	27.	Darwich, N.F., Phan, J.M., Kim, B., Suh, E., Papatriantafyllou, J.D., Changolkar, L., Nguyen, A.T., O'Rourke, C.M., He, Z., Porta, S., et al. (2020). Autosomal dominant VCP hypomorph mutation impairs disaggregation of PHF-tau. Science <i>370</i> . 10.1126/science.aay8826.
963 964 965	28.	Cushman-Nick, M., Bonini, N.M., and Shorter, J. (2013). Hsp104 suppresses polyglutamine-induced degeneration post onset in a drosophila MJD/SCA3 model. PLoS Genet <i>9</i> , e1003781. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003781.
966 967 968	29.	Jackrel, M.E., DeSantis, M.E., Martinez, B.A., Castellano, L.M., Stewart, R.M., Caldwell, K.A., Caldwell, G.A., and Shorter, J. (2014). Potentiated Hsp104 variants antagonize diverse proteotoxic misfolding events. Cell <i>156</i> , 170-182. 10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.047.

969 30.	Mack, K.L., Kim, H., Barbieri, E.M., Lin, J., Braganza, S., Jackrel, M.E., DeNizio, J.E.,							
970	Yan, X., Chuang, E., Tariq, A., et al. (2023). Tuning Hsp104 specificity to selectively							
971	detoxify alpha-synuclein. Mol Cell <i>83</i> , 3314-3332 e3319. 10.1016/j.molcel.2023.07.029.							
972 31.	Varch, Z.M., Sweeney, K., Kim, H., Yan, X., Castellano, L.M., Jackrel, M.E., Lin, J.,							
973	Chuang, E., Gomes, E., Willicott, C.W., et al. (2020). Therapeutic genetic variation							
974	revealed in diverse Hsp104 homologs. Elife <i>9</i> . 10.7554/eLife.57457.							
975 32.	Perrin, V., Regulier, E., Abbas-Terki, T., Hassig, R., Brouillet, E., Aebischer, P., Luthi-							
976	Carter, R., and Deglon, N. (2007). Neuroprotection by Hsp104 and Hsp27 in lentiviral-							
977	based rat models of Huntington's disease. Mol Ther <i>15</i> , 903-911.							
978	10.1038/mt.sj.6300141.							
979 33.	Bao, Y.P., Cook, L.J., O'Donovan, D., Uyama, E., and Rubinsztein, D.C. (2002).							
980	Mammalian, yeast, bacterial, and chemical chaperones reduce aggregate formation and							
981	death in a cell model of oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy. J Biol Chem 277, 12263-							
982	12269. 10.1074/jbc.M109633200.							
983 34. 984 985 986	Carmichael, J., Chatellier, J., Woolfson, A., Milstein, C., Fersht, A.R., and Rubinsztein, D.C. (2000). Bacterial and yeast chaperones reduce both aggregate formation and cell death in mammalian cell models of Huntington's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97, 9701-9705. 10.1073/pnas.170280697.							
987 35. 988 989	Vacher, C., Garcia-Oroz, L., and Rubinsztein, D.C. (2005). Overexpression of yeast hsp104 reduces polyglutamine aggregation and prolongs survival of a transgenic mouse model of Huntington's disease. Hum Mol Genet <i>14</i> , 3425-3433. 10.1093/hmg/ddi372.							
990 36. 991 992	Jackrel, M.E., and Shorter, J. (2014). Potentiated Hsp104 variants suppress toxicity of diverse neurodegenerative disease-linked proteins. Dis Model Mech 7, 1175-1184. 10.1242/dmm.016113.							
993 37.	Jackrel, M.E., and Shorter, J. (2014). Reversing deleterious protein aggregation with re-							
994	engineered protein disaggregases. Cell Cycle <i>13</i> , 1379-1383. 10.4161/cc.28709.							
995 38. 996 997	Jackrel, M.E., Tariq, A., Yee, K., Weitzman, R., and Shorter, J. (2014). Isolating potentiated Hsp104 variants using yeast proteinopathy models. J Vis Exp, e52089. 10.3791/52089.							

998 999 1000	39.	Ryan, J.J., Bao, A., Bell, B., Ling, C., and Jackrel, M.E. (2021). Drivers of Hsp104 potentiation revealed by scanning mutagenesis of the middle domain. Protein Sci <i>30</i> , 1667-1685. 10.1002/pro.4126.
1001 1002 1003	40.	Ye, X., Lin, J., Mayne, L., Shorter, J., and Englander, S.W. (2020). Structural and kinetic basis for the regulation and potentiation of Hsp104 function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A <i>117</i> , 9384-9392. 10.1073/pnas.1921968117.
1004 1005 1006	41.	Heuck, A., Schitter-Sollner, S., Suskiewicz, M.J., Kurzbauer, R., Kley, J., Schleiffer, A., Rombaut, P., Herzog, F., and Clausen, T. (2016). Structural basis for the disaggregase activity and regulation of Hsp104. Elife <i>5</i> . 10.7554/eLife.21516.
1007 1008 1009	42.	Shorter, J., and Lindquist, S. (2008). Hsp104, Hsp70 and Hsp40 interplay regulates formation, growth and elimination of Sup35 prions. EMBO J <i>27</i> , 2712-2724. 10.1038/emboj.2008.194.
1010 1011 1012	43.	Shorter, J. (2011). The mammalian disaggregase machinery: Hsp110 synergizes with Hsp70 and Hsp40 to catalyze protein disaggregation and reactivation in a cell-free system. PLoS One <i>6</i> , e26319. 10.1371/journal.pone.0026319.
1013 1014	44.	Sweeny, E.A., and Shorter, J. (2008). Prion proteostasis: Hsp104 meets its supporting cast. Prion 2, 135-140. 10.4161/pri.2.4.7952.
1015 1016 1017	45.	Daugaard, M., Rohde, M., and Jaattela, M. (2007). The heat shock protein 70 family: Highly homologous proteins with overlapping and distinct functions. FEBS Lett <i>581</i> , 3702-3710. 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.05.039.
1018 1019 1020 1021	46.	Ahmad, A., Bhattacharya, A., McDonald, R.A., Cordes, M., Ellington, B., Bertelsen, E.B., and Zuiderweg, E.R. (2011). Heat shock protein 70 kDa chaperone/DnaJ cochaperone complex employs an unusual dynamic interface. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A <i>108</i> , 18966-18971. 10.1073/pnas.1111220108.
1022 1023 1024 1025	47.	Cuellar, J., Perales-Calvo, J., Muga, A., Valpuesta, J.M., and Moro, F. (2013). Structural insights into the chaperone activity of the 40-kDa heat shock protein DnaJ: binding and remodeling of a native substrate. J Biol Chem <i>288</i> , 15065-15074. 10.1074/jbc.M112.430595.

