PAPER

## MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticle anchored graphene as bifunctional agent for water purification

To cite this article: Homen Lahan et al 2016 Mater. Res. Express 3 105003

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

INCLUSIVE PUBLISHING TRUSTED SCIENCE

> Improved photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue and rhodamine G dye under UV-radiation by rGO-MoO<sub>3</sub>
> Ruhinaz Ushal, Bilal Ahmed, Arvind Singh et al.

PURPOSE-LED

**PUBLISHING**<sup>\*</sup>

- Efficient non-fullerene organic solar cells employing aqueous solution-processed MoO<sub>3</sub> as a hole-transporting layer Yaozhao Li, Peng Li, Minghao Qu et al.
- A facile one pot synthesis of MoO<sub>3</sub> on reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and electrochemical studies for energy applications

Krishnamurthy G and Veeresha G

### UNITED THROUGH SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY



### Materials Research Express

#### PAPER

RECEIVED 27 January 2016

CrossMark

**REVISED** 5 March 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 18 April 2016

PUBLISHED 7 October 2016

# MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticle anchored graphene as bifunctional agent for water purification

Homen Lahan<sup>1</sup>, Raju Roy<sup>2</sup>, Nima D Namsa<sup>2</sup> and Shyamal K Das<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Tezpur University, Assam, India, 784028

 $^2$   $\,$  Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tezpur University, Assam, India, 784028

E-mail: namsa@tezu.ernet.in and skdas@tezu.ernet.in

Keywords: graphene, MoO3, nanocomposites, adsorption

#### Abstract

We report here a facile one step hydrothermal method to anchor MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles in graphene. The bifunctionality of graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles is demonstrated via dye adsorption and antibacterial activities. The nanocomposite showed excellent adsorption of methylene blue, a cationic dye, from water compared to pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> and graphene. However, it showed negligible adsorption of methyl orange, an anionic dye. Again, the graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles exhibited bacteriostatic property against both Gram-negative (*E. coli*) and Gram-positive (*S. aureus*) bacteria.

#### 1. Introduction

A major global challenge, mostly in developing countries, is to sustain clean and pure water with rapid industrialization, population growth and exponential improvements in living standards. It is apparent that the natural water resources are often contaminated by waste organic/inorganic materials and pathogens originating from unavoidable human activities. Therefore, there is a growing need for affordable technologies for water preservation and purification.

Synthetic dyes wasted from various industries are most notable examples of organic pollutants in water [1-5]. Large quantities of different types of synthetic dyes (~10<sup>6</sup> tons in a year) are produced globally and nearly  $1.5 \times 10^{\circ}$  tons are released into the environment (mostly in water bodies) as waste [1–5]. This situation is more alarming in countries like China and India where the consumption rate of dyes are higher. It amounts to  $\sim$ 40%– 45% and ~10% of global consumption for China and India respectively [4, 5]. Removal of these waste dye pollutants from water is vital for the protection of the ecosystem. One widely used technique for this purpose is adsorption [6, 7]. Inorganic materials have been extensively investigated for effective adsorption of dyes from water [6-17]. Although these materials showed excellent adsorption properties, their activity is limited in killing or inhibiting pathogenic growth [18]. Therefore, it would be extraordinary if the adsorption material shows antimicrobial activities so that it acts as a bifunctional agent for water purification. Reports on bifunctional materials are sporadic in this context [6, 19, 20]. One example of such bifunctional material found in the literature is Ag<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> [19, 20]. Dutta et al reported utilization of Ag<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> nanorods for adsorption of various cationic dyes and also demonstrated the bactericidal effect against both gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial strains [19]. Later on, Roca et al combined both experimental and theoretical methods to explain the facet-dependent photocatalytic and antibacterial properties of Ag<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> crystals [20]. Therefore, bifunctional materials need global attention and there is an urgent need to explore other novel materials that demonstrate both these activities simultaneously. One such material example may be molybdenum trioxide ( $MoO_3$ ).  $MoO_3$  is an important class of transition metal oxides having its application in wide verity of areas such as optical, electrochemical, electronics and sensors applications [21–29]. However, there are very limited reports on the direct adsorption properties of MoO<sub>3</sub> [30, 31]. Ma et al explored the possibility of adsorption of methylene blue (MB) dye by MoO<sub>3</sub> nanobelts [30]. Wang et al demonstrated selective adsorption of organic dyes by 3D hierarchical architecture of  $MoO_3$  [31]. It is also noted here that there are only few examples of photodegradation of organic dyes by MoO<sub>3</sub> [32-39]. Similarly, very few reports are available on the antimicrobial properties of  $MoO_3$  [40–42]. Surprisingly, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports so far

where both organic dye adsorption (or degradation) and antibacterial properties are exploited by any MoO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures. In view of it, it would be interesting to explore MoO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures.

