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SUMMARY

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) with prion-like domains (PrLDs) phase transition to functional liquids, which can mature into aberrant hydrogels composed of pathological fibrils that underpin fatal neurodegenerative disorders. Several nuclear RBPs with PrLDs, including TDP-43, FUS, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2, mislocalize to cytoplasmic inclusions in neurodegenerative disorders, and mutations in their PrLDs can accelerate fibrillization and cause disease. Here, we establish that nuclear-import receptors (NIRs) specifically chaperone and potently disaggregate wild-type and disease-linked RBPs bearing a NLS. Karyopherin-β2 (also called Transportin-1) engages PY-NLSs to inhibit and reverse FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillation, whereas Importin-α plus Karyopherin-β1 prevent and reverse TDP-43 fibrillation. Remarkably, Karyopherin-β2 dissolves phase-separated liquids and aberrant fibrillar hydrogels formed by FUS and hnRNPA1. In vivo, Karyopherin-β2 prevents RBPs with PY-NLSs accumulating in stress granules, restores nuclear RBP localization and function, and rescues degeneration caused by disease-linked FUS and hnRNPA2. Thus, NIRs therapeutically restore RBP homeostasis and mitigate neurodegeneration.

INTRODUCTION

There are no effective therapies for several fatal neurodegenerative disorders, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), and multisystem proteinopathy (MSP) in which specific RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) with prion-like domains (PrLDs) mislocalize and aggregate in the cytoplasm of degenerating cells (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). For example, wild-type (WT) or mutant FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 are depleted from the nucleus and form cytoplasmic aggregates in degenerating neurons in some ALS/FTD cases, whereas in MSP, WT, or mutant hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 exhibit this phenotype in degenerating tissues, including muscle, brain, and bone (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). For these RBPs with a PY-nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Lee et al., 2006), as well as TDP-43, which harbors a canonical NLS (cNLS) (Figure 1A), a major pathological event is mislocalization from the nucleus to cytoplasmic aggregates (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). Indeed, defects in nuclear transport contribute to ALS, FTD, and MSP (Chou et al., 2018; Kim and Taylor, 2017).

PrLDs possess a low-complexity, amino-acid composition similar to prion domains (PrD), which enable certain yeast proteins (e.g., Sup35) to form prions (March et al., 2016). PrDs and
PrLDs are enriched in Gly and uncharged polar amino acids, including Gin, Asn, Tyr, and Ser. PrLDs of yeast proteins enable beneficial liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) and prionogenesis (Franzmann et al., 2018; March et al., 2016). PrLDs of human RBPs drive their pathological aggregation and disease-linked mutations in PrLDs can accelerate aggregation (March et al., 2016). However, PrLDs in human RBPs also play important roles in functional protein-protein interactions and drive LLPS events that underpin biogenesis of functional membraneless organelles, including stress granules (SGs) and nuclear paraspeckles (March et al., 2016). Thus, PrLDs switch from intrinsically unfolded states in monodisperse solution to condensed multimeric liquid phases in which PrLDs retain disordered character. These liquid compartments exhibit rapid internal dynamics and are sustained by transient, multivalent intermolecular contacts between PrLDs and between PrLDs and other RBPs (Monahan et al., 2017). RBP liquids can mature into solid hydrogels comprised of stable fibrils maintained by intermolecular cross-β contacts between PrLDs (Molliex et al., 2015; Murakami et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015). Disease-linked mutations in PrLDs (e.g., hnRNPA1D262V) accelerate fibrillization and aberrant phase transitions to the solid state (Kim et al., 2013; Molliex et al., 2015; Murakami et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015). These aberrant solid, gel-like phases of RBPs with PrLDs are closely tied to neurodegeneration (Harrison and Shorter, 2017).

Agents that reverse fibrillization and aberrant phase transitions of RBPs with PrLDs while simultaneously restoring their functionality and nuclear localization could mitigate neurodegeneration by eradicating: (1) any toxic gain of function of misfolded species, and (2) any loss of function due to sequestration in cytoplasmic aggregates (Shorter, 2016). Previously, we engineered a protein disaggregator from yeast, Hsp104, to rapidly disassemble TDP-43 and FUS fibrils linked to ALS/FTD (Shorter, 2016). However, metazoa lack Hsp104. Whether metazoa harbor a molecular chaperone for diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS is a molecular chaperone for RBPs with a PY-NLS (Figure 1A). Deletion of this hexapeptide prevents nuclear import receptors (NIRs) from binding to the NLS of RBPs with PrLDs and disrupts intermolecular contacts between PrLDs to antagonize pathological fibrillization. To assess this possibility, we used pure RBP fibrillization assays. Here, RBPs are purified with an N-terminal GST tag, which can be selectively removed by TEV protease to elicit rapid assembly of RBP fibrils that bear close ultrastructural resemblance to those that accumulate in disease (Couthouis et al., 2011, 2012; Johnson et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2011). Under our conditions, RBPs rapidly fibrillize and do not form macroscopic liquid droplets. Thus, we can assess NIR activity under conditions where fibrillization is the dominant pathway.

We assessed spontaneous FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillization (i.e., in the absence of preformed fibrils) in the absence or presence of their specific NIR, Karyopherin-β2 (Kap2, also called Transportin-1 or Importin-β2) (Lee et al., 2006). As negative controls, we used Kap2W460A/W730A and Importin-α (Impα) plus Karyopherin-β1 (Kap1), which unlike Kap2, do not bind the PY-NLS of these RBPs (Lee et al., 2006). Kap2 strongly inhibited FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillation, whereas Kap2W460A/W730A or Impα and Kap1 did not (Figures 1B, 1C, and S1A). The half maximal inhibitory concentration [IC50] was ~1–2 μM for each RBP (Figure 1D). Deleting the PY-NLS from FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 did not affect fibrillation but diminished inhibition by Kap2 (Figures 1B and S1A). Thus, Kap2 is a molecular chaperone for RBPs with a PY-NLS. The hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 PY-NLSs are immediately C-terminal to a hexapeptide in their PrLDs (residues 259–264 in hnRNPA1 and 287–292 in hnRNPA2), which is critical for fibrillization (Figure 1A). Deletion of this hexapeptide prevents RBP homeostasis in ALS, FTD, MSP, and other deadly neurodegenerative disorders.

**RESULTS**

**Karyopherin-β2 Is a Molecular Chaperone for RBPs with a PY-NLS**

NIRs bind NLSs tightly and break hydrophobic contacts between FG-repeat-rich nucleoporins as they traverse the nuclear pore during nuclear transport (Schmidt and Görlich, 2016). Thus, we reasoned that NIRs might also bind to the NLSs of RBPs with PrLDs and disrupt intermolecular contacts between PrLDs to antagonize pathological fibrillization. To assess this possibility, we used pure RBP fibrillization assays. Here, RBPs are purified with an N-terminal GST tag, which can be selectively removed by TEV protease to elicit rapid assembly of RBP fibrils that bear close ultrastructural resemblance to those that accumulate in disease (Couthouis et al., 2011, 2012; Johnson et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2011). Under our conditions, RBPs rapidly fibrillize and do not form macroscopic liquid droplets. Thus, we can assess NIR activity under conditions where fibrillization is the dominant pathway.

We assessed spontaneous FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillization (i.e., in the absence of preformed fibrils) in the absence or presence of their specific NIR, Karyopherin-β2 (Kap2, also called Transportin-1 or Importin-β2) (Lee et al., 2006). As negative controls, we used Kap2W460A/W730A and Importin-α (Impα) plus Karyopherin-β1 (Kap1), which unlike Kap2, do not bind the PY-NLS of these RBPs (Lee et al., 2006). Kap2 strongly inhibited FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillation, whereas Kap2W460A/W730A or Impα and Kap1 did not (Figures 1B, 1C, and S1A). The half maximal inhibitory concentration [IC50] was ~1–2 μM for each RBP (Figure 1D). Deleting the PY-NLS from FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 did not affect fibrillation but diminished inhibition by Kap2 (Figures 1B and S1A). Thus, Kap2 is a molecular chaperone for RBPs with a PY-NLS. The hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 PY-NLSs are immediately C-terminal to a hexapeptide in their PrLDs (residues 259–264 in hnRNPA1 and 287–292 in hnRNPA2), which is critical for fibrillization (Figure 1A). Deletion of this hexapeptide prevents
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**Figure 1. Kap2 Is a Molecular Chaperone for Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS**

(A) Domain architecture of FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, hnRNPA2, and TDP-43. Disease-linked mutations used in this study and domains are indicated: PrLD (blue), Gly-rich domain (mauve), RRM (yellow), RGG (green), Zinc finger (gray), and NLS (salmon).

(B) FUS, FUSΔNLS, TAF15, TAF15ΔNLS, EWSR1, EWSR1ΔNLS, hnRNPA1, hnRNPA1ΔNLS, hnRNPA2, or hnRNPA2ΔNLS (5 μM) were incubated with buffer, Kap2 (5 μM), Kap2W460A/W730A (5 μM), or Impα (5 μM) plus Kap1 (5 μM). Fibrillation was assessed by sedimentation. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(C) Fibrillation reactions performed as in (B) and processed for EM. Arrows denote fibrils. Scale bar, 0.5 μM.

(D) Kap2 IC50s for fibrillation of indicated RBPs (5 μM) performed as in (B). IC50 for FUSΔNLS could not be determined and is >20 μM.

(E) FUS (5 μM) was incubated with buffer, Kap2 (5 μM), HDAC1 (5 μM or 50 μM), or anti-FUS antibody (5 μM). Fibrillation was assessed by sedimentation. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(F) FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, or hnRNPA2 (5 μM) were incubated as in (B) in the absence or presence of Kap2 (5 μM) plus or minus RanGTP or RanGDP (25 μM). Fibrillation was assessed by sedimentation. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(G) FUSΔNLS, FUSΔNLSΔG, FUSΔNLSΔR, FUSΔNLSΔQ, TAF15ΔNLS, TAF15ΔNLSΔG, EWSR1ΔS11A, EWSR1ΔS11D, hnRNPA1ΔD262V, hnRNPA1ΔD262N, or hnRNPA2ΔD262V (5 μM) were incubated as in (B) with buffer, Kap2 (5 μM), or Kap2W460A/W730A (5 μM). Fibrillation was assessed by sedimentation. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(H) TDP-43, TDP-43DD311K, TDP-43DD311K, or TDP-43ΔNLS (5 μM) were incubated with buffer Kap2 (5 μM) or Impα (5 μM) plus Kap1 (5 μM). Fibrillation was assessed by sedimentation. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

See also Figure S1.
hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibrillization (Kim et al., 2013). Kapβ2 binding close to this region would prevent cross-β contacts between PrLDs that drive fibrillation. By contrast, the PY-NLS of FUS, EWSR1, and TAF15 is at the C-terminal end, distal to the N-terminal PrLD that drives fibrillation (Figure 1A). Thus, could other FUS-binding proteins inhibit fibrillation? An anti-FUS antibody that recognizes the FUS PY-NLS did not inhibit FUS fibrillation (Figures 1E and S1B), and neither did HDAC1 (Figures 1E and S1B), which binds to the FUS Gly-rich region (residues 156–262) and C-terminal region (residues 450–526) (Wang et al., 2013). Thus, FUS fibrillation is not inhibited by any FUS-binding protein, whereas Kapβ2 engages the FUS PY-NLS to abolish FUS fibrilization.

Kapβ2 binds the PY-NLS of cargo in the cytoplasm and transports cargo across the nuclear pore to the nucleus. A small GTPase, Ran, regulates Kapβ2-cargo interactions and nuclear-transport directionality via its GTPase cycle. RanGTP is concentrated in the cytoplasm and allows Kapβ2-cargo interactions, whereas RanGDP is concentrated in the nucleus and dissociates Kapβ2 from cargo upon arrival in the nucleus (Kim and Taylor, 2017). Neither RanGTP nor RanGDP affected RBP fibrillation (Figures 1F and S1C). However, RanGTP but not RanGDP reduced the ability of Kapβ2 to inhibit FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillation (Figures 1F and S1C). Thus, Kapβ2 chaperone activity is likely restricted to the cytoplasm.

Kapβ2 Is a Molecular Chaperone for Disease-Linked Mutant RBPs with a PY-NLS

Two ALS-linked FUS variants, FUS R495X and FUS R525L, cause aggressive juvenile ALS (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). The PY-NLS is deleted in FUS R495X (Figure 1A), and the critical Pro of the PY-NLS is mutated to Leu in FUS R525L, which reduces binding to Kapβ2 (Zhang and Chook, 2012). Nonetheless, Kapβ2 reduced FUS R525L aggregation by ~50% (Figures 1G and S1D), although the IC50 was elevated ~3.7-fold (Figure 1D). Kapβ2 had limited activity against FUS R495X (Figures 1D, 1G, and S1D). By contrast, Kapβ2 abolished fibrillation of the disease-linked RBP variants: FUS R525L, FUS H517Q, FUS R521C, FUS R521H, TAF15 G391E, TAF15 R408C, EWSR1 G511A, EWSR1 R552L, hnRNPA1 D262V, hnRNPA1 D262N, and hnRNPA2 D292V (Figure 1G) (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). These included ALS-linked FUS variants with mutations in the PY-NLS (H517Q, R521C, and R521H), which do not reduce Kapβ2 binding as much as P525L (Zhang and Chook, 2012). Thus, Kapβ2 chaperones diverse disease-linked mutant RBPs.