1026 1027	48.	Kampinga, H.H., and Craig, E.A. (2010). The HSP70 chaperone machinery: J proteins as drivers of functional specificity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol <i>11</i> , 579-592. 10.1038/nrm2941.
1028 1029 1030	49.	Lee, J., Kim, J.H., Biter, A.B., Sielaff, B., Lee, S., and Tsai, F.T. (2013). Heat shock protein (Hsp) 70 is an activator of the Hsp104 motor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A <i>110</i> , 8513-8518. 10.1073/pnas.1217988110.
1031 1032 1033 1034	50.	DeSantis, M.E., Sweeny, E.A., Snead, D., Leung, E.H., Go, M.S., Gupta, K., Wendler, P., and Shorter, J. (2014). Conserved distal loop residues in the Hsp104 and ClpB middle domain contact nucleotide-binding domain 2 and enable Hsp70-dependent protein disaggregation. J Biol Chem <i>289</i> , 848-867. 10.1074/jbc.M113.520759.
1035 1036 1037 1038	51.	Sweeny, E.A., Tariq, A., Gurpinar, E., Go, M.S., Sochor, M.A., Kan, Z.Y., Mayne, L., Englander, S.W., and Shorter, J. (2020). Structural and mechanistic insights into Hsp104 function revealed by synchrotron X-ray footprinting. J Biol Chem <i>295</i> , 1517-1538. 10.1074/jbc.RA119.011577.
1039 1040 1041	52.	Rosenzweig, R., Moradi, S., Zarrine-Afsar, A., Glover, J.R., and Kay, L.E. (2013). Unraveling the mechanism of protein disaggregation through a ClpB-DnaK interaction. Science <i>339</i> , 1080-1083. 10.1126/science.1233066.
1042 1043 1044 1045	53.	Yin, Y., Feng, X., Yu, H., Fay, A., Kovach, A., Glickman, M.S., and Li, H. (2021). Structural basis for aggregate dissolution and refolding by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis ClpB-DnaK bi-chaperone system. Cell Rep <i>35</i> , 109166. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109166.
1046 1047 1048 1049	54.	Carroni, M., Kummer, E., Oguchi, Y., Wendler, P., Clare, D.K., Sinning, I., Kopp, J., Mogk, A., Bukau, B., and Saibil, H.R. (2014). Head-to-tail interactions of the coiled-coil domains regulate ClpB activity and cooperation with Hsp70 in protein disaggregation. Elife <i>3</i> , e02481. 10.7554/eLife.02481.
1050 1051 1052	55.	Oguchi, Y., Kummer, E., Seyffer, F., Berynskyy, M., Anstett, B., Zahn, R., Wade, R.C., Mogk, A., and Bukau, B. (2012). A tightly regulated molecular toggle controls AAA+ disaggregase. Nat Struct Mol Biol <i>19</i> , 1338-1346. 10.1038/nsmb.2441.

105356.Sweeny, E.A., and Shorter, J. (2016). Mechanistic and Structural Insights into the Prion-1054Disaggregase Activity of Hsp104. J Mol Biol 428, 1870-1885.

1055 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.016.

1056 57. DeSantis, M.E., Leung, E.H., Sweeny, E.A., Jackrel, M.E., Cushman-Nick, M., Neuhaus1057 Follini, A., Vashist, S., Sochor, M.A., Knight, M.N., and Shorter, J. (2012). Operational
1058 plasticity enables hsp104 to disaggregate diverse amyloid and nonamyloid clients. Cell
1059 151, 778-793. 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.038.

1060 58. Jackrel, M.E., Yee, K., Tariq, A., Chen, A.I., and Shorter, J. (2015). Disparate Mutations
1061 Confer Therapeutic Gain of Hsp104 Function. ACS Chem Biol *10*, 2672-2679.
1062 10.1021/acschembio.5b00765.

1063 59. Gates, S.N., Yokom, A.L., Lin, J., Jackrel, M.E., Rizo, A.N., Kendsersky, N.M., Buell,
1064 C.E., Sweeny, E.A., Mack, K.L., Chuang, E., et al. (2017). Ratchet-like polypeptide
1065 translocation mechanism of the AAA+ disaggregase Hsp104. Science 357, 273-279.
1066 10.1126/science.aan1052.

