Fusion Oncogenes Are Associated With Increased Metastatic Capacity and Persistent Disease in Pediatric Thyroid Cancers

Aime T. Franco, PhD1; Julio C. Ricarte-Filho, PhD1; Amber Isaza, BA1; Zachary Jones, BS1,2; Neil Jain, BS1; Sogol Mostoufi-Moab, MD, MSCE1,2; Lea Surrey, MD3; Theodore W. Laetsch, MD2; Marilyn M. Li, MD4; Jessica Clague DeHart, PhD4; Erin Reichenberger, PhD5; Deanne Taylor, PhD5; Ken Kazahaya, MD, MBA6,7; N. Scott Adzick, MD7; and Andrew J. Bauer, MD1

PURPOSE In 2014, data from a comprehensive multiplatform analysis of 496 adult papillary thyroid cancer samples reported by The Cancer Genome Atlas project suggested that reclassification of thyroid cancer into molecular subtypes, RAS-like and BRAF-like, better reflects clinical behavior than sole reliance on pathologic classification. The aim of this study was to categorize the common oncogenic variants in pediatric differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) and investigate whether mutation subtype classification correlated with the risk of metastasis and response to initial therapy in pediatric DTC.

METHODS Somatic cancer gene panel analysis was completed on DTC from 131 pediatric patients. DTC were categorized into RAS-mutant (H-K-NRAS), BRAF-mutant (BRAF p.V600E), and RET/NTK fusion (RET, NTRK1, and NTRK3 fusions) to determine differences between subtype classification in regard to pathologic data (American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM) as well as response to therapy 1 year after initial treatment had been completed.

RESULTS Mutation-based subtype categories were significant in most variables, including age at diagnosis, metastatic behavior, and the likelihood of remission at 1 year. Patients with RET/NTK fusions were significantly more likely to have advanced lymph node and distant metastasis and less likely to achieve remission at 1 year than patients within RAS- or BRAF-mut subgroups.

CONCLUSION Our data support that genetic subtyping of pediatric DTC more accurately reflects clinical behavior than sole reliance on pathologic classification with patients with RET/NTK fusions having worse outcomes than those with BRAF-mutant disease. Future trials should consider inclusion of molecular subtype into risk stratification.
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BACKGROUND
In 2014, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) reported that classification of adult papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) into molecular subtypes on the basis of an messenger RNA expression signature, RAS-like and BRAF-like, more accurately reflected cellular signaling, cellular differentiation, and clinical behavior when compared with histology alone.1 This observation has led to discussions as to whether identification of oncogenic alterations could be used to stratify therapy, including the extent of surgery, lobectomy versus total thyroidectomy, as well as central compartment lymph node dissection.2,3

With the reduced costs of next-generation sequencing (NGS), the use of somatic cancer gene panel analysis in pediatric patients with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) has expanded with current data showing a shifted distribution of driver alterations with a higher incidence of oncogenic fusions rather than point mutations in children and adolescents compared with adults.4,5 Similar to the TCGA-based molecular subtype classification system on the basis of messenger RNA expression signatures, we sought to determine whether oncogenic subtyping on the basis of identified mutations or fusions predicts phenotypic behavior and outcomes in pediatric patients with DTC.

METHODS
Patient Population
Our series comprised 131 pediatric thyroid tumors (122 PTCs and nine follicular thyroid carcinoma [FTCs]) from surgical specimens. This included 66 surgical specimens sequentially collected from 2016 to 2019 and analyzed in the Department of Pathology at Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) as well as 65 archived surgical specimens collected from 1989 to 2012 that were previously genotyped on a commercial platform.6 All of the
**CONTEXT**

**Key Objective**
The incidence of regional and distant metastasis is higher in pediatric patients compared with adults with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC). Does the adult two-tiered oncogene classification paradigm into RAS-like versus BRAF-like tumors similarly predict phenotypic behavior and outcomes in pediatric DTC (PedDTC)?

**Knowledge Generated**
Our results provide greater clarity into the oncogenic alterations in PedDTC that confer the greatest risk for metastasis and remission. A 3-tiered classification of RET-mutant versus BRAF-mutant versus RET/NTRK fusions more accurately correlates with phenotypic behavior and outcomes in PedDTC.