In this context, we report here that  $MoO_3$  nanoparticle anchored graphene demonstrates the bifunctionality towards dye adsorption and antibacterial activities. The  $MoO_3$ -graphene showed excellent direct adsorption of a cationic dye (MB) than an anionic dye methyl orange (MO) compared to pristine graphene and  $MoO_3$ . The maximum adsorption capacity of MB by  $MoO_3$ -graphene is 625 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, which is higher than or comparable to some of the activated carbons and mesoporous carbons. The  $MoO_3$ -graphene composite also showed antibacterial activity towards both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Therefore, it was commented that  $MoO_3$ -graphene acts as a bifunctional agent for water purification.

#### 2. Experimental

 $MoO_3$  nanoparticles were anchored to graphene surface by a simple hydrothermal method. The graphene nanopowder (obtained from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd India) was treated with concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> for 24 h and washed thoroughly by distilled water. The acid treated graphene (30 mg) was dispersed in 30 ml of distilled water by ultrasonication. Then, 500 mg of  $(NH_4)_2MoS_4$  was dissolved in the above solution. The sol was hydrothermally treated at 160 °C for 12 h. The black product after cooling was recovered by centrifugation and washed with deionized water and dried at 110 °C. The dried product was calcined at 350 °C for 3 h in air at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> was synthesized by the same protocol without graphene addition. Graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> are denoted as G-MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub> respectively.

The materials were characterized by powder x-ray diffraction (Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation,  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption (BET) isotherms and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy.

For adsorption of MB from water, 0.02 g of G-MoO<sub>3</sub>, MoO<sub>3</sub> and graphene were dispersed into 50 ml of MB solution of different concentrations ranging from 50 to 500 ppm at room temperature. These solutions were stirred continuously. During this process, samples were collected from the solution at different time intervals and the concentration of the dye was determined by UV–visible spectroscopy. The same procedure was adopted for adsorption of MO. The concentrations of MB and MO were analyzed from absorbance at 663 nm and 466 nm wavelength respectively.

The antibacterial activity was evaluated on *Staphylococcus aureus* (Gram-positive bacteria) and *Escherichia coli* (Gram-negative bacteria) by using optical density ( $OD_{600}$ ) and colony-forming unit experiments on agar plates at different incubation times as described in [43, 44].

#### 3. Results and discussion

The representative TEM images of the investigated materials are shown in figure 1. The images of pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> indicate that they are crystallized in irregular shape and form aggregates (figures 1(b) and (c)). However, while performing the synthesis in presence graphene, MoO<sub>3</sub> crystallized in the form of nanoparticles in the graphene surface as shown in figures 1(d) and (e). Some of the MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles take the shape of nanospindles (figure 1(f)). The MoO<sub>3</sub> nanospindles have typical length and diameter of about 50–100 nm and 10–50 nm respectively. The x-ray diffraction patterns (figure 2(a)) of both MoO<sub>3</sub> and G-MoO<sub>3</sub> can be clearly indexed to  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> phase (JCPDS 05-0508). N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms show BET surface areas of 60 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and 68 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for MoO<sub>3</sub> and G-MoO<sub>3</sub> respectively (figure 2(b)).

The possible growth mechanism is illustrated schematically in figure 3. Initially,  $MOS_4{}^{2-}$  subunits from  $(NH_4)_2MOS_4$  is expected to graft to the surface functional groups of graphene. Further, the hydrothermal treatment results in the formation of  $MOS_3$  nanocrystallites in the graphene surface as follows:  $(NH_4)_2(MOS_4) \rightarrow MOS_3 + 2NH_3 + H_2S$ . When the product is heated at 350 °C in air,  $MOS_3$  nanocrystallites oxidized to  $MOO_3$  nanoparticles (figure 3(a)). In absence of graphene,  $MOS_4{}^{2-}$  subunits agglomerate themselves to form bulk  $MOS_3$  which in turn converts to bulk  $MOO_3$  (figure 3(b)).