- 1067 60. Rizo, A.N., Lin, J., Gates, S.N., Tse, E., Bart, S.M., Castellano, L.M., DiMaio, F., Shorter,
 1068 J., and Southworth, D.R. (2019). Structural basis for substrate gripping and translocation
 1069 by the ClpB AAA+ disaggregase. Nat Commun *10*, 2393. 10.1038/s41467-019-10150-y.
- 1070 61. Yu, H., Lupoli, T.J., Kovach, A., Meng, X., Zhao, G., Nathan, C.F., and Li, H. (2018).
 1071 ATP hydrolysis-coupled peptide translocation mechanism of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
 1072 ClpB. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *115*, E9560-e9569. 10.1073/pnas.1810648115.
- Sweeny, E.A., Jackrel, M.E., Go, M.S., Sochor, M.A., Razzo, B.M., DeSantis, M.E.,
 Gupta, K., and Shorter, J. (2015). The Hsp104 N-terminal domain enables disaggregase
 plasticity and potentiation. Mol Cell *57*, 836-849. 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.12.021.
- 1076 63. Tariq, A., Lin, J., Jackrel, M.E., Hesketh, C.D., Carman, P.J., Mack, K.L., Weitzman, R.,
 1077 Gambogi, C., Hernandez Murillo, O.A., Sweeny, E.A., et al. (2019). Mining Disaggregase
 1078 Sequence Space to Safely Counter TDP-43, FUS, and alpha-Synuclein Proteotoxicity.
 1079 Cell Rep 28, 2080-2095 e2086. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.069.

1080 1081 1082	64.	Tariq, A., Lin, J., Noll, M.M., Torrente, M.P., Mack, K.L., Murillo, O.H., Jackrel, M.E., and Shorter, J. (2018). Potentiating Hsp104 activity via phosphomimetic mutations in the middle domain. FEMS Yeast Res <i>18</i> . 10.1093/femsyr/foy042.
1083 1084 1085	65.	Torrente, M.P., Chuang, E., Noll, M.M., Jackrel, M.E., Go, M.S., and Shorter, J. (2016). Mechanistic Insights into Hsp104 Potentiation. J Biol Chem <i>291</i> , 5101-5115. 10.1074/jbc.M115.707976.
1086 1087 1088 1089	66.	Chamera, T., Klosowska, A., Janta, A., Wyszkowski, H., Obuchowski, I., Gumowski, K., and Liberek, K. (2019). Selective Hsp70-Dependent Docking of Hsp104 to Protein Aggregates Protects the Cell from the Toxicity of the Disaggregase. J Mol Biol <i>431</i> , 2180-2196. 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.04.014.
1090 1091 1092	67.	DeSantis, M.E., and Shorter, J. (2012). The elusive middle domain of Hsp104 and ClpB: location and function. Biochim Biophys Acta <i>1823</i> , 29-39. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011.07.014.
1093 1094 1095 1096	68.	Cashikar, A.G., Schirmer, E.C., Hattendorf, D.A., Glover, J.R., Ramakrishnan, M.S., Ware, D.M., and Lindquist, S.L. (2002). Defining a pathway of communication from the C-terminal peptide binding domain to the N-terminal ATPase domain in a AAA protein. Mol Cell 9, 751-760. 10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00499-9.
1097 1098 1099	69.	Schirmer, E.C., Homann, O.R., Kowal, A.S., and Lindquist, S. (2004). Dominant gain-of- function mutations in Hsp104p reveal crucial roles for the middle region. Mol Biol Cell <i>15</i> , 2061-2072. 10.1091/mbc.e02-08-0502.
1100 1101 1102	70.	Yokom, A.L., Gates, S.N., Jackrel, M.E., Mack, K.L., Su, M., Shorter, J., and Southworth, D.R. (2016). Spiral architecture of the Hsp104 disaggregase reveals the basis for polypeptide translocation. Nat Struct Mol Biol <i>23</i> , 830-837. 10.1038/nsmb.3277.
1103 1104 1105	71.	Doyle, S.M., Shorter, J., Zolkiewski, M., Hoskins, J.R., Lindquist, S., and Wickner, S. (2007). Asymmetric deceleration of ClpB or Hsp104 ATPase activity unleashes protein-remodeling activity. Nat Struct Mol Biol <i>14</i> , 114-122. 10.1038/nsmb1198.
1106 1107 1108	72.	Sanchez, Y., Parsell, D.A., Taulien, J., Vogel, J.L., Craig, E.A., and Lindquist, S. (1993). Genetic evidence for a functional relationship between Hsp104 and Hsp70. J Bacteriol <i>175</i> , 6484-6491. 10.1128/jb.175.20.6484-6491.1993.