**Relevance**
Our results provide greater clarity into the oncogenic alterations in PedDTC that confer the greatest risk for metastasis and persistent disease. These findings highlight the need to further define differences in the molecular landscape between pediatric and adult DTC to optimize clinical care and use of molecularly targeted therapies.

tumors were sequenced and analyzed to limit selection bias because of sample adequacy. The research protocol was approved by CHOP's Institutional Review Board (IRB). All samples used for data analysis were approved by our institute IRB (local human investigations committee). A waiver of consent was granted by the CHOP IRB for all surgical specimens included in the study. We were not required to file an assurance with the Department of Health and Human Services.

DTC classification was based on standard histopathologic criteria defined by the WHO. Tumors were staged according to the 7th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging manual. Historic samples were reassessed to these same criteria when possible, on the basis of data availability. Invasion was defined as spread to regional lymph nodes and/or distant metastasis. Remission was assessed at 1 year ± 3 months after surgery. Remission was defined as a basal thyroglobulin (Tg) and antithyroglobulin below the lower limit of detection and no evidence of persistent thyroid cancer on radiologic imaging and/or radioiodine whole-body scan (RAI-WBS). Neck ultrasound was used for patients with disease limited to the neck and undetectable Tg. Chest computed tomography was added for patients with a history of pulmonary metastasis on initial imaging. RAI-WBS was used to assess for persistent disease in patients with detectable Tg (≥ 10 ng/mL and/or increasing trend) and no evidence of persistent thyroid cancer on the basis of neck ultrasound and chest computed tomography. All patients undergoing thyroidectomy with confirmed malignancy were placed on levothyroxine suppressive therapy targeted to achieve a thyroid stimulating hormone below the lower end of the normal range, < 0.5 mIU/L.

**Sequencing Platform and Variant Calling**
The 66 tumors collected between 2016 and 2019 were sequenced as part of routine clinical care using both the CHOP Solid Tumor Panel (CSTP) and CHOP Cancer Fusion Panel (CCFP). CSTP is a targeted NGS assay encompassing 238 cancer genes. The assay is designed to detect single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), indels, and copy-number alterations (CNAs) as described previously. Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted from the tumor samples, and libraries were prepared using probes targeting 238 genes and sequenced on HiSeq platform using 150 bp paired-end sequencing. Sequence data were analyzed using the institutional software ConcordS v2 (for SNVs and indels) and NextGENe v2 NGS Analysis Software (for CNAs; SoftGenetics, LLC, State College, PA). Fusion gene detection was performed using the CHOP Cancer Fusion Panel as previously described. Briefly, target-specific primers covering 673 exons were custom-designed to identify known fusion genes and potential novel fusion genes associated with 110 cancer genes using Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) technology (ArcherDX, Inc Boulder, CO). The 65 cases collected between 1989 and 2012 had been previously genotyped using Asuragen’s first-generation thyroid test, miRInform Thyroid Test, as described. This panel interrogates the presence of the most common mutations in BRAF, HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS, and three fusion transcripts (RET/PTC1, RET/PTC3, and PAX8/PPARG). The miRInform panel did not include analysis for DICER1 mutations, NTRK fusions, or any novel RET partners. Unfortunately, tissue from the miRInform cohort was not available for repeat analysis using the more comprehensive CHOP panels. All cancer genes included in the CSTP and CCFP panels and the miRInform Thyroid Test are listed in the Data Supplement (online only).

Mutations were subcategorized into three groups, RAS-mutant (H/K/NRAS mutations and PAX8/PPARG fusions), BRAF-mutant (BRAF p.V600E mutations), or RET/NTRK fusions (RET, NTRK1, and NTRK3 fusions) on the basis of previous published reports in adults as well as pediatric patients.
data showing genotype-associated differences in invasive behavior.\textsuperscript{12-14} Tumors with no identified genetic alteration in the miRInform Thyroid Test or CSTP panel, or a genetic alteration identified by the CSTP panel that has not been previously identified as a driver mutation in pediatric DTC (PedDTC), were characterized as indeterminate.\textsuperscript{9}

Data Analyses

Data analyses were performed using R 4.0.5 and R Studio 1.4.1106 (RStudio, PBC). Frequencies and proportions were used as descriptive statistics for categorical variables. Mutation status was the primary variable of interest and so, this was explored over a number of different dimensions of the data. Associations between covariates and mutation status were tested using Fisher's exact tests, to account for small cell sizes. A two-sided \( P \) value of \(<0.05\) was considered statistically significant. Mutation data and clinicopathologic characteristics from adult PTC were collected from the TCGA Data Portal.\textsuperscript{15} Comparisons between adult and pediatric variables were tested using Fisher's exact tests.