NIRs Prevent TDP-43 Fibrillation

TDP-43 lacks a PY-NLS but harbors a cNLS that is decoded by Impx. Impx is then bound by Kapβ1 and transported to the nucleus (Nishimura et al., 2010). Kapβ2 does not bind the TDP-43 cNLS and does not affect spontaneous fibrillation of TDP-43 or ALS-linked TDP-43 Q331K (Figures 1C, 1H, and S1E). Conversely, Impx plus Kapβ1 abolished TDP-43 and TDP-43 Q331K fibrillation (Figures 1C, 1H, and S1E). A fibrillogenic TDP-43 fragment, TDP-43 188–414, and TDP-43 439–98, which lack the cNLS, resisted inhibition by Impx plus Kapβ1 (Figures 1H and S1E). RanGTP but not RanGDP reduced inhibition of TDP-43 fibrillation by Impx plus Kapβ1 (Figure S1F). The TDP-43 cNLS is distant from the fibrillogenic PrLD (Figure 1A), which might indicate an allosteric inhibitory effect upon NIP binding. We suggest that elevating NIP expression could block nucleation of pathological fibrils and disease onset.

Kapβ2 Inhibits Seeded Fibrillation of Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS

In ALS/FTD, disease pathology spreads between contiguous brain regions. Spreading may be due to transmission of self-templating, prion-like fibrils from cell to cell. Once a self-templating fibril gains access to a new cell, it converts soluble protein to the fibrillar form (March et al., 2016). Agents that prevent self-templating could halt disease progression. Could Kapβ2 inhibit RBP fibrillation seeded by preformed fibrils? For each RBP, we employed conditions wherein minimal fibrillation occurred in the absence of fibril seeds (Figures 2A–2F and S2A–S2D). Kapβ2 abolished seeded fibrillation of FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2, whereas Kapβ3 W460A, W373A and Impx plus Kapβ1 had no effect (Figures 2A–2G and S2A–S2D). This inhibition extended to diverse disease-linked RBP variants except for the PY-NLS mutants FUS R495X and FUS R525L (Figures 2H and S2E). Kapβ2 did not prevent seeded TDP-43 fibrillation (Figures 2F and S2D). However, Impx and Kapβ1 abolished seeded fibrillation of TDP-43 and TDP-43 Q331K (Figures 2F, 2H, S2D, and S2E). Thus, NIPs could be utilized to prevent prion-like spread of self-seeding conformers during ALS, FTD, and MSP progression.

Kapβ2 Rapidly Disaggregates FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 Fibrils

Therapeutic agents may also need to clear fibrils rather than simply impede their assembly. Remarkably, Kapβ2 rapidly dissolved preformed FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 fibrils within ~15–20 min (Figures 3A–3G and S3A–S3C). By contrast, Kapβ1 W460A, W373A and Impx plus Kapβ1, an anti-FUS-PY-NLS antibody, and HDAC1 had no effect (Figures 3A–3H and S3A–S3C). The half maximal effective concentration (EC50) of Kapβ2 was ~2.5 μM (Figure 3G). This disaggregation activity was inhibited by RanGTP, which disrupts Kapβ2:PY-NLS interactions, but not by RanGDP, which permits them (Figures 3A, 3C, and 3E). Thus, Kapβ2 rapidly disaggregates PY-NLS bearing cargo, and this activity is likely restricted to the cytoplasm.

To determine the nature of the soluble disaggregated products, we disaggregated His-tagged FUS fibrils with biotinylated-Kapβ2 (bio-Kapβ2). In the presence of buffer or RanGDP, depletion of bio-Kapβ2 from the soluble disaggregated fraction codeluted the soluble FUS (Figure 3I). Likewise, depletion of His-FUS co-depleted bio-Kapβ2 (Figure 3I). Thus, the major products of Kapβ2-driven disaggregation are soluble Kapβ2: FUS complexes. RanGTP separated Kapβ2 from disaggregated FUS (Figure 3I). Thus, each Kapβ2 may extract a single FUS monomer from the FUS fibril to form a soluble Kapβ2:FUS complex, which can then be transported to the nucleus. In this way, disaggregated FUS would not reaggregate in the cytoplasm or during transit across the nuclear pore.

The rapidity of Kapβ2-driven disaggregation was unanticipated. Indeed, the protein-disaggregate machinery from yeast, Hsp104, Sse1, Ssa1, and Ydj1 were unable to disaggregate
FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 fibrils over this short time frame (Figures S3D–S3F). Even a potentiated Hsp104 variant, Hsp104 A503S, required longer times to dissolve FUS and TAF15 fibrils, and was unable to dissolve EWSR1 fibrils (Figures S3D–S3F). Like-wise, the human protein-disaggregase system comprised of Hsp110, Hsp70, and Hsp40 (Shorter, 2016) only partially dissolved FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 fibrils (Figures S3D–S3F). Thus, the ability of Kap b2 to disaggregate FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 fibrils was potent compared to canonical disaggregases.

Kap b2 did not display general protein-disaggregation activity and did not disaggregate proteins without a PY-NLS. Unlike Hsp104, Hsp104 A503S, and human Hsp110, Hsp70, and Hsp40, Kap b2 did not disaggregate denatured luciferase (Figure S2G). Kap b2 was also unable to disaggregate FUS ΔNLS, TAF15 ΔNLS, EWSR1 ΔNLS (Figure S3H), or TDP-43 fibrils (Figure 3J).

Remarkably, Imp a and Kap b1 disaggregated TDP-43 and TDP-43 Q331K fibrils (Figures 3J and S3I). Imp a and Kap b1 were not as effective as Hsp104 A503S, but were more effective than Hsp104 or human Hsp110, Hsp70, and Hsp40 (Figure 3J). Imp a plus Kap b1 had limited activity against TDP-43 188–414 (that lacks the NLS) fibrils (Figure S3J) or FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1 fibrils (Figures 3A–3F). Collectively, NIRs may function broadly to disaggregate NLS-bearing cargo.

Kap b2 rapidly disaggregated fibrils formed by disease-linked FUS, EWSR1, and TAF15 variants with an EC50 of ~2–3 μM (Figures 3G and 3K). Kap b2 even partially disaggregated FUS S525L fibrils although the EC50 was ~6 μM (Figures 3G and 3K). FUS S485X fibrils were more refractory to Kap b2 (Figures 3G and 3K). Nonetheless, elevating Kap b2 levels could dissolve diverse cytoplasmic, disease-associated aggregates formed by RBPs with a PY-NLS.
Figure 3. Kapβ2 Disaggregates Fibrils Formed by Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS

(A–F) FUS (A and B), TAF15 (C and D), or EWSR1 (E and F) fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated with buffer, Kapβ2 (5 μM), Kapβ2^WWAA^ (5 μM), or Impα (5 μM) plus Kapβ1 (5 μM) in the absence or presence of Ran^GDP^ or Ran^GTP^ (25 μM). Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity (A, C, and E). Values are means ± SEM (n = 3). Disaggregation was also assessed by EM (B, D, and F). Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

(G) Kapβ2EC50s for disaggregation of indicated RBP (5 μM monomer) performed as in (A). EC50 for FUS^R495X^ could not be determined and is >20 μM.

(H) FUS fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated with Kapβ2 (5 μM), HDAC1 (5 or 50 μM), or anti-FUS antibody (5 μM). Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(I) His-FUS fibrils (5 μM monomer) were disassembled with bio-Kapβ2 (5 μM). Soluble disaggregation products were recovered and incubated with Ran^GDP^ or Ran^GTP^ (25 μM). Kapβ2 was depleted with neutravidin Sepharose or His-FUS was depleted with Ni-NTA. Input, bound, and unbound fractions were processed for immunoblot.

(J) TDP-43 fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated with Kapβ2 (5 μM), Impα (5 μM) plus Kapβ1 (5 μM), Hsp104 (5 μM) plus Sse1 (1 μM), Ssa1 (1 μM) and Ydj1 (1 μM), Hsp104^A503S^ (5 μM) plus Sse1 (1 μM), Ssa1 (1 μM) and Ydj1 (1 μM), or Hsp110 (Apg2; 5 μM), Hsp70 (Hsc70; 5 μM), and Hsp40 (Hdj1; 5 μM). Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(legend continued on next page)
Kapβ2 Slowly Disaggregates hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 Fibris
Kapβ2 slowly dissolved WT and disease-linked hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibris, whereas Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) did not (Figures 3L and 3M). Kapβ2 was not as effective as Hsp104(A503S), but was more effective than Hsp104 or human Hsp110, Hsp70, and Hsp40 (Figures 3L and 3M). HnRNPA1(D260M), hnRNPA1(D260N), and hnRNPA2(D260N) fibris were more difficult clients for disaggregation by Kapβ2 (Figures 3L and 3M). HnRNPA1(D263-289) or hnRNPA2(D293-319) fibris, which lack the PY-NLS, could not be disassembled by Kapβ2 (Figures 3L and 3M). Thus, Kapβ2 engages the PY-NLS to slowly disassemble WT and disease-linked hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibris.

Kapβ2 Rapidly Disperses Liquid Drops Formed by FUS and hnRNPA1
FUS and hnRNPA1 can undergo LLPS to form macroscopic liquid droplets (Molliex et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015). However, these droplets are not required for fibrillation of FUS, hnRNPA1, or other RBPs with PrLDs, which fibrillize under conditions where liquid droplets do not (Lin et al., 2015). Indeed, under our conditions employed above, RBPs rapidly fibrillize and do not dwell in macroscopic liquid states (Couthouis et al., 2011, 2012; Johnson et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2011).

To assess how Kapβ2 affects FUS LLPS, we omitted TEV protease and increased FUS concentration, which enables condensation of spherical FUS drops that readily fuse indicating liquid behavior (Figure S4A; Movie S1). Kapβ2 abolished FUS LLPS, whereas Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) had no effect (Figure S4A). Thus, Kapβ2 engages the FUS PY-NLS to prevent LLPS.

Next, we assessed FUS[P525L], which formed smaller and more irregular droplet structures (Figure S4B). Thus, the P525L mutation impairs formation of large FUS liquid droplets and promotes assembly of smaller aberrant, more solid-like structures (Figure S4B (Murakami et al., 2015)). Kapβ2 abolished formation of these FUS[P525L] assemblies, whereas Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) had little effect (Figure S4B). Thus, Kapβ2 antagonizes FUS[P525L] phase separation.

Kapβ2, but not Kapβ2(W460A/W730A), rapidly dissolved preformed FUS liquids in seconds (Figure S4C; Movies S1 and S2). Thus, Kapβ2 engages the FUS PY-NLS to rapidly reverse FUS LLPS. Kapβ2 also rapidly dissolved preformed FUS[P525L] structures, whereas Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) was less effective (Figure S4D; Movies S3 and S4).

Could Kapβ2 dissolve phase-separated hnRNPA1 liquids? At 4°C, hnRNPA1 rapidly condenses into a viscous liquid phase (Figure S4E), which was readily pipetted and rapidly resolved at 25°C (Molliex et al., 2015). Rheology confirmed that this phase was a viscous liquid as the storage modulus (G’ ) of ~105 Pa (Figures S4F and S4G). Kapβ2 dissolved viscous hnRNPA1 liquids at 4°C, whereas Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) was ineffective and only mildly reduced G’ and G” indicating decreased viscoelasticity (Figures S4E–S4G). Thus, Kapβ2 engages the hnRNPA1 PY-NLS to reverse hnRNPA1 LLPS.

Kapβ2 Dissolves Aberrant FUS and hnRNPA1 Hydrogels
Fibrils formed by the PrLDs or full-length FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 can associate into macroscopic hydrogels (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). These stable assemblies may originate from functional liquid states of RNP granules and are closely tied to neurotoxicity (Molliex et al., 2015; Murakami et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015). Could Kapβ2 reverse aberrant phase transitions to these structures? At 4°C, FUS formed hydrogels comprised of fibrillar networks (Figures 4A and 4B), which could not be pipetted or disassembled by shifting to 25°C. FUS formed strong gels with G’ at ~4,235 Pa (Figure 4C) and G” at ~866 Pa (Figure 4D). These measures of elasticity (G’) and viscosity (G”) indicate that FUS hydrogels have similar material properties to an ~0.5%–1% agarose gel and are stiffer than cells and some human tissues (Levental et al., 2007). Remarkably, Kapβ2 dissolved these stable FUS hydrogels by dismantling the fibrillar matrix underlying the gel (Figures 4B and 4G). After dissolution by Kapβ2, G’ and G” were ~0.12 Pa, consistent with obliteration of FUS fibrils (Figures 4C and 4D). Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) had no visible effect (Figure 4G), but reduced G’ and G” by ~30%, indicating decreased viscoelasticity (Figures 4E and 4F). Thus, FUS hydrogels are not irreversible, but can be rapidly deconstructed by Kapβ2. Kapβ2 could not dissolve hydrogels formed by a FUS PrLD fragment, FUS1–214 (Figure 4H). Thus, Kapβ2 engages the FUS PY-NLS to dissolve FUS hydrogels.

Could Kapβ2 disrupt hnRNPA1 hydrogels? At 4°C, hnRNPA1 forms solid-like gels very slowly after rapidly populating a reversible viscous liquid phase at early times (Figure 4E (Molliex et al., 2015). After a few weeks, hnRNPA1 liquids mature into solid, strong gels comprised of fibrillar networks, which cannot be pipetted (Figures 4I and 4J). The G’ of hnRNPA1 gels was ~21,099 Pa and G” was ~7,438 Pa (Figures 4K and 4L), which are material properties akin to an ~1%–1.5% agarose gel (Levental et al., 2007). Kapβ2 partially disassembled hnRNPA1 hydrogels and disrupted the underlying fibrill network (Figures 4J and 4M). However, some parts of the gel remained intact (Figure 4M). The residual hnRNPA1 gel was greatly weakened by ~99% (Figures 4K and 4L). Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) did not dissolve hnRNPA1 hydrogels but weakened them by ~40%–50% (Figures 4K and 4L). This effect was specific as GFP did not alter G’ and G” (Figures 4N and 4O). Kapβ2(W460A/W730A) may weaken hnRNPA1 hydrogels by disrupting the underlying fibrill network.
Figure 4. Kapβ2 Dissolves FUS and hnRNPA1 Hydrogels

(A) Macrophscopic hydrogel formed by FUS (240 μM). Scale bar, 1 mm.