1109 1110 1111	73.	Moreau, K., Coen, M., Zhang, A.X., Pachl, F., Castaldi, M.P., Dahl, G., Boyd, H., Scott, C., and Newham, P. (2020). Proteolysis-targeting chimeras in drug development: A safety perspective. Br J Pharmacol <i>177</i> , 1709-1718. 10.1111/bph.15014.
1112 1113	74.	Jiang, Y., Rossi, P., and Kalodimos, C.G. (2019). Structural basis for client recognition and activity of Hsp40 chaperones. Science <i>365</i> , 1313-1319. 10.1126/science.aax1280.
1114 1115 1116	75.	Caplan, A.J., Tsai, J., Casey, P.J., and Douglas, M.G. (1992). Farnesylation of YDJ1p is required for function at elevated growth temperatures in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem <i>267</i> , 18890-18895. 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)37044-9.
1117 1118 1119	76.	Hildebrandt, E.R., Cheng, M., Zhao, P., Kim, J.H., Wells, L., and Schmidt, W.K. (2016). A shunt pathway limits the CaaX processing of Hsp40 Ydj1p and regulates Ydj1p- dependent phenotypes. Elife <i>5</i> . 10.7554/eLife.15899.
1120 1121 1122 1123	77.	Wyszkowski, H., Janta, A., Sztangierska, W., Obuchowski, I., Chamera, T., Kłosowska, A., and Liberek, K. (2021). Class-specific interactions between Sis1 J-domain protein and Hsp70 chaperone potentiate disaggregation of misfolded proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A <i>118</i> . 10.1073/pnas.2108163118.
1124 1125 1126	78.	Lum, R., Niggemann, M., and Glover, J.R. (2008). Peptide and protein binding in the axial channel of Hsp104. Insights into the mechanism of protein unfolding. J Biol Chem 283, 30139-30150. 10.1074/jbc.M804849200.
1127 1128 1129 1130	79.	Lopez, K.E., Rizo, A.N., Tse, E., Lin, J., Scull, N.W., Thwin, A.C., Lucius, A.L., Shorter, J., and Southworth, D.R. (2020). Conformational plasticity of the ClpAP AAA+ protease couples protein unfolding and proteolysis. Nat Struct Mol Biol <i>27</i> , 406-416. 10.1038/s41594-020-0409-5.
1131 1132 1133	80.	Fare, C.M., Rhine, K., Lam, A., Myong, S., and Shorter, J. (2023). A minimal construct of nuclear-import receptor Karyopherin-beta2 defines the regions critical for chaperone and disaggregation activity. J Biol Chem <i>299</i> , 102806. 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102806.
1134 1135 1136	81.	Rohner, E., Yang, R., Foo, K.S., Goedel, A., and Chien, K.R. (2022). Unlocking the promise of mRNA therapeutics. Nat Biotechnol <i>40</i> , 1586-1600. 10.1038/s41587-022-01491-z.

1137 1138 1139 1140	82.	Han, E.L., Padilla, M.S., Palanki, R., Kim, D., Mrksich, K., Li, J.J., Tang, S., Yoon, I.C., and Mitchell, M.J. (2024). Predictive High-Throughput Platform for Dual Screening of mRNA Lipid Nanoparticle Blood-Brain Barrier Transfection and Crossing. Nano Lett. 10.1021/acs.nanolett.3c03509.
1141	83.	Cupo, R.R., and Shorter, J. (2020). Expression and Purification of Recombinant Skd3
1142		(Human ClpB) Protein and Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) Protease from Escherichia coli.
1143		Bio Protoc 10, e3858. 10.21769/BioProtoc.3858.
1144	84.	Michalska, K., Zhang, K., March, Z.M., Hatzos-Skintges, C., Pintilie, G., Bigelow, L.,
1145		Castellano, L.M., Miles, L.J., Jackrel, M.E., Chuang, E., et al. (2019). Structure of
1146		Calcarisporiella thermophila Hsp104 Disaggregase that Antagonizes Diverse Proteotoxic
1147		Misfolding Events. Structure 27, 449-463 e447. 10.1016/j.str.2018.11.001.
1148	85.	Rueden, C.T., Schindelin, J., Hiner, M.C., DeZonia, B.E., Walter, A.E., Arena, E.T., and
1149		Eliceiri, K.W. (2017). ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data.
1150		BMC Bioinformatics 18, 529. 10.1186/s12859-017-1934-z.
1151	86.	Braig, K., Otwinowski, Z., Hegde, R., Boisvert, D.C., Joachimiak, A., Horwich, A.L., and
1152		Sigler, P.B. (1994). The crystal structure of the bacterial chaperonin GroEL at 2.8 A.
1153		Nature <i>371</i> , 578-586. 10.1038/371578a0.
1154	87.	Gietz, R.D., and Schiestl, R.H. (2007). High-efficiency yeast transformation using the
1155		LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. Nat Protoc 2, 31-34. 10.1038/nprot.2007.13.



Figure 1. The MD changes orientation as Hsp104 hexamers switch from ATP-bound to ADP-bound states, which alter NBD1:MD interactions. (A, B) Left, three out of six protomers (P3 in green, P4 in blue, and P5 in magenta) are shown for the ATP state (A) or ADP state (B). NBD1 is shown in ribbon and MD is shown in cartoon. The four MD helices (L1, L2, L3, and L4) are indicated by arrows. However, MD helix L3 appears to be a loop in these structures. Right, the NBD1:MD interactions for protomers P3 (Green) and P4 (Blue) were analyzed using