Figure 4(a) shows the adsorption curves of MB, a cationic dye, by G-MoO<sub>3</sub>. It is observed that G-MoO<sub>3</sub> rapidly adsorbs MB at lower concentrations (<250 ppm). It reached more than 95% of adsorption within 30 min for 100 and 250 ppm MB. However, the adsorption rate is 35% for 500 ppm MB. It is interesting to note the corresponding UV–visible absorption spectra (figures 4(b)–(d)). For example, the peak at 663 nm immediately vanished while there is emergence of additional broad peak below 400 nm for 70 ppm of MB. This peak intensity increases continuously with adsorption time. For 250 ppm of MB, 663 nm and 292 peaks continuously decreases with time and after 30 min, the broad peak below 400 nm starts evolving. However, this behavior is not observed for 500 ppm of MB. The equilibrium adsorption capacity ( $q_e$ ) was calculated by







 $\left(q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e)V}{W}\right)$ , where  $C_o$  is the initial concentration of MB,  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of MB, V is the volume of the solution and W is the mass of G-MoO<sub>3</sub> taken in the experiment [12, 13]. Figure 5(a) shows the variation of equilibrium adsorption capacity as a function of the equilibrium concentration of MB in the solution. The absorption data were fitted to Langmuir isotherm model which states that  $\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{K_2 q_m}$ , where  $K_2$  is the Langmuir adsorption constant and  $q_m$  is the maximum adsorption capacity [12, 13]. Thus, a plot of  $\frac{C_e}{q_e}$  versus  $C_e$  should be linear as shown in figure 5(b). The maximum adsorption capacity of MB by G-MoO<sub>3</sub> is evaluated to be 625 mg g<sup>-1</sup>. These adsorption values are much higher than reported MoO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures [30, 31]. It is also higher than or comparable to some of the activated carbons, mesoporous carbon, carbon nanotubes and graphene [12–17]. Similar high adsorption was shown by WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets [45]. It indicates that G-MoO<sub>3</sub> possesses excellent adsorption capacities for MB. The absorption data did not follow the Freundlich isotherm model which states that Ln  $q_e = \text{Ln } k_f + \frac{1}{n} \text{Ln } C_e$ , where  $k_f$  is Freundlich constant and 1/n is an empirical parameter [12, 13]. The nonlinearity of Ln $q_e$  versus Ln $C_e$  is shown in figure 5(c).





Figure 4. (a) Adsorption rate curves for MB by G-MoO<sub>3</sub>, UV-visible absorption spectra of (b) 70 ppm, (c) 250 ppm and (d) 500 ppm of MB at different absorption times with 0.02 g of G-MoO<sub>3</sub>.

The kinetics of the adsorption of MB (250 ppm) was also fitted to the pseudo first-order and pseudo secondorder kinetic models. The pseudo first-order model is represented by:  $\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k}{2.303}t$ , where k is the rate constant of adsorption, q is the amount of MB adsorbed at time t [12, 13]. The pseudo second-order model is represented by:  $\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$ , where  $k_2$  is the pseudo-second-order rate constant [12, 13]. The linearity of  $\frac{t}{q_t}$  versus t (figure 6(b)) is more pronounced than the linearity of  $\log(q_e - q_t)$  versus t (figure 6(a)). The correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) values for first-order and second-order fittings are 0.94 and 0.99 respectively. Therefore, it is commented that the adsorption of MB onto G-MoO<sub>3</sub> fits the second-order kinetics.

Figure 7(a) shows the comparison of MB adsorption by G-MoO<sub>3</sub>, pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> and graphene. It is apparent that adsorption rate is significantly higher for G-MoO<sub>3</sub> than pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> and graphene. Again, pristine MoO<sub>3</sub> shows better adsorption than graphene. It is noted that the surface areas of G-MoO<sub>3</sub> (68 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>)



Freudlich isotherm fitting.



and  $MoO_3$  (60 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) are almost identical. Therefore, the surface area effect may be ruled out. It is possible that graphene and  $MoO_3$  nanoparticles synergistically work to enhance the adsorption capacity.

Adsorption of MB was also confirmed by FTIR spectra. Figures 7(b) and (c) indicates that new peaks appeared at  $1050-1650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (marked by the dotted box) corresponding to the vibrations of MB molecules after adsorption of MB by G-MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub>. It showed that the MB molecules effectively adsorbed on MoO<sub>3</sub> surface. However, no such vibrations of MB can be observed for pristine graphene (figure 7(d)). Therefore, it is expected that graphene acts as a catalyst in enhancing the adsorption capacity of MoO<sub>3</sub>.

The graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles were also employed to adsorb an anionic dye such as MO. However, the UV–visible spectra confirm that G-MoO<sub>3</sub> does not show adsorption of MO (figure 8(a)). It is again supported by the FTIR spectra obtained from G-MoO<sub>3</sub> after the adsorption of MO experiment (figure 8(b)). It suggests that G-MoO<sub>3</sub> shows selective adsorption towards cationic dye.