(B) EM of FUS hydrogel treated with buffer reveals a fibrillar network that is disrupted by Kapβ2. Molar ratio of Kapβ2:FUS = 0.675. Scale bar, 0.1 μm.

(C and D) Storage modulus (C) and loss modulus (D) of FUS hydrogels treated with buffer or Kapβ2. Molar ratio of Kapβ2:FUS = 0.675. Values are means ± SEM.

(E and F) Storage modulus (E) and loss modulus (F) of FUS hydrogels treated with buffer or Kapβ2W460A:W730A. Molar ratio of Kapβ2W460A:W730A:FUS = 0.415. Values are means ± SEM.

(G) FUS hydrogels were treated with buffer, Kapβ2, or Kapβ2W460A:W730A. Molar ratio of Kapβ2/Kapβ2W460A:W730A:FUS = 0.563.

(H) FUS1–214 hydrogels were treated with Kapβ2. Molar ratio of Kapβ2:FUS1–214 = 0.15.

(I) Macrophoscopic hydrogel formed by hnRNPA1 (3.1 mM). Scale bar, 1 mm.

(J) EM of solid-like hnRNPA1 gels treated with buffer reveals fibrillar network (left) that is disrupted by Kapβ2 (right). Molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1 = 0.13. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

(K and L) Storage modulus (K) and loss modulus (L) of hnRNPA1 gels treated with buffer, Kapβ2, or Kapβ2W460A:W730A. Molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1 = 0.13. Values are means ± SEM.

(M) Solid-like hnRNPA1 gels were treated with Kapβ2. Note partial disassembly of hnRNPA1 hydrogel by Kapβ2 over time (indicated by the bracket). Molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1 = 0.13.

(N and O) Storage modulus (N) and loss modulus (O) of hnRNPA1 gels treated with buffer or GFP. Molar ratio of GFP:hnRNPA1 = 0.27. Values are means ± SEM.

(legend continued on next page)
by breaking intermolecular contacts, an activity that enables Kap2 to traverse the nuclear pore (Schmidt and Görlich, 2016). Thus, an ability to break intermolecular contacts and bind the cargo PY-NLS enables Kap2 to dismantle strong gels formed by RBPs with a PY-NLS.

hnRNPA1D262V rapidly formed hydrogels overnight and at lower concentrations than hnRNPA1. Indeed, hnRNPA1D262V only briefly populated a liquid phase (Molliex et al., 2015). Kap2 was unable to visibly dissolve hnRNPA1D262V hydrogels. Nonetheless, even with hnRNPA1D262V in excess, Kap2 severely weakened the hydrogel as G’ and G” declined by ~82%–88% (Figures 4P and 4Q). Indeed, Kap2 converted strong hnRNPA1D262V gels that could not be pipetted to weak gels that were readily pipetted. Thus, MSP-linked hnRNPA1D262V forms hydrogels that are stronger and more difficult to reverse, which may underlie MSP pathogenesis. Nonetheless, Kap2 liquefied solid-like hnRNPA1D262V gels and thus reverses aberrant phase transitions.

Kap2 was unable to dissolve hydrogels formed by hnRNPA1G274A:P288A:Y289A, which bears PY-NLS mutations that reduce Kap2 binding (Lee et al., 2006). Nonetheless, Kap2 weakened this structure by ~57% (Figures 4R and 4S). Thus, Kap2 weakens hydrogels formed by RBPs that lack a PY-NLS, but weakens, liquefies, and dissolves hydrogels formed by RBPs with a PY-NLS.

Kap2 Reduces FUS Accumulation in SGs

Many RBPs with PrLDs become concentrated in SGs, which are cytoplasmic RNP granules that form upon stress. Elevated local concentrations of RBPs with PrLDs in SGs accentuates the risk of aberrant phase transitions and pathological fibrilization. Thus, restricting accumulation of RBPs with PrLDs in SGs could be neuroprotective (March et al., 2016). In stressed human cells, Kap2 is recruited to SGs (Figure S5C). Elevating Kap2 expression did not inhibit SG formation as the SG assembly factor, G3BP1, still formed SGs (Figures 5E and S5D). However, increased Kap2 expression inhibited FUS accumulation in SGs, whereas Kap2W460A:W730A did not (Figures 5E and 5F). Deletion of the FUS PY-NLS prevented Kap2 from inhibiting FUS accumulation in SGs (Figures 5G and S5D). Kap2, but not Kap2W460A:W730A, reduced accumulation of ALS-linked FUSR521K, FUSR521C, and FUSR521H in SGs (Figures 5G and S5D). Thus, Kap2 prevents FUS accumulation in SGs but not SG biogenesis.

Did Kap2 prevent FUS accumulation in SGs indirectly by increasing FUS nuclear import? To answer this question, we used a Kap2 mutant, Kap2ΔH8, which harbors a truncated H8 loop. Kap2ΔH8 engages PY-NLS-bearing cargo with high affinity, but the truncated H8 loop prevents RanGTP from releasing bound cargo thus disabling nuclear import (Chook et al., 2002). Like Kap2, Kap2ΔH8 prevented and reversed FUS fibrilization (Figures S5E and S5F). Thus, Kap2ΔH8 chaperones and disaggregates FUS, but is defective in nuclear import (Chook et al., 2002). Like Kap2, Kap2ΔH8 prevented FUS accumulation in SGs (Figures S5E and S5F). Thus, Kap2 prevents FUS accumulation in SGs directly and not indirectly via increased nuclear import of FUS.

Could Kap2 reverse FUS accumulation in SGs in human cells? To answer this question, we expressed ALS-linked FUSR521C for 24 hr, by which time ~12% of cells have FUSR521C in SGs (Figure S5G). We then expressed Kap2 or Kap2ΔH8 for 24 hr, after which only ~5.3% of cells had FUSR521C in SGs, whereas GFP or Kap2W460A:W730A had no effect (Figure S5G). Thus, Kap2 and Kap2ΔH8 can selectively extract FUSR521C from SGs.

Next, we used human neural progenitor cells edited with CRISPR/Cas9 to express endogenous levels of FUS or ALS-linked FUSR521H. Here, Kap2 reduced accumulation of FUS and FUSR521H-positive SGs, whereas Kap2W460A:W730A was less effective (Figures S6A and S6B). Thus, Kap2 antagonizes accumulation of FUS and FUSR521H in SGs in diverse cell types.

(P and Q) Storage modulus (P) and loss modulus (Q) of hnRNPA1D262V gels treated with buffer or Kap2. Molar ratio of Kap2:hnRNPA1D262V = 0.76. Values are means ± SEM.

(R and S) Storage modulus (R) and loss modulus (S) of hnRNPA1G274A:P288A:Y289A gels treated with buffer or Kap2. Molar ratio of Kap2:hnRNPA1G274A:P288A:Y289A = 0.13. Values are means ± SEM.

See also Figure S4 and Movies S1, S2, S3, and S4.
Figure 5. Kapβ2 Antagonizes RBP Phase Transitions and Toxicity In Vivo

(A and B) Fluorescence microscopy of yeast expressing indicated GFP-tagged RBP and Kapβ2 or vector control. Hoechst staining marks nuclei (blue). Scale bar, 2 μm (A). The percentage of cells with RBP in the nucleus and lacking cytoplasmic RBP foci is shown (B). Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(C) Fluorescence microscopy of yeast expressing GFP-FUS from the copper promoter for 2 hr (upper panel) that were shifted to galactose media without copper for 3 hr to induce Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A:W730A and switch off FUS (lower two panels). Hoechst staining marks nuclei (blue).

(D) Quantification of (C) and an additional condition where GFP-FUS was expressed from the copper promoter for the first 2 hr and then Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A:W730A expression was induced for 3 hr without switching off FUS. The percentage of cells with FUS in the nucleus and lacking cytoplasmic FUS foci is shown. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3).

(E) HeLa cells were transfected with HA-FUS plus GFP, GFP-Kapβ2, GFP-Kapβ2W460A:W730A, or GFP-Kapβ2TL. Cells were treated with 0.5 mM sodium arsenite for 60 min, and immunostained with anti-HA, anti-G3BP1, and DAPI. Yellow arrows denote FUS-positive SGs. Cells at pre- (-Ars) and 60 min post-arsenite treatment (+Ars) are shown. White arrowheads denote FUS-positive SGs in cells that do not express GFP-Kapβ2WT or GFP-Kapβ2TL. Scale bar, 10 μm.
Kapβ2 Buffers FUS Toxicity
Expression of FUS or ALS-linked FUS<sup>R521H</sup> in human HEK293T cells causes toxicity (Sun et al., 2011). This toxicity was reduced by Kapβ2 but not Kapβ2<sup>W460A/W730G</sup> (Figures 5H and S6C). Thus, elevating Kapβ2 expression in human cells mitigates FUS and FUS<sup>R521H</sup> toxicity.

Kapβ2 Restores Expression of FUS mRNA Targets in ALS-Patient Fibroblasts
Ideally, Kapβ2 would restore any loss of FUS function by returning FUS to the nucleus where FUS regulates pre-mRNA splicing and gene expression (Harrison and Shorter, 2017). Thus, we increased Kapβ2 expression in fibroblasts from three control individuals with WT FUS and three ALS patients with FUS<sup>R521H</sup> (Figure S6D). In FUS<sup>R521H</sup> ALS-patient fibroblasts, expression of three FUS mRNA targets, TPST2, WNT5A, and ITGA3, was decreased ~2-fold compared to controls (Figure S6E). In FUS<sup>R521H</sup> ALS-patient fibroblasts, Kapβ2 restored TPST2, WNT5A, and ITGA3 expression back to control levels (Figure S6E). Thus, increasing Kapβ2 expression could combat FUS loss of function in ALS/FTD.

Reducing Kapβ2 Enhances FUS-Linked Neurodegeneration in Drosophila
Does reducing Kapβ2 levels enhance FUS-linked neurodegeneration in vivo? To answer this question, we used Drosophila where expression of ALS-linked FUS variants recapitulates several ALS phenotypes, including locomotion defects, neurodegeneration, cytoplasmic FUS mislocalization and aggregation, and reduced lifespan (Daigle et al., 2013). We expressed FUS, FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, FUS<sup>R525L</sup>, or FUS<sup>R518K</sup> in the fly eye, which causes a rough eye phenotype and neurodegeneration (Figure S7A). FUS induces a slight rough eye phenotype, whereas FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, FUS<sup>R525L</sup>, and FUS<sup>R518K</sup> are more severe (Figures S7A and S7B). We reduced Kapβ2 expression by ~60%–80% using RNAi in two independent lines (Figure S7C), which enhanced degeneration caused by FUS, FUS<sup>R521H</sup> and FUS<sup>R518K</sup>, but not FUS<sup>R525L</sup> (Figures S7A and S7B). Thus, Kapβ2 mitigates FUS, FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, and FUS<sup>R518K</sup> toxicity in the animal nervous system.

Elevating Kapβ2 Rescues FUS-Linked Neurodegeneration and Lifespan in Drosophila
We next tested whether elevated Kapβ2 concentrations mitigated FUS<sup>R521H</sup>-mediated motor neuron degeneration in fly (Daigle et al., 2013). Expression of FUS<sup>R521H</sup> in adult motor neurons reduced mean fly lifespan from ~48.6 days to ~9.2 days (Figure 5I). Co-expression of Kapβ2 in adult motor neurons increased lifespan to ~18.6 days, whereas co-expression of GFP had no effect (Figure 5I). Thus, Kapβ2 mitigates FUS<sup>R521H</sup> motor-neuron toxicity in vivo.

Kapβ2 Prevents hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 Accumulation in SGs
We extended our studies to hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 in human cells. Increased expression of Kapβ2 but not Kapβ2<sup>W460A/W730G</sup> reduced SG-associated hnRNPA1 (Figures 6A and 6B) and hnRNPA2 (Figures 6C and 6D) without affecting SG biogenesis. Thus, Kapβ2 also restricts hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 accumulation in SGs.

Kapβ2 Rescues hnRNPA2-Linked Muscle Degeneration in Drosophila
Finally, we tested whether Kapβ2 protects against hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup>-mediated degeneration in a MSP-relevant tissue, i.e., muscle, in an intact animal. MSP-hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> most commonly presents with inclusion body myopathy (Kim et al., 2013). Hence, we tested whether Kapβ2 mitigates muscle degeneration caused by MSP-linked hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> in fly.

When expressed in fly indirect flight muscles, hnRNPA2 caused mild disruption of muscle fiber organization and partial wasting revealed by staining F-actin with Texas Red-phalloidin (Figure 7A, white arrows). hnRNPA2 was mostly nuclear and detergent soluble (Figures 7B and 7C). By contrast, MSP-linked hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> caused severe muscle degeneration with disorganization and loss of muscle fibers (Figure 7A, white arrows). hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> exhibited extensive cytoplasmic mislocalization and aggregation (Figure 7B, white arrows), nuclear exclusion (Figure 7B, yellow arrowheads), and detergent insolubility (Figure 7C). Kapβ2 reduced disruption of muscle fibers and partial wasting caused by hnRNPA2 and prevented hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup>-driven muscle degeneration (Figure 7A). Indeed, flies now had normal muscles comparable to non-transgenic controls (Figure 7A).