1165 Discovery Studio Visualizer with a 4Å cut off distance for the ATP-bound state (AMP-PNP, 5KNE) 1166 (A) or the ADP-bound state (5VY8) (B). Hydrophobic, electrostatic (salt-bridge), and hydrogen 1167 bond interactions are indicated in red, black, and blue lines, respectively. For the ATP-bound state 1168 (A), NBD1:MD interactions were observed between the NBD1 of P3 (green circles) and MD of P4 1169 (blue circles). For the ADP-bound state (B), we show NBD1:MD interactions within P3 (green 1170 circles) or within P4 (blue circles) and a unique interaction between R366 and E521 within P5 1171 (purple) for clarity. Intrasubunit NBD1:MD interactions conserved in P3 and P4 are shown in 1172 circles with blue and green stripes. Residues involved in intrasubunit NBD1:MD interactions for 1173 P3, P4 or P5 are shown in circles with blue and green stripes with an '*'. (C) NBD1:MD helix L1 1174 interactions with NBD1 in the ATP state. Four major salt-bridge interactions: 1. E190:R419, 2. 1175 R194:E412, 3. R353:E427 and 4. R366:D434, are identified on the inter-subunit NBD1:MD 1176 interface in the presence of AMP-PNP. E190, R194, R353, and R366 are in NBD1 of subunit P3, 1177 and R419, E412, E427 and D434 are in MD helix L1 of P4. (D) ATPase activity of Hsp104, Hsp104^{E190K}, and Hsp104^{R419E} (0.25µM) in the presence of 1mM ATP at 25°C. Bars represent 1178 means±SEM (n=4); each replicate is shown as a dot. Ordinary one-way ANOVA Dunnett's test 1179 was performed to compare the ATPase activity of Hsp104 to Hsp104^{E190K} or Hsp104^{R419E}. ns=not 1180 1181 significant; ***p=0.0005. (E) Hsp104 variants (1µM, monomer) in the presence of ATP:ATPyS 1182 (2.5mM:2.5 mM; black bars) or with Hsc70 (0.167µM) and DnaJA1 (0.167µM; pink bars) were 1183 incubated with 100nM (monomeric concentration) chemical-denatured luciferase aggregates for 1184 90min at 25°C. Buffer serves as the negative control. Bars represent means±SEM (n=4); each replicate is shown as a dot. ****P≤0.0001. (F) Survival (%) of ∆hsp104 yeast transformed with 1185 empty vector (pRS313HSE), Hsp104, Hsp104^{E190K}, or Hsp104^{R419E} after 0, 20, or 30min heat 1186 1187 shock at 50°C following a pretreatment at 37°C for 30min. Bars represent means±SEM (n=4); 1188 each replicate is shown as a dot. ns=not significant; ****P ≤ 0.0001 . (G) $\Delta hsp 104$ yeast from (F) 1189 were incubated at 37°C for 30 min to induce Hsp104 expression. Yeast were then lysed and 1190 processed for Western blot. 3-Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) serves as a loading control.

1191 See also Figure S1.



1194 Figure 2. Specific perturbation of ATP-specific NBD1:MD helix L1 contacts yields Hsp104 1195 variants that collaborate selectively with class B Hsp40s. (A) Luciferase disaggregation and 1196 reactivation activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (1µM, monomeric) in the presence of Hsc70 (0.167µM) and DnaJA1 (0.167µM; black), Ssa1 (0.167µM), Ydj1 (0.083µM), and Sis1 (0.083µM; 1197 1198 pink), Ssa1 (0.167µM) and Ydj1 (0.167µM; green), or Ssa1 (0.167µM) and Sis1 (0.167µM; 1199 purple). Bars represent means±SEM (n=2-4), each replicate is shown as a dot. (B) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity of Hsp104 (grey dots), Hsp104^{R366E} (black dots), 1200 Hsp104^{R419E} (red dots), or Hsp104^{D434K} (teal dots; 1µM, monomeric) in the presence of various 1201 1202 Ssa1 (Hsp70) concentrations in the absence of Hsp40. Curves were fit for absolute EC₅₀ (see STAR Methods and Table S1). Values represent means±SEM (n=2). (C, D) Luciferase 1203 disaggregation and reactivation activity of Hsp104^{R366E} (1µM monomeric) plus Ssa1 (0.167µM; 1204 black) or Hsp104^{R419E} (1µM monomeric) plus Ssa1 (0.167µM; red) as a function of Ydj1 (C) or 1205

- 1206 Sis1 (D) concentration. Curves were fit for IC₅₀ of Ydj1 and EC₅₀ of Ydj1 or Sis1 (see STAR 1207 Methods and Table S1). Values represent means±SEM (n=2). **(E, F)** Luciferase disaggregation
- and reactivation activity of Hsp104 (1 μ M, monomeric) plus Ssa1 (0.167 μ M; grey) or Hsp104^{A503S}
- 1209 (1µM, monomeric) plus Ssa1 (0.167µM; green) as a function of Ydj1 (E) or Sis1 (F) concentration.
- 1210 Curves were fit for IC_{50} of Ydj1 and EC_{50} of Ydj1 or Sis1 (see STAR Methods and Table S1).
- 1211 Values represent means±SEM (n=2).
- 1212 See also Figure S1, S2 and Table S1.
- 1213





1215 Figure 3. Rewiring the ATP-specific interprotomer NBD1:MD helix L1 interaction alters 1216 Hsp104 collaboration with Hsp70 and Hsp40. (A) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation 1217 activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (1µM, monomeric) in the absence (grey bars) or presence 1218 (black bars) of Hsc70 (0.167µM) and DnaJA1 (0.167µM). Bars represent means±SEM (N=2-4); 1219 each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to compare 1220 Hsp104 to Hsp104 variants at a 95% confidence interval (CI). The luciferase disaggregation and 1221 reactivation activity of all tested Hsp104 variants is significantly different from Hsp104 with 1222 ****P≤0.0001 (statistics are omitted for clarity). (B) Left, spotting assay to evaluate the survival of 1223 Δhsp104 yeast transformed with empty vector (no Hsp104) or the indicated Hsp104 variants 1224 (WT=wild type) after a 30min pretreatment at 37°C followed by a 30min heat shock at 50°C.