RESULTS

Patient Demographics and Thyroid Pathology

Clinicopathologic characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1 and the Data Supplement. The study cohort included 131 samples from 97 (74\%) female patients and 34 (26\%) male patients with a mean age of 14.53 ± 2.99 years. Tumors were divided on the basis of their dominant histopathologic features: 72 (55\%) classic variant (cPTC), 31 (23.7\%) follicular variant (fvPTC), 14 (10.7\%) diffuse sclerosing variant (dsvPTC), 5 (3.7\%) were other forms of PTC, including cribriform-morular variant (cPTC), 31 (23.7\%) follicular variant (fvPTC), 14 other forms of PTC, including cribriform-morular variant (cPTC), 31 (23.7\%) follicular variant (fvPTC), 14

Identification and Grouping of Genetic Alterations.

Of the 131 patient samples, genetic alterations were identified in 78 of the 131 tumors; nine with an RAS-mutant (6.9\%, 9 of 131), 26 with BRAF (19.8\%, 26 of 131), and 43 with an RET or NTRK fusion (32.8\%, 43 of 131; Table 1, Data Supplement). RAS-mutant, BRAF, and RET/NTRK fusions were mutually exclusive events in every tumor analyzed. On the basis of previous reports, RET fusions and NTRK fusions were hypothesized to confer a similar risk for malignancy, and correlate with similar risk of metastatic behavior, and were therefore grouped together in the analysis.\textsuperscript{12-14} There were 53 tumors classified as indeterminate. Secondary to more limited coverage of oncogenic driver alterations, there were more tumors classified as indeterminate in the miRInform group compared with the CSTP and CCFP group (50.8\% v 30.3\%); most notably, fewer RET/NTRK fusions were identified in the miRInform group compared with the CSTP and CCFP group (18.5\% v 47.0\%).

Figure 1 summarizes the mutational landscape of PedDTC and clinicopathologic features. As previously reported, we found a correlation between genotype and pathologic phenotype.\textsuperscript{12-14} RAS mutations and PAX8-PPARG fusions were more commonly associated with fvPTC and FTCs than the other genotypes. There were four NRAS p.Q61R and one PAX8-PPARG fusion in five encapsulated fvPTC, one NRAS p.Q61R in one cPTC, one PAX8-PPARG in a cPTC/ fvPTC/svPTC mixed histology, and a single HRAS p.Q61R and single KRAS p.G12V in two FTC samples. The BRAF p.V600E mutation was most commonly associated with cPTC, observed in 26 (19.8\%) samples. RET/NTRK fusions were found in 43 (32.8\%) tumor samples spread across various subtypes of PTC, including 22 cPTC samples, 11 dsvPTC, five fvPTC, one svPTC, one fvPTC/svPTC, one cPTC/fvPTC, and two cPTC/fvPTC/svPTC. The fusions included 20 RETPTC1 (CCDC6-RET), one RETPTC2 (PRKARIA-RET), eight RETPTC3 (NCOA4-RET), two SPECC1L-RET, one EML4-RET, one TRIM24-RET, one CDC186-RET, two TPR-NTRK1, one IFR2BP2-NTRK1, one SQSTM1-NTRK1, and five EV6-NTRK3.

Of note, four additional potential kinase-activating in-frame fusions (two TFG-MET, one TGF-GFRI, and one PRKD2-BRAF) and three mutations (one BRAF p.T599del and two TSHR p.M453T and p.D633Y) were identified by the more comprehensive CSTP and CCFP panel. In addition, we found mutations associated with increased risk of thyroid cancer: three cases harbored alterations of APC (three cmvPTC) and two cases harbored biallelic mutations of Dicer1 (one fvPTC and one FTC). The 12 cases above were classified as indeterminate because of their low prevalence and uncertain molecular category. All genetic drivers found in this study are listed in the Data Supplement.