Kapβ2 reduced the amount of hnRNPA2 and hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> in detergent-insoluble (i.e., urea soluble) fractions by ~6-fold and ~2.2-fold, respectively (Figure 7C). Kapβ2 mildly reduced hnRNPA2 and hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> expression (Figure 7D). This effect of Kapβ2 on hnRNPA2 and hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> expression is specific as RNAi of fly Kapβ2 increased hnRNPA2 and hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> expression (Figure S7D). This increase in hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> is accompanied by a ~1.4-fold increase of hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> in the detergent-insoluble fraction (Figure S7E). Thus, Kapβ2 inhibits hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> aggregation. Indeed, Kapβ2 reduced cytoplasmic hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> aggregation, restored hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup> to the nucleus, and

(F) Quantification of (E). The percentage of transfected cells with FUS-positive SGs is shown. ***p < 0.001 two-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3–4).
(G) HeLa cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-Kapβ2 together with HA-FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, HA-FUS<sup>R521C</sup>, HA-FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, or HA-FUS<sup>A52L/S</sup>. Cells were fixed and immunostained as in (E). The percentage of transfected cells with FUS-positive SGs is shown. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by two-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. Values are means ± SEM (n = 6).
(h) Kapβ2 but not Kapβ2<sup>W460A/W730G</sup> suppressed FUS and FUS<sup>R521H</sup> toxicity in HEK293T cells. Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay. Values are means ± SEM (n = 7). One-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test was used to compare the control (gray) to other conditions (** denotes p < 0.0001).
(i) Kapβ2 but not GFP mitigated shortened lifespan of flies expressing FUS<sup>R521H</sup> in motor neurons. A log rank test for trend was used to test for differences between survival curves and a log rank Mantel Cox test for pairwise comparisons between FUS<sup>R521H</sup> versus FUS<sup>W521H</sup> plus Kapβ2 and FUS<sup>R521H</sup> plus GFP versus FUS<sup>R521H</sup> plus Kapβ2. All revealed significant differences with p < 0.001. See also Figures S5, S6, and S7. 
reduced hnRNPA2<sup>D290V</sup>-induced muscle degeneration (Figures 7A and 7B). Thus, Kap<sub>b</sub>2 could have therapeutic utility in MSP.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we establish that NIRs reverse deleterious fibrillization and aberrant phase transitions of disease-linked RBPs with PrLDs by engaging their NLSs (Figure 7E). Thus, NIRs do not merely function in nuclear import and are not merely chaperones (Jäkel et al., 2002; Milles et al., 2013). Rather, they perform additional functions in reversing aberrant phase transitions and disaggregating their cargo in the cytoplasm. We establish that NIRs also selectively extract their cargos from condensed liquid phases thereby regulating functional phase separation. Collectively, these activities enable NIRs to shape the contents and architecture of cytoplasmic membraneless organelles. Thus, NIRs profoundly...
Figure 7. Kapβ2 Reduces hnRNPA2D290V Aggregation and Toxicity In Vivo

(A) Adult flies were dissected to expose the dorsal longitudinal indirect flight muscle and stained with Texas red-phalloidin (red) to label F-actin in muscle fibers and DAPI (blue) to mark nuclei. Flies expressing hnRNPA2 under control of the MHC-Gal4 driver showed mild degeneration indicated by partial wasting of muscle fibers (white arrows), hnRNPA2D290V caused severe muscle degeneration indicated by shrinking and loss of muscle fibers (white arrows). Muscle degeneration was rescued by Kapβ2 and resulted in muscles that were similar to non-transgenic (NTG) controls. Scale bar, 0.2 mm.

(B) hnRNPA2 localizes to nuclei, whereas hnRNPA2D290V accumulates in cytoplasmic inclusions (white arrows). hnRNPA2D290V is excluded from the nucleus (yellow arrowhead). Kapβ2 reduces cytoplasmic aggregation and restores hnRNPA2D290V to the nucleus. Scale bar, 20 μm.

(C) Thoraces of adult flies were dissected and sequentially extracted to examine hnRNPA2 solubility. Actin serves as a loading control.

(D) Thoraces of adult flies were processed for immunoblot with antibodies against GFP and hnRNPA2. Actin serves as a loading control. Quantification of hnRNPA2 levels is shown. Values are means ± SD (n = 2).

(legend continued on next page)
influence cellular organization beyond their canonical role in nuclear import.

Imps and Kapβ1 engage the cNLS to prevent and reverse TDP-43 fibrillization, whereas Kapβ2 engages PY-NLSs to prevent and reverse FUS, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, and hnRNPA2 fibrillation. Kapβ2 prevents and reverses FUS LLPS (Hofweber et al., 2018; Yoshizawa et al., 2018; in this issue of Cell). Kapβ2 also reverses aberrant phase transitions of FUS and hnRNPA1 that result in fibrillar hydrogels, which are linked to neurodegeneration (Moliex et al., 2015; Murakami et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015). This potent disaggregation activity of NIRs extends to disease-linked RBP variants such as TDP-43G331K, FUSR521H, hnRNPA1D262V, and hnRNPA2D290V. Even FUSP525L, which binds Kapβ2 with reduced affinity (Zhang and Chook, 2012), could be chaperoned and disaggregated by high concentrations of Kapβ2. Nonetheless, deletion of the NLS from RBPs reduced NIR chaperone or disaggregation activity. Thus, the NLS is not simply a signal that promotes nuclear import. Rather, the NLS is an anti-aggregation and disaggregation signal, which ensures nuclear cargo is chaperoned and disaggregated in the cytoplasm. Notably, ~75% of human PrLD-containing proteins harbor a cNLS or PY-NLS. Thus, NIRs likely function broadly to antagonize aberrant phase transitions of proteins with PrLDs.

A major therapeutic goal in ALS/FTD is to restore nuclear function of RBPs such as FUS that are mislocalized to cytoplasmic aggregates in degenerating neurons. FUS fibril dissolution by Kapβ2 yields soluble Kapβ2:FUS complexes, which are competent for nuclear transport. Upon arrival in the nucleus, RanGTP completes the disaggregation reaction by releasing FUS from Kapβ2. FUS can then perform its nuclear function and Kapβ2 can be recycled to catalyze further rounds of chaperone, disaggregation, and transport activity. Thus, NIRs provide a therapeutic strategy to dissolve cytoplasmic RBP aggregates and restore nuclear RBP localization and function. Indeed, Kapβ2 mitigated FUS loss of function in ALS-patient fibroblasts.

Kapβ2 must initially engage the PY-NLS to disaggregate RBP fibrils. This binding can enable Kapβ2 to extract individual RBPs from fibrils via entropic pulling. However, unlike Kapβ2, an antibody that binds the FUS PY-NLS is unable to dissolve FUS fibrils, arguing against simple versions of this model. The PrLD engages in cross-β contacts that maintain RBP fibrils (Murray et al., 2017). If the PY-NLS is not in the PrLD, then Kapβ2 rapidly disaggregates fibrils as with FUS, TAF15, and EWSR1. Kapβ2 binding to the PY-NLS may elicit a long-range allosteric conformational change in the PrLD that breaks cross-β fibril contacts. Alternatively, initial PY-NLS binding may enable Kapβ2 to engage secondary binding sites in the FUS PrLD and rapidly disrupt contacts that maintain fibril integrity (Yoshizawa et al., 2018).

If the PY-NLS is located in the PrLD, then Kapβ2 slowly disaggregates fibrils as with hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2. Here, Kapβ2 binding to the PY-NLS breaks contacts that hold the fibril together. However, accessing the PY-NLS in hnRNPA1 or hnRNPA2 fibrils is likely more difficult because it is sequestered from solvent in the cross-β fibril core (Xiang et al., 2015). Nonetheless, thermal fluctuations may enable Kapβ2 to access the PY-NLS to slowly drive disaggregation of hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibrils.

Fibril-dissolution activity enables Kapβ2 to dissolve macroscopic hydrogels formed by RBP fibrils. Kapβ2 breaks multiple, weak hydrophobic contacts in hydrogels formed by FG-repeat rich nucleoporins to cross the nuclear pore during nuclear transport (Schmidt and Görlich, 2016). NIRs carry multiple binding-pockets for FG-repeat-rich nucleoporins (Schmidt and Görlich, 2016). This multivalency equips NIRs to break multiple transient intermolecular contacts between FG-repeat-rich nucleoporins, thus enabling specific and rapid passage across the nuclear pore. We suggest this multivalency also enables NIRs to rapidly break intermolecular contacts that maintain RBP liquids or RBP fibrils in gel phases (Monahan et al., 2017; Murray et al., 2017; Yoshizawa et al., 2018). This Kapβ2 activity weakens hydrogels formed by PrLD-containing RBPs without a functional PY-NLS, but when coupled to tight PY-NLS binding induces gel dissolution.

Kapβ2 inhibits seeded fibrillation of RBPs, which could prevent pathological spreading by prion-like conformers. Kapβ2 also dissolves RBP fibrils and reverses aberrant phase transitions of RBPs, which could be neuroprotective. Indeed, elevating Kapβ2 expression antagonized motor-neuron degeneration and extended lifespan in flies expressing ALS-linked FUSR521H. Likewise, Kapβ2 antagonized muscle degeneration caused by MSP-linked hnRNPA2D290V in fly. Increased NIR expression also buffers toxicity caused by C9orf72 dipeptide-repeat proteins (Kim and Taylor, 2017). In neurodegenerative diseases, NIRs may become overwhelmed and fail to counter excessive TDP-43, FUS or hnRNPA1 aggregation. Indeed, NIR expression is reduced in ALS/FTD patient brains (Kim and Taylor, 2017; Nishimura et al., 2010). Arginine methylation adjacent to the PY-NLS weakens in ALS/FTD patient brains (Kim and Taylor, 2017). Thus, small molecules or other methods to increase NIR expression could be valuable therapeutics for several fatal neurodegenerative disorders.

Specific ALS-linked mutations such as FUSP525L, FUSR495X, and hnRNPA1P288S also weaken Kapβ2 binding (Lee et al., 2006; Zhang and Chook, 2012). We envision engineering Kapβ2 with enhanced disaggregation activity against these mutant RBPs. Engineered Kapβ2 variants would bind to FUSP525L with an affinity similar to the WT Kapβ2:FUS interaction and could be delivered as therapeutics for juvenile ALS caused by FUSP525L. Likewise, small molecules that increase the affinity of Kapβ2 for FUSP525L to WT levels could be therapeutic for ALS-FUSP525L.

Finally, we have established that NLSs function as disaggregation signals. It will be enlightening to determine whether

(E) In ALS/FTD, nuclear RBPs with PrLDs mislocalize to the cytoplasm and upon stress localize to SGs where they undergo aberrant phase transitions to pathological fibrils. Upresulating Kapβ2 reverses aberrant phase transitions and solubilizes aggregated RBPs. Once solubilized, Kapβ2 transports RBPs back to the nucleus where RanGTP dissociates Kapβ2:RBP complexes enabling RBPs to perform nuclear functions and Kapβ2 to be recycled to catalyze further rounds of disaggregation.

See also Figure S7.
signal-dependent disaggregation activity extends to other transport factors that recognize short linear motifs such as signal-recognition particles (Jaru-Ampompan et al., 2010), peroxisomal-import factors, tail-anchored-protein targeting factors, and nuclear-export factors. These agents may reverse aberrant phase transitions in diverse settings.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-HA (C29F4)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>Cat# 3724; RRID: AB_1549585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-eIF4F (H300)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-11373; RRID: AB_2095750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (FL)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-8334 RRID:AB_641123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Actin antibody</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-1616-R; RRID: AB_630834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-GAPDH antibody</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# G9545 RRID:AB_796208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-G3BP1</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>Cat# 611126; RRID: AB_398437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Flag (M2)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# F3165; RRID:AB_259529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-hnRNPA2B1 (EF-67)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-53531 RRID:AB_2248245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# R37119 RRID:AB_2556547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
<td>Cat# A31573 RRID:AB_2536183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# R37115 RRID:AB_2556543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 647 Phalloidin</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# A22287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Red-X Phalloidin</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# T7471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-GFP antibody</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat# sc-9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-FUS rabbit polyclonal antibody</td>
<td>Bethyl</td>
<td>Cat# A300-302A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-FUS rabbit polyclonal antibody</td>
<td>Novus</td>
<td>Cat# NB100-562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Kap β monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Novus</td>
<td>Cat# NB600-1397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescently labeled anti-mouse secondary antibodies</td>
<td>Li-Cor</td>
<td>Cat# 926-32210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescently labeled anti-rabbit secondary antibodies</td>
<td>Li-Cor</td>
<td>Cat# 926-68071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-FUS</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat# 11570-1-AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy3 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson immunoresearch</td>
<td>Cat# 715-165-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BL21 DE3 cells</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>Cat# 200131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BL21 DE3 (RIL) cells</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>Cat# 230245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BL21 Star cells</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# C6010-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcTEV Protease</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 12575015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firefly luciferase</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# L-9506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsc70</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>Cat# ADI-SPP-751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hdj1</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>Cat# ADI-SPP-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine kinase</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat# 12003920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat# 21335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce NeutrAvidin UltraLink Resin</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat# 53150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-NTA agarose</td>
<td>QiAGEN</td>
<td>Cat# 30230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 15338100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FuGENE 6</td>
<td>Promega</td>
<td>Cat# E2691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Arsenite Solution</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# 35000-1L-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# P36931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
### Critical Commercial Assays

- **HyClone Growth Factor Reduced Fetal Bovine Serum**: Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# HFSF-10296010
- **Human Epidermal Growth Factor**: Sigma-Aldrich Cat# E9644
- **Supplemental Growth Factor**: Stemgent Cat# 03-0002
- **Critical Commercial Assays**: CytoTox-ONE kit Promega Cat# G7890
- **Critical Commercial Assays**: Rat Neural Stem Cell Nucleofector Kit (25 RCT) Lonza Cat# VPG-1005
- **Critical Commercial Assays**: High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with RNase Inhibitor Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 4374966
- **Critical Commercial Assays**: QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit Agilent Cat# 210518