The antibacterial experiments show that the bacteria cultures achieve growth profiles attaining very low cell concentration of 0.1 OD and 0.7 OD at 12 h incubation for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* respectively with G-MoO<sub>3</sub> at 0.4 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> concentration (figures 9(a) and (b)). It indicates that the bacterial growth is efficiently inhibited by G-MoO<sub>3</sub>. Moreover, the colony forming unit assay of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* showed visible growth efficiency of





G-MoO<sub>3</sub> before and after MO adsorption.

above 90% and 80% respectively at 24 h (figures 9(c) and (d). This observation again demonstrates the bacteriostatic property of  $G-MoO_3$  [43, 44, 46].

The exact adsorption and antibacterial mechanism is not well understood and a more rigorous study is required via XPS, NMR, mass spectroscopy and *in situ/ex situ* electron microscopy [47]. However, it may be possible that graphene may induce generation of hydroxyl radicals ( $\cdot$ OH) from hydroxyl groups (OH) present in MoO<sub>3</sub> surface. This reactive species in turn reacts with the MB molecule after adsorption on the MoO<sub>3</sub> surface and results in degradation [39, 48–50]. The rate of degradation increases with time and, therefore, the peak intensity below 400 nm increases continuously with time (figures 4(b) and (c)). Again, it is also well known that reactive hydroxyl radicals ( $\cdot$ OH) oxidize the bacterial cell membrane [18]. Hence, whenever the bacteria comes in contact with G-MoO<sub>3</sub>, it acts as an antibacterial agent due to the presence of hydroxyl radicals ( $\cdot$ OH) in the surface of MoO<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, it can be anticipated that graphene acts as a catalyst for adsorption and antibacterial activities.



#### 4. Conclusion

In summary, a simple hydrothermal method to anchor  $MoO_3$  nanoparticles in graphene is described. The nanocomposite demonstrated excellent adsorption of a cationic dye (MB) than an anionic dye (MO). It shows fast adsorption up to 250 ppm of MB within 30 min. The maximum adsorption capacity of MB is 625 mg g<sup>-1</sup>. Again, the graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles can serve as an antibacterial agent to inhibit the multiplication of bacteria cells in water due to the bacteriostatic nature. Therefore, it is termed that graphene-MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles act as a bifunctional agent for water purification.

#### Acknowledgment

SKD thanks the financial support from Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (Grant No.: YSS/2015/000765)

#### References

- [1] Hao Z and Iqbal A 1997 Chem. Soc. Rev. 26 203
- [2] Zollinger H 2003 Color Chemistry: Synthesis, Properties and Applications of Organic Dyes and Pigments 3rd revised edn (New York: VCH)
- [3] Robinson T, McMullan G, Marchant R and Nigam P 2001 Bioresour. Technol. 77 247
- [4] Linak E, Fink U, Kishi A and Guan M 2014 Chemical Economics Handbook (Dyes, ISH)
- [5] Ghaly A E, Ananthashankar R, Alhattab M and Ramakrishnan V V 2014 J. Chem. Eng. Process Technol. 5 1000182
- [6] Qu X, Alvarez P J J and Li Q 2013 Water Res. 47 3931
- [7] Sanghi R and Bhattacharya B 2002 Color Technol. 118 256
- [8] Zhu T, Chen J S and Lou X W 2012 J. Phys. Chem. 116 6873
- [9] Xu C, Wang Y, Zhao P, Chen H and Liu Y 2015 Mater. Lett. 159 64
- [10] Wang X, Ding J, Yao S, Wu X, Feng Q, Wang Z and Geng B 2014 J. Mater. Chem. 2 15958
- [11] Liu B X, Wang J S, Wu J S, Li H Y, Li Z F, Zhou M L and Zuo T Y 2014 J. Mater. Chem. 2 1947
- [12] Ramesha G K, Kumara A V, Muralidhara H B and Sampath S 2011 J. Colloid Interface Sci. 361 270
- [13] Liu T et al 2012 Colloids Surf. B 90 197
- [14] Attia A A, Rashwan W E and Khedr S A 2006 Dyes Pigments 69 128
- [15] Wang S B, Zhu Z H, Coomes A, Haghseresht F and Lu G Q 2005 J. Colloid Interface Sci. 284 440
- [16] Li J T, Li B L, Wang H C, Bian X B and Wang X M 2011 *Carbon* 49 1912
- [17] Khoerunisa F et al 2012 J. Phys. Chem. C 116 11216
- [18] Oveisi H, Rahighi S, Jiang X, Nemoto Y, Beitollahi A, Wakatsuki S and Yamauchi Y 2010 Chem. Asian J. 5 1978
- [19] Dutta D P, Singh A, Ballal A and Tyagi A K 2014 Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 33 5724
- [20] Roca R A et al 2015 Catal. Sci. Technol. 5 4091
- [21] Balendhran S, Walia S, Nili H, Ou J Z, Zhuiykov S, Kaner R B, Sriram S, Bhaskaran M and Kalantar K 2013 Adv. Funct. Mater. 23 3952
- [22] Wang C, Irfan I, Liu X and Gao Y 2014 J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 32 040801
- [23] Noerochim L, Wang J Z, Wexler D, Chao Z and Liu H K 2013 J. Power Sources 228 198