Relationship Between Genetic Alterations and Clinical Characteristics

The genetic alterations and correlation with clinicopathologic characteristics and outcomes are summarized in Table 2. Several strong associations between the covariates and mutation categories were observed. When comparing the four categories of mutation status: indeterminate, RAS-mutant, BRAF-mutant, and RET/NTRK fusion, all variables were found to be statistically significant (\( P \) value < .05), except for sex and AJCC T (tumor size) category. There were very few RAS-mutant samples; therefore, we restricted analysis and comparison to BRAF-mutant and RET/NTRK fusions. After restricting the sample to those with BRAF-mutant versus RET/NTRK fusion status, statistically significant associations were still found among age, AJCC N (lymph node metastasis) and M (distant metastasis, all pulmonary) categories as well as remission at 1 year (\( P \) value < .05). Significantly, no distant metastasis was detected in any patients with BRAF-mutant thyroid tumors. Forty-two percent of patients with RET/NTRK fusion achieved remission at 1 year compared with 61.5\% of patients with tumors harboring a BRAF mutation (Fig 2). Three patients with no remission at 1 year, subsequently achieved remission at a later date (one RET/NTRK fusion
TABLE 1. Demographics of 131 Pediatric and Adolescent Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Indeterminate</th>
<th>RAS-mut</th>
<th>BRAF-mut</th>
<th>RET/INTRK</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>97 (74.8)</td>
<td>40 (75.5)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>22 (84.6)</td>
<td>30 (69.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34 (25.2)</td>
<td>13 (24.5)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>11 (8.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>120 (91.6)</td>
<td>53 (100.0)</td>
<td>9 (100.0)</td>
<td>25 (96.2)</td>
<td>33 (76.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRInform</td>
<td>65 (100.0)</td>
<td>33 (50.8)</td>
<td>8 (12.3)</td>
<td>12 (18.5)</td>
<td>12 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSTP and CCFP</td>
<td>66 (100.0)</td>
<td>20 (30.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>14 (21.2)</td>
<td>31 (47.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cPTC</td>
<td>72 (55.0)</td>
<td>21 (39.6)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>24 (92.3)</td>
<td>25 (58.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fvPTC</td>
<td>31 (23.7)</td>
<td>19 (35.9)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>1 (3.9)</td>
<td>6 (14.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsvPTC</td>
<td>14 (10.7)</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>9 (6.9)</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5 (3.7)</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CCFP, CHOP Cancer Fusion Panel; cPTC, classic papillary thyroid cancer; CSTP, CHOP Solid Tumor Panel; dsvPTC, diffuse sclerosing variant papillary thyroid cancer; FTC, follicular thyroid cancer; fvPTC, follicular variant papillary thyroid cancer; PTC, papillary thyroid cancer.

Row percentages are presented for Panel to illustrate the distribution of mutation subcategories for each Panel.

Other includes solid-variant PTC, cribriform-morular variant PTC, and Warthin-like PTC.

and two indeterminate). No mortality was observed in any patients.

cPTC variant was the most common histology for both BRAF-mut (n = 24) and RET/INTRK fusion subgroups (n = 25; 16 RET, three NTRK1, and three NTRK3 fusions). Even within this histologic variant, we observed significant differences in metastatic behavior and remission status between these molecular subgroups. Metastases to lateral neck lymph nodes were found in 4 of 24 (17%) of patients with a BRAF mutation and 15 of 25 (60%) of patients with RET/INTRK fusions. Distant metastasis was present in 9 of 25 (36%) of the RET/INTRK fusion subgroup and none of the patients within the BRAF-mut subgroup. Moreover, persistent disease at 1 year was more frequent in the subgroup harboring RET/INTRK fusions (9 of 36; 36%) than those with mutations in BRAF (4 of 24; 17%; Data Supplement).

Consistent with previously published results, a greater percentage of pediatric patients presented with nodal and distant metastasis compared with adult tumors reported in the TCGA database (Fig 2). In adult DTC samples, lateral lymph node metastasis was slightly increased in patients with RET/INTRK fusions versus BRAF-mut, but the difference is less pronounced than in our pediatric population (Fig 2). By contrast, adults harboring RAS-mutant FTC rarely present with lymph node or distant metastasis. This also seems to be the case for pediatric patients with RAS-mutant DTC, although the significance of this is unknown because of the limited number of RAS-mutant DTCs in our cohort.

RET/INTRK fusions were more common in our cohort of patients age < 10 years compared with patients age > 10 years, as previously reported. Ten out of 11 (91%) patients age < 10 years harbored fusion events. The prevalence gradually decreased in pediatric patients age older than 10 years (27%) and into adulthood (9%). By contrast, only one BRAF p.V600E mutation (9%) was found among patients age < 10 years compared with 25 pediatric patients age ≥ 10 years (20%) with further increased prevalence in adult patients with BRAF mutations, where 58% of PTC in adults harbor a BRAF mutation (Table 1 and the Data Supplement).