### Experimental Models: Cell Lines

- **Human: HEK293T**: ATCC Cat# CRL-3216
- **Human: HeLa**: ATCC Cat# CCL-2; RRID:CVCL_0030
- **ReNcell VM Human Neural Progenitor Cell Line**: Millipore Sigma Cat# SCC008

### Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains

- **D. melanogaster**: RNAi-TK; P{KK108990} Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center Stock # v6543 and v6544
- **D. melanogaster**: RNAi-TK; P{KK108990} Vienna Drosophila Resource Center VDRC: 60100
- **Human: HEK293T**: Sun et al., 2011 N/A
- **D. melanogaster**: RNAi of Tnpo (http://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0024921); P(KK108990) Vienna Drosophila Resource Center VDRC: 105181; FlyBase: FBgn0033507
- **D. melanogaster**: UAS-hnRNPA2 D290V Kim et al., 2013 N/A
- **D. melanogaster**: UAS-hnRNPA2 WT Kim et al., 2013 N/A
- **D. melanogaster**: UAS-LacZ; P[w+mc] = UAS-lacZ.B)Bg4-2-4b Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center BDSC: 1777
- **D. melanogaster**: UAS-GFP-Kapj2 This paper N/A
- **D. melanogaster**: UAS-GFP-Kapj2 This paper N/A
- **BY4741 yeast (MATa his3 leu2 met15 ura3)** Sun et al., 2011 N/A
- **HA-FUSR521H**: Lanson et al., 2011 N/A
- **UAS-Kajl2 RNAi**: Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center Stock # v6543 and v6544
- **UAS-Kajl2 overexpression**: This paper N/A

### Oligonucleotides

- **See Table S1 for Primers**: IDT N/A

### Recombinant DNA

- **GST-TEV-FUS**: Sun et al., 2011 N/A
- **GST-TEV-His-FUS**: This paper N/A

---

(Continued on next page)
Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-FUS&lt;sup&gt;1-214&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;R234L&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;R495X&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;P525L&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;R521C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;R517Q&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV- FUS&lt;sup&gt;R521H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-FUS&lt;sup&gt;D498-526&lt;/sup&gt; (FUS&lt;sup&gt;NLS&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TDP-43,</td>
<td>Johnson et al., 2009</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TDP-43&lt;sup&gt;G31K&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Johnson et al., 2009</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TDP-43&lt;sup&gt;188-414&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TDP-43&lt;sup&gt;379-98&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-hnRNPA1</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-hnRNPA1&lt;sup&gt;D268-289&lt;/sup&gt; (hnRNPA1&lt;sup&gt;NLS&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-hnRNPA2</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-hnRNPA2&lt;sup&gt;D293-319&lt;/sup&gt; (hnRNPA2&lt;sup&gt;NLS&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TAF15</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TAF15&lt;sup&gt;G391E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TAF15&lt;sup&gt;R408C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-TAF15&lt;sup&gt;A572-592&lt;/sup&gt; (TAF15&lt;sup&gt;NLS&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-EWSR1</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-EWSR1&lt;sup&gt;G511A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-EWSR1&lt;sup&gt;P552L&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Couthouis et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-EWSR1&lt;sup&gt;A630-655&lt;/sup&gt; (EWSR1&lt;sup&gt;NLS&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsp104&lt;sup&gt;ASO3S&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Jackrel et al., 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apg2</td>
<td>Shorter, 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sse1</td>
<td>Shorter, 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ssa1</td>
<td>Shorter, 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ydj1</td>
<td>Shorter, 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-Kapβ2</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-Kapβ2&lt;sup&gt;W460A/W730A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-TEV-Kapβ2&lt;sup&gt;TL&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impβ2</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapβ1</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ran&lt;sup&gt;Q63L&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDONR221-Kapβ2</td>
<td>Gideon Dreyfuss</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAG413Gal-Kapβ2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYES2CT/GFP-FUS</td>
<td>Gregory Petsko; Ju et al., 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p426CUP-GFP-FUS</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAG413Gal-Kapβ2&lt;sup&gt;W460A/W730A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYES2CT/GFP-FUS&lt;sup&gt;R495X&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYES2CT/GFP-FUS&lt;sup&gt;R521C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYES2CT/GFP-FUS&lt;sup&gt;R521H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYES2CT/GFP-FUS&lt;sup&gt;P525L&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact James Shorter (jshorter@pennmedicine.upenn.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAIL

Yeast Strains and Media
BY4741 yeast cells (MATa his3 leu2 met15 ura3) were grown in rich media (YPD) or in synthetic media lacking L-histidine and uracil and containing 2% glucose (SD/-His-Ura), raffinose (SRaf/-His-Ura), or galactose (SGal/-His-Ura).

Cell Culture
Human HEK293T cells (female) and HeLa cells (female) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% L-glutamate.

Primary and immortalized fibroblasts from 3 healthy individuals (one 49-year-old female, one 50-year old male, and one 60-year old male) and 3 ALS patients (one 36-year old female, one 41-year old female, and one 70-year old male) harboring a FUS R521H mutation were grown in high-glucose DMEM/F12 (Thermofisher Scientific) supplemented with 20% (vol/vol) tetracycline-free FBS (Sigma), 1% (vol/vol) penicillin/streptomycin (Thermofisher Scientific). Fibroblasts were derived from skin biopsies after patients provided written informed consent at the VIB-KU Leuven and all experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Massachusetts General Hospital.

Isogenic ReNcell VM human neural progenitors (male) were maintained in high-glucose DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher Scientific) media supplemented with 2 μg.mL⁻¹ heparin (StemCell Technologies, #07980), 2% (v/v) B27 neuronal supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific, #17500-0404), 20 μg.mL⁻¹ hEGF (Sigma-Aldrich, #E9644), 20 μg.mL⁻¹ bFGF (Stemgent, #03-0002) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific) and were plated onto Matrigel (BD Biosciences)-coated cell culture 24-well format coverslips.
Drosophila maintenance, strains, and genetics

Fly lines were maintained on standard food using standard methods as described previously (Lanson et al., 2011). UAS-Kaβ2 RNAi strains (stock # v6543 and v6544) were obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Centre (VDRC). UAS-HA-FUS strains have been previously described (Lanson et al., 2011). UAS-Kaβ2 strains were made using random integration of plasmids pUAST-Kaβ2. Microinjection of these plasmids was performed by BestGene.

Flies expressing wild-type hnRNPA2 and D290V mutant form of hnRNPA2 have been described previously (Kim et al., 2013). The GFP-tagged wild-type Karyopherin-β2 cDNAs were subcloned into the pUASTattB plasmid, using restriction sites NotI and XbaI, creating pUASTattB-wild-type GFP-Karyopherin-β2. Files carrying pUASTattB transgenes were generated by a standard injection and QCl integrase-mediated transgenesis technique. To express a transgene in muscles, Mhc-Gal4 was used (Kim et al., 2013). All Drosophila stocks were maintained in a 25°C incubator with a 12 h day/night cycle.

METHODS DETAILS

Protein purification

All proteins were expressed and purified from E. coli BL21–CodonPlus(DE3)-RIL cells (Agilent) and purified under native conditions unless otherwise noted. RBP expression constructs were generated in pGST-Duet to contain a TEV-cleavable site, resulting in a GST-TEV-construct. GST-TEV-FUS, GST-TEV-His-FUS, GST-TEV-FUS1-214, GST-TEV-FUSR234L, GST-TEV-FUSR495X, GST-TEV-FUSP525L, GST-TEV-FUSR261C, GST-TEV-FUSH517Q, GST-TEV-FUSH621H, and GST-TEV-FUSG498-526 (FUS4NLS) were purified as described (Sun et al., 2011). E.coli cells were lysed by sonication on ice in PBS with protease inhibitors (cOmplete, EDTA-free, Roche Applied Science). The protein was purified over Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) and eluted from the beads using 50mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 20mM trehalose, and 0.5mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). The protein was further purified by ResourceQ anion exchange chromatography. Both anion-exchange steps were performed in 25mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.6, 50mM KCl, 10mM MgCl₂, and 5% glycerol. Apg2 was eluted with a linear 10–500 mM KCl gradient.

Ssa1 were expressed as N-terminally His-tagged protein. Cells were lysed by sonication in 40mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.4, 5mM EDTA, 300mM KCl, 1mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 5 μM pepstatin, 10mM/Liter RNase A and protease inhibitors (cOmplete, EDTA-free, Roche Applied Science). The protein was purified over Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) and eluted from the beads using 40mM HEPES–KOH (pH 7.4), 150mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 20mM reduced glutathione. GST-TEV-TAF15, GST-TEV-TAF15ΔG391E, GST-TEV-TAF15 R408C, GST-TEV-TAF15 268-289 (hnRNPA1 4NLS), GST-TEV-hnRNPA2, GST-TEV-hnRNPA2Δ293-319 (hnRNPA2 4NLS) were purified as described (Kim et al., 2013). For GST-TEV-TAF15, GST-TEV-hnRNPA1, and GST-TEV-hnRNPA2, E.coli cells were lysed by sonication on ice in 40mM HEPES–KOH (pH 7.4), 5mM EDTA, 300mM KCl, 1mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 5 μM pepstatin, 10mM/Liter RNase A and protease inhibitors (cOmplete, EDTA-free, Roche Applied Science). The protein was purified over Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) and eluted from the beads using 40mM HEPES–KOH (pH 7.4), 150mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 20mM reduced glutathione. GST-TEV-TAF15, GST-TEV-TAF15ΔG391E, GST-TEV-TAF15ΔR408C, GST-TEV-TAF15ΔS272-592 (TAF15 4NLS) were purified as described (Couthouis et al., 2011). GST-TEV-EWSR1, GST-TEV-EWSR1ΔG511A, GST-TEV-EWSR1PS502L, and GST-TEV-EWSR1AE630-655 (EWSR1 4NLS) were purified as described (Couthouis et al., 2012). These proteins were overexpressed in E. coli BL21 Star (Invitrogen) and eluted from the glutathione sepharose with 50mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 100mM potassium acetate, 200mM trehalose, 0.5mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 20mM glutathione.

Hsp104 and Hsp104ΔKA35 were purified as untagged proteins as described (Jackrel et al., 2014). Cells were harvested, lysed with lysis buffer (50mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 10mM MgCl₂, 2.5% glycerol, 2mM β-mercaptoethanol) supplemented with protease inhibitors, and the protein was purified using Affi-Gel Blue Gel (Bio–Rad). The protein was eluted with elution buffer (50mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1M KCl, 10mM MgCl₂, 2.5% glycerol, 2mM β-mercaptoethanol) and further purified by ResourceQ anion exchange chromatography using running buffer Q (20mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5mM EDTA, 5mM MgCl₂, 50mM NaCl) and eluted with a linear gradient of buffer Q+ (20mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5mM EDTA, 5mM MgCl₂, 1M NaCl). Immediately before loading the column, the protein was diluted to a final concentration of 10% in buffer Q supplemented to 150mM NaCl and loaded onto the column.

Apg2, Sse1, Ssa1, and Ydj1 were purified as described (Shorter, 2011). Briefly, Apg2 was purified via sequential DEAE–Sephrose and DMAE–Sephrose anion exchange chromatography. Both anion-exchange steps were performed in 25mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.6, 50mM KCl, 1mM EDTA, 10mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 10% glycerol. Apg2 was eluted with a linear 55–500 mM KCl gradient. To remove further impurities, purified fractions were pooled, concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation and fractionated via Sephacryl 300 gel filtration in 25mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.6, 50mM KCl, 5mM MgCl₂, plus 5% glycerol. Sse1 was purified with a similar protocol except that the gel filtration step was replaced by a hydroxyapatite column, equilibrated in 10mM K₃H₆PO₄, pH 7.6, 5mM MgCl₂, and 5% glycerol. Sse1 was eluted with a linear 10–500 mM phosphate gradient.

Ssa1 were expressed as an N-terminally His-tagged protein. Cells were lysed by sonication in 40mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.4, 500mM KCl, 20mM MgCl₂, 5% (w/v) glycerol, 20mM imidazole, 5mM ATP, 2mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5μM pepstatin A, and Complete protease inhibitor cocktail. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (40,000 g, 20 min, 4°C), and the supernatant applied to Ni-NTA agarose. The column was then washed with 25 volumes of WB (40mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.4, 150mM KCl, 20mM MgCl₂, 5% (w/v) glycerol, 20mM imidazole, 5mM ATP, and 2mM β-mercaptoethanol), 5 volumes of WB plus 1M KCl, and 25 volumes of WB. Protein was eluted with WB plus 350mM imidazole, and purified further by sucrose gradient (5%–30% w/v in WB) velocity sedimentation. Peak fractions were collected and exchanged into 40mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.4, 150mM KCl, 20mM MgCl₂, 10% (w/v) glycerol, 5mM ATP and 1mM DTT. The His-tag was then removed with His-TEV (Invitrogen), and any uncleaved protein and His-TEV were depleted with Ni-NTA.

Ydj1 was overexpressed in BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells, which were lysed in buffer containing 20mM MOPS, pH 7.5, 0.5mM EDTA, 10mM DTT, and 0.5 mM PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Cleared lysate was loaded onto a DE52 column (Whatman)
equilibrated with buffer containing 20mM MOPS, pH 7.5, 0.5mM EDTA, 10mM DTT, and 0.5 mM PMSF. Ydj1 was eluted with a 0-300 mM NaCl gradient. Peak fractions containing Ydj1 were pooled, dialyzed against buffer containing 5mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, and 10mM DTT and then purified over a hydroxyapatite column. The protein was then eluted with a linear 5-400 mM potassium phosphate gradient and dialyzed against buffer containing 10mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 50mM NaCl, 10mM DTT, and 10% glycerol.