[24] Yang X, Ding H, Zhang D, Yan X, Lu C, Qin J, Zhang R, Tang H and Song H 2011 Cryst. Res. Technol. 46 1195

[25] Cao X, Zheng B, Shi W, Yang J, Fan Z, Luo Z, Rui X, Chen B, Yan Q and Zhang H 2015 Adv. Mater. 27 4695

[26] Yang X, Lu C, Qin J, Zhang R, Tang H and Song H 2011 Mater. Lett. 65 2341

- [27] Zhou J, Song J, Li H, Feng X, Huang Z, Chen S, Ma Y, Wang L and Yan X 2015 New J. Chem. 39 8780
- [28] Yang X, Tang H, Zhang R, Song H and Cao K 2011 Cryst. Res. Technol. 46 409
- [29] Zheng L, Xu Y, Jin D and Xie Y 2009 Chem. Mater. 21 5681
- [30] Ma Y, Jia Y, Jiao Z, Wang L, Yang M, Bi Y and Qi Y 2015 Mater. Lett. 157 53
- [31] Wang M, Song X, Cheng X L, Zhou X, Zhang X, Cai Z, Xu Y M, Gao S, Zhao H and Huo L H 2015 RSCAdv. 5 85248
- [32] Cheng L, Shao M, Wang X and Hu H 2009 Chem. Eur. J. 15 2310
- [33] Chen Y, Lu C, Xu L, Ma Y, Hou W and Zhu J J 2010 Cryst. Eng. Commun. 12 3740
- [34] Chithambararaj A, Sanjini N S, Velmathi S and Bose A C 2013 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 14761
- [35] Zhou Y F et al 2015 Mater. Lett. 154 132
- [36] Zhong M, Wei Z, Meng X, Wu F and Jingbo L 2014 Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 20 3245
- [37] Rakkesh A R and Balakumar S 2015 J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 15 4316
- [38] Chithambararaj A, Winston B, Sanjini N S, Velmathi S B and Chandra A 2015 J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 15 4913
- [39] Manivela A, Lee G J, Chen C Y, Chen J H, Mac S H, Horng T L and Wu J J 2015 Mater. Res. Bull. 62 184
- [40] Krishnamoorthy K, Premanathan M, Veerapandian M and Kim S J 2014 Nanotechnology 25 31510
- [41] Krishnamoorthy K, Veerapandian M, Yun K and Kim S J 2013 Colloid Surf. B 112 521
- [42] Zollfrank C, Gutbrod K, Wechsler P and Guggenbichler J P 2012 Mater. Sci. Eng. C 32 47
- [43] Erb T J, Kiefer P, Hattendorf B, Gunther D and Vorholt J A 2012 Science 337 467
- [44] Yu L, Zhang Y T, Zhang B and Liu J D 2014 Sci. Rep. 44551
- [45] Luo J Y, Cao Z, Chen F, Li L, Lin Y R, Liang B W, Zeng Q G, Zhang M, Hea X and Li C 2013 Appl. Surf. Sci. 287 270
- [46] Cosgrove S E 2006 Clin. Infectious Diseases 42 82
- [47] Wang X, Mei L, Xing X, Liao L, Lv G, Li Z and Wu L 2014 Appl. Catal. B 160 211
- [48] Houas A, Lachheb H, Ksibi M, Elaloui E, Guillard C and Herrmann J M 2001 Appl. Catal. B 31 145
- [49] Huang G, Zhang C, Long Y, Wynn J, Liu Y, Wang W and Gao J 2013 *Nanotechnology* 24 395601
- [50] Oliveira L C A, Gonçalves M, Guerreiro M C, Ramalho T C, Fabris J D, Pereira M C and Sapag K 2007 Appl. Catal. A 316 117