1225 $\Delta hsp104$ yeast that were not heat shocked are shown on the left as a control. Right panels, yeast 1226 survival (% of wild-type Hsp104) was quantified. Bars represent means±SEM (n=4), and each 1227 replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Dunnett's tests were performed to compare Hsp104 1228 variants to no Hsp104 control (middle panel) or WT (right panel) at 95% CI. ns=not significant; 1229 ***P≤0.001 ****P≤0.0001. One-way ANOVA Tukey tests were performed to make pairwise 1230 comparisons between specific Hsp104 variants as indicated (middle panel) ****P≤0.0001. (C) 1231 Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (1µM, 1232 monomeric) in the presence of ATP:ATPyS (2.5mM:2.5mM) and the absence of Hsp70 and 1233 Hsp40. Bars represent means±SEM (n=2), and each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way 1234 ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to compare Hsp104 variants to Hsp104 at 95% CI. ns=not 1235 significant. (D) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity of the indicated Hsp104 1236 variants (1µM monomeric) in the presence of Ssa1 (0.167µM) and absence of Hsp40. Bars 1237 represent means±SEM (n=4); each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Dunnett's test 1238 was performed to compare Hsp104 variants to WT Hsp104 at 95% CI. All variants have 1239 significantly reduced activity to work with Ssa1 compared to WT Hsp104 with a P≤0.0001 1240 (statistics are omitted for clarity). Alternatively, one-way ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to 1241 compare Hsp104 variants to no Hsp104. ns=not significant; ****P≤0.0001. (E) Luciferase 1242 disaggregation and reactivation activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (1µM, monomeric) in the 1243 presence Ssa1 (0.167µM) and Sis1 (0,167µM). Bars represent means±SEM (n=4), and each 1244 replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to compare Hsp104 1245 variants to WT Hsp104 at 95% CI. ns=not significant. All other variants have reduced activity with 1246 a P≤0.0001 (statistics are omitted for clarity). Alternatively, one-way ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to compare Hsp104 variants to no Hsp104. Ns=not significant, ****P≤0.0001. (F) 1247 1248 Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (1µM, 1249 monomeric) in the presence of Ssa1 (0.167µM) and Ydj1 (0.167µM). Bars represent means±SEM 1250 (n=4): each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Dunnett's test was performed to 1251 compare Hsp104 variants to WT Hsp104 at 95% CI. All Hsp104 variants have reduced activity 1252 with a ****P<0.0001 (statistics are omitted for clarity). Alternatively, one-way ANOVA Dunnett's 1253 test was performed to compare Hsp104 variants to no Hsp104. ns=not significant, ****P≤0.0001.

1254 See also Figure S3.

Α	α -synu	clein	в	FU	6	С	TDP-4	43
Vec 👩		3 # 1 1	Vec	🍯 🌒 🌒 🏶 🏘 🚸	1	Vec		@ *
WT	• • • • • •	3 -	WT			WT		•
A503S			A503S			A503S		
R1/9D		10 10 10 10 11	R1/9D			R1/90 P170V		
D231R			R1/9V			D231R		0
D232V			D2311			D2311		6
D233R 🔘		30	D233R			D233R		(h)
I361K 🔘	• • • • • • •		I361K		🎱 🛞 👷 🖓 🕹	I361K	** B	🕘 🧑 -
R407E 📃		🔵 🗶 🌒 🏶 🗐 🖻	R407E		🙆 ෯ 🍕 .	R407E	ونه کې 🕲 🖲 🔵 🔵	48
D408K 🥘		1 m 4 & & @	D408K		🕘 🧐 🤆 🔅	D408K	🔵 🍯 🗶 🍪 🍪 🦄	
D408V 🔍		🕽 😂 🏶 🕉 🖓 🕚	D408V			D408V	🕘 🕘 🕲 🛞 🔅 😁	🕸 (A +)
K410E 🧕		↓ ↓	K410E			K410E		
K410V 🛄			K410V			K410V		
L414K			L414K			L414K		<u> </u>
R463E			R463E			R463E		
			K4635			K4035		
V466K			1400C V466K			V466K		
F469R	A & & ** *	3 .	F469R			F469R		
K470D			K470D		🕘 🛞 🋞 🎊 🖏 N	K470D		
H473F		¥ .	H473F		0.000	H473F		0 0
H473S 🦲	●●静气:	🖲 🖲 🕷 🔅 🍐	H473S	• • • • * *		H473S		
K480C 🔘			K480C	• • • • • •	🥥 🚳 🍪 🦉 🐩 👘	K480C		🕒 🕒 🇶 🦉 🕘
K480L 🔘			K480L			K480L	••••	🔮 🧐 😳
K481C 🧕			K481C			K481C		(d) +
K481L 🔍			K481L			K481L		🧐 🏘 🌾 C 🖓 🛄
K481E 🥘			K481E			K481E		
D492R			D492R		2	D492R	Y. (\$ @ @ @ @	Q
R496D			R496D			R496D		
E521D			K496V			K490V		
	A & & A < A A A		E521K			E321K		
1537R			1537A			1537R		
155711	Glu (off)	Gal (on)	155711	Glu (off)	Gal (on)	100711	Glu (off)	Gal (on)



1257 Figure 4. Perturbing the intraprotomer NBD1:MD contacts of the ADP state frequently 1258 potentiates Hsp104 activity. (A-C) $\Delta hsp104$ yeast integrated with α -synuclein-YFP (A) FUS (B), 1259 or TDP-43 (C) on a galactose-inducible promoter were transformed with the indicated Hsp104 1260 variants that perturb the intraprotomer NBD1:MD contacts of the ADP state. Empty vector and WT Hsp104 are negative controls, and Hsp104^{A503S} is a positive control. Yeast were spotted onto 1261 1262 glucose (induction is off) and galactose (induction is on) media in a five-fold serial dilution. 1263 Potentiated Hsp104 variants are highlighted in red. Western blots were performed to evaluate 1264 Hsp104 expression, see Figure S4.