Kap2b and Kap2b\(^{W460A/W730A}\) were purified as described (Lee et al., 2006). Impx and Kap2b were purified as described (Zhang et al., 2011). GST-Importins were expressed individually in BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells, which were lysed in buffer containing 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200mM NaCl, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 2mM DTT, 1mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors. GST-Importins were then purified by affinity chromatography using GSH Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare, UK), eluted, cleaved with TEV protease, and further purified by ion-exchange and gel filtration chromatography in buffer (20mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 200mM NaCl, 2mM DTT, 2mM magnesium acetate, 10% glycerol, and 1mM EGTA).

Ran\(^{GSP}\) was purified and loaded with GTP or GDP as described (Zhang et al., 2011). The protein was expressed in E. coli BL21-DE3 and purified from a French press lysate in buffer (20mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 110mM potassium acetate, 5mM magnesium acetate, 1mM EGTA, 10mM DTT, 20% glycerol) containing 10µM GDP, via sequential Q-HiTrap, SP Hi-Trap and Superdex-75 chromatography (Pharmacia). Hsc70 and Hdj1 were from Enzo Life Sciences. Anti-FUS rabbit polyclonal antibody (NB100-562) was from Novus. HDAC1 was from BPS Bioscience. TEV protease was from Invitrogen. Firefly luciferase was from Sigma.

**Spontaneous fibril assembly—Figure 1, Figure S1**

Spontaneous FUS (and disease-linked variant) fibrillation (i.e., in the absence of preformed fibril seeds) was initiated by addition of TEV protease to GST-TEV-FUS (5µM) in FUS assembly buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 200mM trehalose, 1mM DTT, and 20mM glutathione) (Couthouis et al., 2011, 2012; Sun et al., 2011). Spontaneous FUS fibrillation reactions were incubated at 25°C for 90min without agitation at which time fibrillation was complete with ~100% of FUS in the aggregated state. Spontaneous EWSR1, TAF15, and TDP-43 (and disease-linked variants) fibrillation was initiated by addition of TEV protease to GST-TEV-RBP (5µM) in ETT assembly buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 200mM trehalose, 100mM potassium phosphate, 0.5mM EDTA, and 20mM glutathione). Spontaneous EWSR1, TAF15, TDP-43 fibrillation reactions were incubated at 25°C for 90min with agitation at 700rpm in an Eppendorf Thermomixer at which time fibrillation was complete with ~100% of the RBP in the aggregated state. TDP-43\(^{NLS}\) took longer to fibrillize, and thus was incubated at 25°C for 16h with agitation at 700rpm at which time fibrillation was complete with ~100% of the TDP-43\(^{NLS}\) in the aggregated state. For hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibrillation (and disease-linked variants), GST-TEV-hnRNPA1 or GST-TEV-hnRNPA2 (5µM) were incubated with TEV protease in A1 assembly buffer (40mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1mM DTT, and 20mM glutathione). HnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 fibrillation reactions were incubated at 25°C for 16h with agitation at 1,200rpm in an Eppendorf Thermomixer at which time fibrillation was complete with ~100% of the hnRNP in the aggregated state. No RBP fibrillation occurs in the absence of TEV protease (Couthouis et al., 2011, 2012; Kim et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2011). Spontaneous fibrillation reactions were performed in the presence or absence of the indicated concentration of Kap2b (5µM), Kap2b\(^{W460A/W730A}\) (5µM), or Impx (5µM) plus Kap2b (5µM). To determine the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\), Kap2b was titrated into the above fibrillation reactions from 0.1-20µM. In some reactions, Ran\(^{GSP}\) GDP or Ran\(^{GTP}\)-GTP (25µM) was also present, in which case GDP or GTP (1mM) were also present. In some FUS fibrillation reactions, HDAC1 (5µM or 50µM) or anti-FUS rabbit polyclonal antibody (NB100-562, Novus; 5µM) was also included.

**Seeded fibril assembly—Figures 2 and S2**

For ‘seeded’ assembly reactions, RBP fibrils were freshly prepared for each experiment. Fibril formation was confirmed by EM. These fibrils termed ‘seed’ were added at 5% (wt/wt) at the beginning of the fibrillation reaction. In this study, we only conducted self-seeding reactions, i.e., the primary sequence of the soluble RBP and fibrillar RBP were the same. FUS tends to form large tangles of fibrils, which must be dispersed prior to seeding reactions. Thus, FUS fibrils were formed for 3h as above, and then to separate fibrils an equal volume of high salt buffer (40mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 500mM KCl, 20mM MgCl\(_2\), 10% glycerol, and 1mM DTT) was added and the incubation was continued for 2h. Seeded assembly reactions were performed as above for spontaneous fibrillation except that seeded reactions were not agitated. For experiments in Figure 2H, FUS seeding reactions were incubated at 25°C for 20min, TAF15, EWSR1, hnRNPA2, and TDP-43 seeding reactions were incubated at 25°C for 2h, and hnRNPA1 seeding reactions were incubated at 25°C for 4h. At the end of these seeded fibrillation reactions, minimal fibrillation occurred in the absence of added seed, whereas ~20%-50% of RBP was in the aggregated state in the presence of seed 5% (wt/wt). Seeded fibrillation reactions were performed in the presence or absence of the indicated concentration of Kap2b (5µM), Kap2b\(^{W460A/W730A}\) (5µM), or Impx (5µM) plus Kap2b (5µM). Turbidity was used to assess spontaneous and seeded fibrillation by measuring absorbance at 395nm. The absorbance was then normalized to that of WT RBP plus buffer control to determine the relative extent of aggregation. Alternatively, sedimentation analysis was used to assess spontaneous and seeded fibrillation. Thus, reactions were centrifuged at 16,100 g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatant and pellet fractions were then resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue or processed for immunoblot, and the amount in either fraction (% total) was determined by densitometry in comparison to known quantities of the RBP in question. For electron microscopy, fibrillation reactions (10µl) were adsorbed onto glow-discharged 300-mesh Formvar/carbon coated copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and stained with 2% (w/v)
aqueous uranyl acetate. Excess liquid was removed, and grids were allowed to air dry. Samples were viewed by a JEOL 1010 transmission electron microscope.

**Fibril disassembly—Figures 3 and S3**

RBP fibrils were generated as above via spontaneous fibrillation and used for disassembly reactions. Preformed FUS (or FUS\(^{\text{ALS}}\)) or disease-linked FUS variant), TAF15 (or TAF15\(^{\text{ALS}}\) or disease-linked TAF15 variant), or EWSR1 (or EWSR1\(^{\text{ALS}}\) or disease-linked EWSR1 variant) fibrils (50 nM monomer) were incubated at 25°C with Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM), Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) (5 μM), or Imp\(z^{b}\) (5 μM) plus Kap\(b^{1}\) (5 μM) in the absence or presence of Ran\(^{\text{GTP}}\) or Ran\(^{\text{GDP}}\) (25 μM) for 0–60 min (Figures 3A–3F, S3A–S3C, and S3H). In other experiments, FUS fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C with Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM), HDAC1 (5 or 50 μM), or anti-FUS antibody (5 μM) for 0–60 min (Figure 3H). Alternatively, FUS, TAF15, or EWSR1 fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C with Hsp104 (5 μM) plus Sse1 (a yeast Hsp110; 1 μM), Ssa1 (a yeast Hsp70; 1 μM), and Ydj1 (a yeast Hsp40; 1 μM), Hsp104\(^{\text{W503S}}\) (5 μM) plus Sse1 (1 μM), Ssa1 (1 μM), and Ydj1 (1 μM), or human Hsp110 (Apg2; 5 μM), human Hsp70 (Hsc70; 5 μM), and human Hsp40 (Hdj1; 5 μM) for 0–60 min (Figures S3D–S3F). TDP-43 fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C with Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM), Hsp104\(^{\text{W503S}}\) (5 μM) plus Sse1 (1 μM), Ssa1 (1 μM), and Ydj1 (1 μM), or human Hsp70, 50 μM MgCl\(_2\) (20 mM), ATP (5 mM), and an ATP regeneration system comprised of creatine kinase (0.2 mg/ml) and creatine phosphate (10 mM) were also added. Fibril disassembly was performed without agitation and was assessed by turbidity sedimentation analysis, and EM as described above. To determine the half maximal inhibitory concentration (EC\(_{50}\)), Kap\(2^{b}\) was titrated into the above fibril disassembly reactions from 0–20 μM (Figure 3G). For experiments in Figure 3I, Kap\(2^{b}\) was biotinylated with Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Thermofisher) to achieve 1–2 biotin moieties per Kap\(2^{b}\). Biotinylated Kap\(2^{b}\) (bio-Kap\(2^{b}\)) retained maximal disaggeregase activity. His-FUS fibrils (5 μM monomer) were disassembled with bio-Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM) for 2 h. Neither the His-tag on FUS nor the biotin on Kap\(2^{b}\) affected the ability of Kap\(2^{b}\) to disaggregate FUS fibrils. The soluble disassembly products were then recovered and incubated with Ran\(^{\text{GDP}}\) or Ran\(^{\text{GTP}}\) (25 μM) for 30 min. Soluble disassembled products in the supernatant fraction were then depleted of bio-Kap\(2^{b}\) using Pierce NeutrAvidin UltraLink Resin (Thermofisher) or His-FUS using Ni-NTA agarose (QIAGEN) in the presence or absence of Ran\(^{\text{GDP}}\) or Ran\(^{\text{GTP}}\) (25 μM).

**Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation—Figure S3G**

Luciferase disaggregation and reactivation was performed as described previously (Jackrel et al., 2014). Chemically denatured firefly luciferase aggregates (50 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 90 min with Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM), Hsp104 (5 μM) plus Sse1 (1 μM), Ssa1 (1 μM), and Ydj1 (1 μM), or human Hsp70, 50 μM MgCl\(_2\) (20 mM), ATP (5 mM), and an ATP regeneration system comprised of creatine kinase (0.2 mg/ml) and creatine phosphate (10 mM) were also added. Fibril disassembly was performed without agitation and was assessed by turbidity sedimentation analysis, and EM as described above. To determine the half maximal inhibitory concentration (EC\(_{50}\)), Kap\(2^{b}\) was titrated into the above fibril disassembly reactions from 0–20 μM (Figure 3G). For experiments in Figure 3I, Kap\(2^{b}\) was biotinylated with Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Thermofisher) to achieve 1–2 biotin moieties per Kap\(2^{b}\). Biotinylated Kap\(2^{b}\) (bio-Kap\(2^{b}\)) retained maximal disaggeregase activity. His-FUS fibrils (5 μM monomer) were disassembled with bio-Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM) for 2 h. Neither the His-tag on FUS nor the biotin on Kap\(2^{b}\) affected the ability of Kap\(2^{b}\) to disaggregate FUS fibrils. The soluble disassembly products were then recovered and incubated with Ran\(^{\text{GDP}}\) or Ran\(^{\text{GTP}}\) (25 μM) for 30 min. Soluble disassembled products in the supernatant fraction were then depleted of bio-Kap\(2^{b}\) using Pierce NeutrAvidin UltraLink Resin (Thermofisher) or His-FUS using Ni-NTA agarose (QIAGEN) in the presence or absence of Ran\(^{\text{GDP}}\) or Ran\(^{\text{GTP}}\) (25 μM).

**Liquid droplet formation and disassembly—Figure S4**

FUS liquid droplets were formed by incubating GST-TEV-FUS (10 μM) in the presence or absence of Kap\(2^{b}\) or Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) (10 μM) in FUS assembly buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 200 mM trehalose, 1 mM DTT, and 20 mM glutathione) for 4 hr at 25°C. Protein samples were then spotted onto a coverslip and imaged by Differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. In disassembly experiments, GST-TEV-FUS droplets or GST-TEV-FUS\(^{bW462\text{V}}\) (10 μM monomer) were first formed via incubation for 4 hr at 25°C. Preformed droplets were then incubated with Kap\(2^{b}\) or Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) (10 μM) for 3 min at 25°C and monitored by DIC microscopy.

Viscous hnRNP1 liquids were formed by incubating GST-hnRNP1 (~200 mg/ml) in 40 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 20 mM glutathione on ice for 1 hr. Viscous GST-hnRNP1 liquids were incubated with the indicated amount of Kap\(2^{b}\) or Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) at 4°C for 6 hr before being photographed.

**Hydrogel formation—Figure 4**

To generate hydrogels, GST-FUS\(^{1–214}\) (~60 mg/ml) in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM PMSF, and 10 mM glutathione was incubated on ice for at least one week. GST-FUS (~20–40 mg/ml) in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 200 mM trehalose, and 20 mM glutathione, was incubated on ice for at least one month to form hydrogels. GST-hnRNP1 (~200 mg/ml) in 40 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 20 mM glutathione was incubated on ice for at least one month to form hydrogels. GST-hnRNP1\(^{bD262V}\) (~33 mg/ml) in 40 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 20 mM glutathione was incubated on ice for 24 hr to form hydrogels. For EM, the hydrogel samples were prepared following the same protocol as above but in the cold room. Hydrogels were also removed from tubes and stood on the benchtop for photography.

**Hydrogel disassembly—Figure 4**

All hydrogels were stored at 4°C until use. Buffer (20 mM Imidazole, pH 6.5, 232 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, and 20% glycerol), Kap\(2^{b}\), Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) or GFP was added on top of the hydrogel (40 μl). FUS hydrogels (240 μM monomer, 40 μl) at the bottom of a PCR tube were treated for 0–20 h at 25°C with buffer, Kap\(2^{b}\) (5 μM monomer, 100 μl), or Kap\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\) (45 μM monomer, 120 μl) to give a molar ratio of Kap\(2^{b}/\text{Kap}\(2^{bW460A/W730A}\)=FUS: 0.563. In other experiments, FUS hydrogels (629 μM monomer, 40 μl) were
Yeast Procedures were performed according to standard protocols (Sun et al., 2011). We used the PEG/lithium acetate method to
treat 20h at 25°C with buffer or Kapβ2W460A/W730A (87μM, 120μl) to give a molar ratio of Kapβ2W460A/W730A:FUS = 0.415.
FUS1, FUS2 hydrogels (1.3mM, 40μl) at the bottom of a PCR tube were treated for 20h at 25°C with buffer, Kapβ2 (78μM, 100μl) to give a molar ratio of Kapβ2:FUS1, FUS2 = 0.15. hnRNPA1 gels (3.1mM, 40μl) were treated for 24h at 25°C with buffer, Kapβ2 (78μM, 200μl), or Kapβ2W460A/W730A (78μM, 200μl) to give a molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1 = 0.13. In other experiments, hnRNPA1 gels (1.99mM, 40μl) were treated for 24h at 25°C with buffer or GFP (215μM, 100μl) to give a molar ratio of GFP:hnRNPA1 = 0.27. hnRNPA1D262V gels (0.51mM, 40μl) were treated for 24h at 25°C with buffer or Kapβ2 (78μM, 200μl) to give a molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1D262V = 0.76. Finally, hnRNPA1S274A,P288A,Y289A gels (3mM, 40μl) were treated for 24h at 25°C with buffer or Kapβ2 (78μM, 200μl). Molar ratio of Kapβ2: hnRNPA1S274A,P288A,Y289A = 0.13. Samples were processed for photography, EM, or rheology (see below).

Rheology—Figures 4 and S4
For rheological measurements, the samples were separated into two phases by a short pulse spin, the top liquid phase was removed
by pipetting and the bottom phase was used for rheology. For GST-FUS WT hydrogel, after adding Kapβ2 for 24 hr, only one phase is
observed. Therefore, after the short pulse spin, 40μl sample was taken from the bottom of the tube for rheology measurements. The
viscoelastic properties of each hydrogel were characterized by rheological measurements on an AR2000 rheometer (TA Instruments)
using a cone–plate geometry (20 mm diameter, 59 min 42 s cone angle, and 27 μm gap) (Rodell et al., 2013). To evaluate rheological
properties, material responses to increasing strain were measured with oscillatory strain sweeps from 0.01 to 100% strain at 1 Hz.
The frequency dependence of material properties to the application of strain were measured with oscillatory frequency sweeps from
0.01 to 100 Hz at 0.5% strain. Reported storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') values were averaged value from 5-minute time
sweeps at 4°C, 1 Hz and 0.5% strain, corresponding to the plateau range of the hydrogels examined.

Yeast Procedures
Yeast procedures were performed according to standard protocols (Sun et al., 2011). We used the PEG/lithium acetate method to
transform yeast with plasmid DNA. Yeast cells were grown in rich media (YPD) or in synthetic media lacking L-histidine and uracil and
containing 2% glucose (SD/-His-Ura), raffinose (SRaf/-His-Ura), or galactose (SGal/-His-Ura). A Kapβ2 Gateway entry clone was
generously provided by Gideon Dreyfuss containing full-length human Kapβ2 in pDONR221. A Gateway LR reaction was used to
shuttle Kapβ2 into the Gateway-compatible yeast expression vector pAG413Gal-ccdB. A galactose-inducible GFP-FUS (pYES2CT/GFP-FUS) construct was generously provided by Gregory Petsko (Ju et al., 2011). A copper-inducible GFP-FUS (p426CUP-GFP-FUS) was also generated. QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent) was used to generate mutant plasmids according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mutations were verified by DNA sequencing. The pAG413Gal-Kapβ2 and pYES2CT/GFP-FUS constructs were transformed into BY4741 (MATa his3 leu2 met15 ura3).

Yeast Western Blotting—Figures S5A and S5B
Yeast lysates were extracted after incubation with 0.2M NaOH at room temperature for 5 min. Lysates were then subjected to
Tris-HCl SDS-PAGE (4%–20% gradient, Bio-Rad) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked in
Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR) overnight at 4°C with buffer or Kapβ2 (78μM, 200μl) to give a molar ratio of Kapβ2:buffer
ratio of Kapβ2 = 0.2. Measurements were verified by DNA sequencing. The pAG413Gal-Kapβ2 and pYES2CT/GFP-FUS constructs were transformed into BY4741 (MATa his3 leu2 met15 ura3).

Yeast Fluorescence Microscopy—Figures 5A–5D
For fluorescence microscopy experiments, yeast were grown to mid-log phase in SRaf/-His-Ura media at 30°C. Primary antibody incubations were performed at room temperature for 1-2 h.

Yeast Fluorescence Microscopy—Figures 5A–5D
For fluorescence microscopy experiments, yeast were grown to mid-log phase in SRaf/-His-Ura media at 30°C. Cultures were diluted
to an OD600 of 0.4 in SGal/-His-Ura to induce expression of Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A/W730A and the indicated FUS, EWSR1, hnRNPA1, or hnRNPA2 variant. Cultures were induced with galactose for 4-6 h before being stained with Hoechst dye to visualize nuclei and
processed for microscopy (Sun et al., 2011). In some experiments, yeast were grown overnight at 30°C in SRaf/-His-Ura and then
diluted to OD600 of 0.8 in SRaf/-His-Ura plus 20μM CuSO4 to induce GFP-FUS for 2h at 30°C. Cells were then transferred to
SGal/-His-Ura to induce Kapβ2 for 3h at 30°C. Cultures were then stained with Hoechst dye to visualize nuclei and processed for
microscopy. Images were obtained using an Olympus IX70 inverted microscope and a Photometric CoolSnap HQ 12-bit CCD
camera. Z stacks of several fields were collected for each strain. Representative cells were chosen for figures. At least 100 cells
per sample were counted for each replicate.

HEK293T and HeLa Cell culture and transfection—Figures 5E–5H, S5, and S6
HEK293T and HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum
(FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% L-glutamate. Cells were transfected using either Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent
(Invitrogen) or FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Tracking Stress Granule Assembly in HeLa cells—Figures 5, 6, and S5

HeLa cells were transfected with GFP, GFP-tagged Kap2, GFP-tagged Kap2W460A/W730A, or GFP-tagged Kap2T1L together with HA-tagged FUS. 24 hr post-transfection, cells were stimulated with 0.5mM sodium arsenite for the indicated time, and immunostained with anti-HA, anti-G3BP1 (or anti-eIF4G), and DAPI. The percentage of HA-FUS-positive SG containing cells was then calculated: number of cells with HA-FUS-positive SGs/number of transfected cells x 100. Cells with at least three HA-FUS-positive SGs (HA- and G3BP1 (or eIF4G)-positive puncta) are counted as ‘cells with HA-FUS-positive SGs’. The area to count was randomly selected in each slide. Every transfected cell in the selected area was counted. In each experiment, ~100–300 cells per slide were counted. The results of three experiments were then averaged to calculate the % of cells with HA-FUS-positive SGs. These averaged numbers from separate experiments are used to calculate the SEM.

Immunofluorescence of HeLa cells—Figures 5, 6, and S5

HeLa cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS buffer, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, blocked with 5% goat serum in PBS for 30 min, and incubated with primary antibody for 2 hr at room temperature (20–25°C) or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were visualized with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 594 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and nuclei were detected using DAPI. Stained cells were examined using a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 780) with Zeiss ZEN software.

Genome editing and culture of human neural progenitor cells—Figure S6

ReNcell VM human neural progenitors (Millipore #SC008) (Choi et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015) were CRISPR-edited to introduce the ALS-linked FUSR521H mutation in the endogenous FUS gene following a protocol previously described (Ran et al., 2013). After CRISPR-editing, isogenic clones were sequenced to select individual clones carrying either wild-type or a homozygous R521H mutation in the FUS gene.

Isogenic neural progenitors were maintained in high-glucose DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher Scientific) media supplemented with 2µg/ml heparin (StemCell Technologies, #07980), 2% (v/v) B27 neural supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific, #17500-044), 20µg/ml hEGF (Sigma-Aldrich, #E9644), 20µg/ml bFGF (Stemgent, #03-0002) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific) and were plated onto Matrigel (BD Biosciences)-coated cell culture 24-well format coverslips. Nucleofection using Nucleofector kit (Lonza, #VPG 1005) was used for neural progenitor cells to achieve high efficiency of transfection of plasmids pCDNA3.1 GFP-Kap2, pCDNA3.1 GFP-Kap2W460A/W730A, pGFPMax (Lonza). 24 hr after transfection, the cells were treated with or without 0.5mM sodium arsenite for 1 hr, and stress granule assembly was assessed as below.

4 hr after transfection, the human neural progenitors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and washed thrice with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature. They were washed thrice again with PBS and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin and 2% donkey serum in PBS for 1 hr at room temperature. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies (anti-FUS, Proteintech 11570-1-AP, 1:200) in the blocking solution and incubated during 1h at room temperature. Rabbit fluorescently tagged secondary antibody conjugated to cy3 (Jackson Immunoresearch) was incubated for 1 hr at room temperature in the blocking solution. The nuclei were stained with DAPI (ThermoFisher #S33025) and mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher #P36934) on slides for confocal microscopy. Imaging was performed at 60X magnification using a Nikon eclipse Ti confocal microscope system. Percentage of GFP-positive cells with FUS stress granules were counted by blinded investigators from three independent experiments.

Human Cell Toxicity—Figures 5 and S6

HEK293T cells were plated in 96-well format and transfected with FuGene (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 72 hr post-transfection, MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) (Sigma) was added. The cells were incubated with MTT for 3 hr at 37°C. Acidic Isoproponal (40mM HCl) was then added to each well to solubilize the blue formazan crystals. Absorbance of each well was read with a Tecan Safire II plate reader using 570nm for absorbance and 630nm as a reference. Absorbance measurements were normalized to the absorbance of untransfected cells and used to calculate a percent viability for each condition. Alternatively, toxicity was assessed via lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release using CytoTox-ONE kit (Promega).

Lentivirus-mediated overexpression of Kap2 in human fibroblasts—Figure S6

Kap2 was subcloned into pinducer20 plasmid (Addgene #44012). Lentivirus expressing Kap2 was generated at 6.75x10^9 IU/mL by the MGH-Vector Core (Charlestown, MA, USA). Immortalized fibroblasts were plated in 6-well format. The fibroblasts were infected 24 hr after plating with 34x10^6 IU/mL and 10µg/ml of polybrene (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). 72 hr after infection, the Kap2 transgene was induced by doxycycline (Sigma) at 1µg/ml for 72 hr.

RNA Isolation and Expression Analysis—Figure S6

Total RNA was isolated from control and ALS-FUS mutant fibroblasts using Trizol reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific), Using a Reverse Transcriptase kit (ThermoFisher #4374966), 300ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed to generate cDNA. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed to determine the expression levels of Kap2 after lentiviral induction. The expression levels of 3 mRNAs
downregulated in fibroblasts from FUS-R521H ALS patients (TPST2, WNT5A, ITGA3) were determined by quantitative RT-PCR after 72h of Kapβ2 induction. Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out using the iTaq universal sybr green supermix kit (BioRad), one denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, 45 cycles of amplification (95°C for 10 s, 58°C for 30 s), then 65°C for 5 s and a final step at 95°C for 50 s using the specific human primers for RPLP0 (Forward: GAAGTCACTGTGCCAGCCCA, Reverse: GAAGGTGTAATCCGCTTCCA), for Kapβ2 (TNPO1) (Forward: TCCCTTACCTGAGTGCCTATC; Reverse: TAGCATGGCTTGCAAGAG), for TPST2 (Forward: CAACAGGACCCATTACGTC, Reverse: TACACAGGCCAGCTTCTCC), for WNT5A (Forward: CATGAACCTGCACAAC, Reverse: AGCATGTCCTCAGGCTACAT) and for ITGA3 (Forward: TGGCAGACCTACCACAACG, Reverse: CTGGCTAGCAAGACAACC).

**Quantitative PCR in fly—Figure S7**
7 fly heads from age-matched flies were collected per sample and RNA was purified from the fly heads using Trizol reagent. cDNA was derived from RNA by reverse transcription. Expression of the endogenous *Drosophila* Kapβ2 gene was measured using qPCR and normalized against the expression level of alpha-Tubulin-84b.

Kapβ2 primer sequence: 5′/56-FAM/CATTATCAA/ZEN/CCGCCCGAACACGC/3IABkFQ/3′; 5′GGAGACCAAGCAGTACATACG3′; 5′GCAAATAAGGGAGCCA3′.

Alpha-Tubulin-84b primer sequence: 5′/56 FAM/TCACACGCG/Zen/ACAAGGAAAATTCACAGA/3IABkFQ/3′; 5′CCTCGAAATCGTAGCTCTACAC3′; 5′ACCAGGCGTACCACATG3′. The qPCR data were analyzed using the statistics software package Graphpad Prism.

**Drosophila eye images and quantification—Figure S7**
The left eyes of 2-day old female flies were photographed using a Leica M205C stereomicroscope. Fly eye phenotypes were assessed using a quantitative scale in which points were assigned for the following criteria: disorder in the ommatidial array; ommatidial fusion; altered appearance of hairs between the ommatidia; loss of pigmentation; speckling or presence of individual ommatidia with obviously different pigmentation; increased reflectiveness of the eye surface; presence of necrotic patches; decreased size of the eye. For each criterion, points were assigned based on the extent of the abnormality. 10 flies were assessed for each genotype. For each separate experiment the control and experimental flies were assessed at the same time.