1265 See also Figure S4 and Table S2.



Figure 5. Restricting Hsp104 activity in the absence of Hsp70 reduces off-target toxicity of potentiated Hsp104 variants. (A) $\Delta hsp104$ yeast were transformed with the indicated galactose-inducible Hsp104 variants or an empty vector or Hsp104 control. Yeast were spotted onto glucose (induction off) and galactose (induction on) media in a five-fold serial dilution. Yeast were incubated on a glucose plate 37°C (left) or on a galactose plate at 30°C (middle) or 37°C (right). Note that Hsp104^{I187F} is more toxic than Hsp104^{E360R} or Hsp104^{S535E}. Hsp104^{E360R} and Hsp104^{S535E} are similar to Hsp104 at 37°C on galactose. (B) RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP (0.7µM) unfolding activity of the indicated Hsp104 variant (6µM, monomeric) in the presence of ATP (4mM) and GroEL_{trap} (2.5µM). RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP unfolding (%) was assessed by the RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP fluorescence signal at the indicated time (F), divided by the RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP fluorescence signal at

time 0 (F0). Left, kinetics of RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP unfolding. Results from a representative experiment are shown. Right, the half time of RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP unfolding for each Hsp104 variant. Bars represent means±SEM (N=2), each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Tukey test was performed to compare the half-time of one Hsp104 variant to every other one at 95% CI. *P=0.0117, **P=0.0012 for I187F vs. E360R, **P=0.0063 for E360R vs. S535E. (C) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104, Hsp104^{I187F}, Hsp104^{E360R}, or Hsp104^{S535E} in the absence of Hsp70 and Hsp40. The indicated Hsp104 variant (1µM, monomeric) was incubated with chemically denatured luciferase aggregates (100 nM monomer concentration) for 90 min. Bars represent means±SEM (N=4), each replicate is shown as a dot. One-way ANOVA Tukey test was performed to compare the level of reactivated luciferase aggregates by Hsp104 variants at 95% CI. **** P≤0.0001. (D-F) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 (black curve), Hsp104^{I187F} (D, red curve), Hsp104^{E360R} (E, green curve) and Hsp104^{S535E} (F, green curve) as a function of Ssa1 concentration as indicated on the x-axis (log scale). The indicated Hsp104 variant (1µM, monomeric) in the presence of various Ssa1 concentrations was incubated with chemically denatured luciferase aggregates (100 nM monomer concentration). Values represent means±SEM (N=2). A bell-shaped dose-dependent curve is used to fit the data; see STAR Methods.



Figure 6. Rational design of potentiated Hsp104 variants with minimized off-target toxicity. (A) NBD1 (blue) residues E190, E191, and E192 (in red) form a rheostat-like interaction with R419 (black) in the MD (colored in pink) of the adjacent subunit. Hsp104 variants are designed to alter these interactions like a rheostat (right panel) to tune Hsp104-Hsp70 interaction to a suitable level of potentiated activity without off-target toxicity. (B-D) Spotting assay testing the ability of Hsp104 variants to mitigating α -synuclein (B), FUS (C), and TDP-43 (D) toxicity in yeast. *Ahsp104* yeast integrated with α -synuclein (B), FUS (C), and TDP-43 (D) on a galactose-inducible promoter were transformed with Hsp104 variants or an empty

vector, WT Hsp104 or Hsp104^{A503S} controls. Yeast were spotted onto glucose (induction off) and galactose (induction on) media in a five-fold serial dilution. The variants that have potentiated activity to mitigate disease protein toxicity in yeast are highlighted in bold. (E) The toxicity of designed Hsp104 variants in yeast were evaluated at 37°C. Δhsp104 yeast were transformed with galactose-inducible Hsp104 variants or an empty vector. WT Hsp104 or Hsp104^{A503S} controls. The yeast were spotted onto glucose (induction off) and galactose (induction on) media in a five-fold serial dilution. Yeast were incubated at 30°C (left) or 37°C (right) on galactose plates. The toxic variants are highlighted in red. (F) RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP (0.7μ M) unfolding kinetics by Hsp104^{E190R:R419E}, Hsp104^{E191R:R419E}, or Hsp104^{E192R:R419E} (6µM, monomeric concentration) is measured in the presence of a GroEL_{trap} (2.5μ M). RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP unfolding (%) was assessed by the RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP fluorescence signal at the indicated time (F), divided by the RepA₁₋₁₅-GFP fluorescence signal at time 0 (F0). Results from a representative experiment are shown. The half-time of RepA1-15-GFP unfolding for each Hsp104 is shown on the right. Bars represent means±SEM (N=2), each replicate is shown as a dot. (G) Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 (black curve), Hsp104^{E190R:R419E} (red curve, left), Hsp104^{E191R:R419E} (red curve, middle) and Hsp104^{E192R:R419É} (red curve, right) as a function of Ssa1 concentration. The indicated Hsp104 variant (1µM, monomeric) in the presence of various Ssa1 concentrations as indicated on the x-axis (log scale) was incubated with chemically denatured luciferase aggregates (100nM monomer concentration) for 90 min. Values represent means±SEM (N=2). A bell-shaped dose-dependent curve is used to fit the data, see STAR Methods.

See also Figure S5.







Figure S1. Hsp104 variants bind a model, disordered substrate, β-casein, with the same affinity as Hsp104 (A) FITC-casein (30nM) was incubated with the indicated concentration of Hsp104 (x-axis) in the presence of ATPγS (2mM). Binding was assessed by fluorescence polarization. Values represent means±SEM (N=2). The data were fitted using a one-site binding curve in Graphpad, and the apparent K_D of the Hsp104 variants tested are similar to WT Hsp104 (0.2±0.1µM). (B) Bar graph of the data presented in Figure 2E for luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 or Hsp104^{A503S} (1µM, monomeric), plus Ssa1 (0.167µM) and the three lowest Ydj1 concentrations or in the absence of Ydj1. Bars represent means±SEM (N=2); each data point represents an independent replicate.