**Drosophila lifespan experiments—Figure 5**
OK371-HA-FUSR621H males were crossed with virgin females at 18°C, which ensures low expression of HA-FUSR621H and eclosion of adult flies. The OK371-HA-FUS-R521H strain must be maintained at 18°C or lower to be viable. F1 progeny females were collected on the day of eclosion and placed at 28°C for lifespan measurements.

**Adult fly muscle preparation and immunohistochemistry—Figure 7**
For immunostaining of indirect flight muscles, female flies were used because they have a bigger thorax. Adult flies were embedded in a drop of OCT compound (Sakura Finetek) on a glass slide, frozen with liquid nitrogen and bisected sagitally by a razor blade. After fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, hemithoraces were stained by Texas Red-X phalloidin (Invitrogen) and DAPI according to manufacturer’s instructions. Stained hemithoraces were mounted in 80% glycerol, and the musculature was examined by DMIRE2 (Leica, 103). For hnRNPA2 staining, hemithoraces were permeabilized with PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 and stained with anti-hnRNPA2B1 (EF-67) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and Alexa 488-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen). Stained muscle fibers were dissected and mounted in Fluromount-G (Southern Biotech) and imaged with a Marianas confocal microscope (Zeiss, 363).

**Fly western blotting—Figures 7 and S7**
For western blot, male and female flies were used with 1:1 ratio. Thoraces of adult flies were prepared and ground in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100. After adding SDS sample buffer, samples were boiled for 5 min and analyzed by the standard western blotting method provided by Odyssey system (LI-COR) with 4%–12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen) and anti-hnRNPA2B1 antibody (Santa Cruz, 1:1,000).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
Statistical parameters including the definitions and exact values of n (e.g., number of biological repeats, number of flies, number of cells, etc), distributions and deviations are reported in the Figures and corresponding Figure Legends (or STAR Methods section). p > 0.05, not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 by Student’s t test, one-way ANOVA, two-way ANOVA or Log-rank test. Dunnett or Bonferroni correction were used in ANOVA to control family-wise error rate of the tested simple effects, separately per level of the second factor (e.g., time point) in two-way ANOVA. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism or Excel.
Figure S1. Kap\textsuperscript{b2} Is a Potent Molecular Chaperone for Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS, Related to Figure 1

(A) FUS, FUS\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}, TAF15, TAF15\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}, EWSR1, or EWSR1\textsuperscript{ΔNLS} (5\textmu M) were incubated in the absence or presence of Kap\textsuperscript{b2} (5\textmu M), Kap\textsuperscript{b2W460A:W730A} (5\textmu M), or Imp\textsubscript{x} (5\textmu M) plus Kap\textsuperscript{b1} (5\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed after 90 min without agitation (FUS, FUS\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}) or after 90 min with agitation at 700rpm (TAF15, TAF15\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}, EWSR1, or EWSR1\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}) by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(B) FUS (5\textmu M) was incubated at 25\textdegree C for 90 min without agitation in the absence or presence of Kap\textsuperscript{b2} (5\textmu M), HDAC1 (5\textmu M) or anti-FUS antibody (5\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(C) FUS, TAF15, or EWSR1 (5\textmu M) were incubated as in (A) in the absence or presence of Kap\textsuperscript{b2} (5\textmu M) plus or minus Ran GDP or Ran GTP (25\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(D) FUSR234L, FUSR495X, FUSH517Q, FUSR521C, FUSR521H, FUSP525L, TAF15G391E, TAF15R408C, EWSR1G511A, or EWSR1 P552L (5\textmu M) were incubated as in (A) in the absence or presence of Kap\textsuperscript{b2} (5\textmu M) or Kap\textsuperscript{b2W460A:W730A} (5\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(E) TDP-43, TDP-43 Q331K, TDP-43 188-414 or TDP-43\textsuperscript{ΔNLS} (5\textmu M) were incubated at 25\textdegree C for 90 min with agitation at 700rpm (TDP-43, TDP-43 Q331K, and TDP-43 188-414) or 16h with agitation at 700rpm (TDP-43\textsuperscript{ΔNLS}) in the absence or presence of Kap\textsuperscript{b2} (5\textmu M) or Imp\textsubscript{x} (5\textmu M) plus Kap\textsuperscript{b1} (5\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(F) TDP-43 (5\textmu M) was incubated as in (E) in the absence or presence of Imp\textsubscript{x} (5\textmu M) and Kap\textsuperscript{b1} (5\textmu M) plus or minus Ran GDP or Ran GTP (25\textmu M). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).
Figure S2. Kap2 Inhibits Seeded Fibril Assembly of Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS, Related to Figure 2

(A–D) (A) FUS (5 μM) plus or minus preformed FUS fibrils (5% wt/wt), (B) TAF15 (5 μM) plus or minus preformed TAF15 fibrils (5% wt/wt), (C) EWSR1 (5 μM) plus or minus preformed EWSR1 fibrils (5% wt/wt), or (D) TDP-43 (5 μM) plus or minus preformed TDP-43 fibrils (5% wt/wt) were incubated at 25°C without agitation for the indicated time in the absence or presence of Kap2 (5 μM), Kap2W460A:W730A (5 μM), or Impα (5 μM) plus Kap1 (5 μM). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(E) The indicated disease-linked RBP (5 μM) plus or minus preformed fibrils of the same disease-linked RBP (5% wt/wt) was incubated at 25°C without agitation in the absence or presence of equimolar Kap2 (5 μM) or Impα (5 μM) plus Kap1 (5 μM). Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity after 15 min for FUS variants and 1.5 h for TAF15, EWSR1, and TDP-43 variants. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).
Figure S3. Kap|2 Disaggregates Preformed Fibrils of Diverse RBPs with a PY-NLS, Related to Figure 3

(A–C) Preformed FUS (A), TAF15 (B), or EWSR1 (C) fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 0-60min. Disaggregation was assessed by sedimentation analysis. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(D–F) Preformed FUS (D), TAF15 (E), or EWSR1 (F) fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 0-60min. Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(G) Chemically denatured firefly luciferase aggregates (50nM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 90min with Kap|2 (5μM), Kap|2W460A/W730A (5μM), or Impx (5μM) plus Kap|1 (5μM) for 0-60min. Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(H) Preformed fibrils of the indicated RBP (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 60min. Disaggregation was assessed by sedimentation analysis. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(I and J) Preformed TDP-43 Q331K (I) or TDP-43 188-414 (J) fibrils (5 μM monomer) were incubated at 25°C for 0-60min. Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).
Figure S4. Kapβ2 Rapidly Disperses Liquid Droplets Formed by FUS and hnRNPA1, Related to Figure 4

(A and B) GST-TEV-FUS (A) or GST-TEV-FUSP525L (B) (10μM) was incubated at 25°C for 4h in the presence or absence of Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A,W730A (10μM). Protein samples were then spotted onto a coverslip and imaged by DIC microscopy. Scale bar is 25μm (A) and 10μm (B).

(C and D) GST-TEV-FUS droplets (C) or GST-TEV-FUSP525L (D) (10μM monomer) were incubated with Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A,W730A (10μM) for 3min at 25°C and monitored by DIC microscopy. Scale bar is 25μm (A) and 10μm (B).

(E) A viscous hnRNPA1 liquid phase (3.3mM, 40μl) rapidly assembles at 4°C and accumulates at the bottom of a PCR tube. Addition of Kapβ2 (78μM, 100μl) but not Kapβ2W460A,W730A (78μM, 100μl) dissolves these viscous hnRNPA1 liquids after 6h at 4°C. Molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1 = 0.06.

(F and G) Rheology was employed to determine the storage modulus (F) and loss modulus (G) of viscous hnRNPA1 liquid phase (3.3mM, 40μl) treated with buffer or Kapβ2 (78μM, 200μl) or Kapβ2W460A,W730A (78μM, 100μl). Molar ratio of Kapβ2:hnRNPA1D262V = 0.06. Values represent means ± SEM.
**Figure S5. Kapβ2 Localizes to Stress Granules, Antagonizes Incorporation of FUS into Stress Granules, and Reduces Cell Toxicity, Related to Figure 5**

(A) Yeast cells coexpressing the indicated GFP-tagged RBP and vector, Kapβ2, or Kapβ2W460A/W730A were processed for immunoblot. 3-Phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) serves as a loading control.

(B) Yeast cells expressing GFP-FUS from the copper promoter for 2h were processed for immunoblot (left panels) or shifted to galactose media without copper for 3h to induce Kapβ2 or Kapβ2W460A/W730A expression and switch off FUS expression and processed for immunoblot (right panels). 3-Phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) serves as a loading control.

(C) HeLa cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-tagged Kapβ2. 24 hr post-transfection, cells were stimulated with 0.5mM sodium arsenite for 60 min, and immunostained with anti-eIF4G (red) and DAPI (blue). Cells at pre- (-Ars) and 60 min post-arsenite treatment (+Ars) are shown. Note that Kapβ2 gets incorporated into stress granules post-arsenite treatment. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(D) HeLa cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-tagged Kapβ2 together with HA-tagged FUSR521H, HA-tagged FUSR521C, HA-tagged FUSR521H or HA-tagged FUSNLS. 24 hr post-transfection, cells were fixed and immunostained with anti-HA, anti-G3BP1 and DAPI. Yellow arrows indicate FUS-positive stress granules. Arrowheads indicated FUS-positive stress granules in a neighboring cell that does not express GFP-Kapβ2WT. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(E) FUS (5μM) was incubated in the absence or presence of Kapβ2 (5μM) or Kapβ2TL (5μM) for 0-157 min at 25°C. Fibrillization was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(F) Preformed FUS fibrils (5μM monomer) were incubated with Kapβ2 (5μM) or Kapβ2TL (5μM) for 0-30 min at 25°C. Disaggregation was assessed by turbidity. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3).

(G) HeLa cells were transfected with HA-FUSNLS (Day 1). On the next day (Day 2), these cells were sequentially transfected with GFP or GFP-tagged Kapβ2 as indicated. 24 hr post-transfection (Day 3), cells were fixed and immunostained with anti-HA, anti-G3BP1, and DAPI. The percentage of transfected cells with FUS-positive stress granules is plotted. ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. Values represents the means ± SEM (n = 3-6).
Figure S6. Kapb2 Antagonizes Incorporation of FUS into Stress Granules in Human Neural Progenitors and Restores Expression of Selected FUS mRNA Targets in ALS Patient Fibroblasts, Related to Figure 5

(A) Human neural progenitor cells edited with CRISPR/Cas9 to express endogenous wild-type or R521H mutant FUS were transfected with GFP, GFP-tagged Kapb2 or GFP-tagged Kapb2W460A/W730A. 24 hr post-transfection, cells were stimulated with 0.5 mM sodium arsenite for the indicated time, and immunostained with anti-FUS (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 10 µm.

(B) Quantification of (A) to determine the percentage of GFP-positive human neural progenitor cells with FUS-positive cytoplasmic granules. Values represent means and error bars represent SEM from 3 independent experiments, 40-150 cells per condition. Student’s t test, *, ** and *** indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively.

(C) Kapb2 but not Kapb2W460A/W730A suppressed FUS and FUSR521H toxicity in human cells (HEK293T). Cell viability was assessed by LDH release assay. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 3). A one-way ANOVA with the post hoc Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test was used to compare the control (no elevated FUS or Kapb2 expression) to the other conditions **** denotes p < 0.0001.

(D) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of Kapb2 mRNA level normalized using the RPLP0 mRNA in 3 control and 3 patient FUSR521H fibroblast lines after 72h of lentiviral induction of Kapb2 expression.

(E) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of TPST2, WNT5A and ITGA3 mRNA levels in 3 control and 3 patient FUSR521H fibroblast lines after 72h of lentiviral induction of Kapb2 expression. Values represent means and error bars indicate SEM of three biological replicates per condition (3 control lines and 3 patient lines). Student’s t test, * and ** indicate p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively. ns, non-significant.
Figure S7. Kap2 Knockdown Alters Disease-Linked RBP Toxicity, Stability, and Solubility in the Fly, Related to Figures 5 and 7

(A) Expression of FUS or ALS-linked FUS variants, FUS R521H, FUS P525L, or FUS R518K in fly eyes at 25°C causes a rough eye phenotype and neurodegeneration. This phenotype is most pronounced with FUS R521H and the least pronounced with FUS P525L. Expression of siRNA for fly Kapb2 in two independent lines (v6543 and v6544) enhances the rough eye phenotype of FUS, FUS R521H, or FUS R518K, but has minimal effect on FUS P525L. The rough eye phenotype of FUS P525L was not enhanced by Kap2 RNAi, which likely reflects the weaker binding of FUS P525L to Kap2 compared to FUS, FUS R521H, and FUS R518K.

(legend continued on next page)
(B) We performed quantification of eye phenotypes using previously published criteria and found that Kapβ2 knockdown enhanced the rough eye phenotype of FUS, FUS<sup>R521H</sup>, or FUS<sup>R518K</sup>, but has minimal effect on FUS<sup>P525L</sup>. ***p < 0.001 by Mann-Whitney test.

(C) QPCR reveals that v6544 causes stronger depletion of Kapβ2. Correspondingly, v6544 produces stronger enhancement of the rough eye phenotype (A, B).

(D) Kapβ2 knockdown increases stability of hnRNPA2 in flies. Thoraces of adult flies were processed for western blot analysis with an antibody against hnRNPA2B1. GAPDH was blotted as a loading control. Quantification of hnRNPA2 levels on day 2 is shown in graph below. Values represent means ± SD (n = 3).

(E) Kapβ2 knockdown reduces solubility of hnRNPA2 in flies. Thoraces of adult flies were dissected and sequential extractions were performed to examine the solubility profile of hnRNPA2.