Related to Figure 1 and 2.



Figure S2. Ydj1 but not Sis1 can dissociate substrate from Hsp104 and inhibit the spontaneous refolding of unfolded luciferase. (A, B) Fluorescence polarization experiments measuring substrate binding competition between Hsp104 and Hsp40. Hsp104 (5µM hexameric) and the model substrate, FITC-casein (30 nM), were incubated with ATPyS (2mM) for 30min. The complex was then titrated with Ydj1 (A) or Sis1(B) at the indicated concentrations (x-axis, log scale) in the presence of ATPyS (2mM). Fluorescence polarization of FITC-casein (y-axis) was measured. Results from a representative experiment are shown. (C) Spontaneous refolding of soluble unfolded luciferase in buffer was measured at time of unfolding (0 min) and after 90 min. Luciferase (10µM) in 6M urea was incubated on ice for 5min and then diluted into solutions to a final concentration of 1, 2, 10 or 20nM as indicated in the figure. Luciferase activity was measured right after the unfolding reaction or after 90min in buffer. Bars represent means±SEM (N=4), each replicate is shown as a dot. (D, E) Luciferase (10µM) in 6M urea was incubated on ice for 5 min and then diluted into solutions containing various concentrations of Ydi1 (panel D x-axis, log scale) or Sis1 (panel E x-axis, log scale) to a final concentration of 1, 2, 10 or 20nM as indicated. Luciferase activity was measured after 90min. Values represent means±SEM (N=2). The IC₅₀ of Ydj1 inhibition was determined using the dose-dependent fitting model for absolute IC₅₀.

Related to Figure 2 and Table S1.



Figure S3. Rebuilding of the NBD1:MD salt bridges alters the ATPase activity of Hsp104. (A) ATPase activity of the indicated Hsp104 variants (0.25µM, monomeric) in ATP (1mM) after 5min at 25°C. Bars represent means±SEM (N=4), individual replicates are shown as dots. Dunnett's multiple comparisons were performed to compare the ATP hydrolysis rate of NBD1-MD variants to WT. **** P≤0.0001, ***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01. (B) Western blots to evaluate Hsp104 expression level of yeast in the thermotolerance assay (Figure 3B). Hsp104 variants were expressed for 30min at 37°C in $\Delta hsp104$ yeast. Yeast were then lysed, and the lysates were processed for Western blot. PGK1 serves as a loading control.

Related to Figure 3.



Figure S4. Hsp104 variant off-target toxicity and expression level in yeast. (**A**) The offtarget toxicity of Hsp104 variants that perturb the intraprotomer NBD1:MD contacts of the ADP state is evaluated at 37°C using yeast spotting assay. $\Delta hsp104$ yeast were transformed with galactose-inducible Hsp104 variants or an empty vector, WT Hs104 or Hsp104^{A503S} serve as controls. The yeast were spotted onto galactose (induction on) media in a five-fold serial dilution and incubated at 30°C (left) or 37°C (right). The potentiated variants revealed in Figure 4 are highlighted in red, and the toxic variants are underlined. (**B**) Western blots were performed to evaluate Hsp104 expression. $\Delta hsp104$ yeast from Figure 4 harboring the indicated Hsp104 variants or empty vector control were induced in galactose media for 5 hours at 30°C. Yeast were lysed and the lysates were visualized via Western blot. PGK1 serves as a loading control.

Related to Figure 4.



Figure S5. Western blots confirm Hsp104 variants and disease proteins are expressed at similar levels. Integrated $\Delta hsp104$ yeast strains from Figure 6C (left) and 6D (right) were induced for 5 hours in galactose media. Yeast were lysed and processed for western blot. PGK1 serves as a loading control.

Related to Figure 6.

	1. Ssa1 EC₅₀ (Figure 2B)	2. Sis1 EC ₅₀ (Figure 2D, F)	3. Ydj1 EC₅₀ (Figure 2C, E)	4. Ydj1 IC₅ (Figure 2C, E)	5. Ydj1 IC₅₀ (Figure S2A)
Hsp104	~5µM	~2µM	~0.4µM	~14µM	~40µM
Hsp104 ^{R366E}	~6µM	~1.9µM	~0.047µM	~0.63µM	~20µM
Hsp104 ^{R419E}	~5µM	~3µM	~0.08µM	~1.3µM	~30µM
Hsp104 ^{A503S}	ND	~2µM	~0.21µM	~6µM	ND

Table S1. Summary of EC₅₀ **and IC**₅₀ **values.** From left to right: 1. EC₅₀ of Ssa1 for stimulation of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 variants in the absence of Hsp40 (Figure 2B). 2. EC₅₀ of Sis1 for stimulation of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 variants in the presence of Ssa1 (Figure 2D, F). 3. EC₅₀ of Ydj1 for stimulation of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 variants in the presence of Ssa1 (Figure 2D, F). 4. IC₅₀ of Ydj1 for stimulation of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 variants in the presence of Ssa1 (Figure 2C, E). 4. IC₅₀ of Ydj1 for stimulation of luciferase disaggregation and reactivation by Hsp104 variants in the presence of Ssa1 (Figure 2C, E). 5. IC₅₀ of Ydj1 for dissociating β -casein from Hsp104 variants (Figure S2A).