DISCUSSION

The thyroid Cancer Genome Atlas reported that classification of adult PTC into molecularly defined groups, RAS-like and BRAF-like, more accurately reflects cellular signaling, cellular differentiation, and clinical behavior when compared with histology alone. Our data suggest that separating pediatric PTC with BRAF p.V600E mutations from PTC with RET/INTRK fusions more closely aligns with clinicopathologic features with BRAF-positive PTC less common with decreased age and RET/INTRK fusion–positive PTC more commonly associated with lateral neck (N1b) and distant (M1, pulmonary) metastasis. Both BRAF and RET/INTRK fusions were identified in cPTC; however, even within the same pathologic variant, the molecular driver more accurately predicted metastatic behavior (Data Supplement). This observation is in keeping
with previous reports in adults\textsuperscript{1,2,11} and pediatrics\textsuperscript{12,13} that reclassification of thyroid cancers into genetic and molecular subtypes provides an opportunity for better informed clinical management compared with pathologic classification alone. \textit{RAS}-mutants showed similar predictability, associated with reduced metastatic behavior in our pediatric cohort compared with published data in adults; however, the low incidence of \textit{RAS}-mutants in our cohort prevented clinically relevant statistical analysis.

There are differences in the composition of genetic variants in the pediatric population when compared with the adult. The incidence of a \textit{BRAF} p.V600E mutation in pediatric patients with PTC is lower and there is a lower risk for \textit{BRAF}-associated widely invasive disease with decreased radioactive iodine avidity.\textsuperscript{5,12,13} In addition, coexisting mutations in the \textit{TERT} promoter, \textit{TP53}, and genes encoding effectors of the \textit{PI3K} pathway (\textit{PIK3CA}) are frequent in \textit{BRAF}-mut (approximately 10%) and \textit{RET}/\textit{NTRK} (approximately 2.5%) advanced adult thyroid tumors.\textsuperscript{3} In our cohort of pediatric tumors screened by the CSTP panel (\textit{n} = 66), we did not find any coexisting mutations in \textit{BRAF}-mut or \textit{RET}/\textit{NTRK} tumors. This suggests that with increasing age, other age-related host factors may result in the accumulation of additional genetic alterations that negatively influence differentiation, response to therapy, and, subsequently, disease-specific morbidity and mortality supporting separation of how oncogenic landscape data are interpreted and incorporated into clinical practice for pediatric versus adult patients with DTC.

The availability of the CSTP has provided us with a wider lens in which to view pediatric thyroid carcinoma. The incorporation of a comprehensive cancer gene panel lowered the number of samples without an identifiable alteration and led to discovery of several findings that warrant further investigation. We demonstrate that 50% of tumors with distal metastasis had indeterminate drivers using the miRInform panel. By contrast, only 30% of tumors characterized with the more comprehensive CSTP panel had indeterminate drivers and within these 20 samples, 12 harbored mutations that likely have an important role in thyroid tumorigenesis. These 12 cases were included in the indeterminate subgroup because of their relatively low prevalence and uncertain molecular category. These included fusions \textit{PRKD2-BRAF}, \textit{TFG-MET} (\textit{n} = 2), and \textit{TG-FGFR3}, and mutations \textit{TSHR} p.M453T and p.D633Y and \textit{BRAF} p.T599del, all previously

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Genetic landscape and clinicopathologic characteristics of 131 pediatric thyroid cancers. Clinicopathologic characteristics include sex, age, histology, \textit{T} status, \textit{N} metastasis status, \textit{M}, invasion, ETE, RAI therapy, and remission. The most frequent genetic alterations (fusion oncogenes and mutations) and their prevalence are shown. Genetic alterations were categorized into \textit{RET}/\textit{NTRK} fusions (\textit{RET} and \textit{NTRK1/3} fusions), \textit{BRAF}-mut (\textit{BRAF} p.V600E), and \textit{RAS}-mut (\textit{H-K-NRAS} and \textit{PAX8}/PPARG). cmvPTC, cribriform-morular variant papillary thyroid cancer; cPTC, classic papillary thyroid cancer; dsvPTC, diffuse sclerosing variant papillary thyroid cancer; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; FTC, follicular thyroid cancer; fvPTC, follicular variant papillary thyroid cancer; M, distant metastasis status; N, lymph node; RAI, radioactive iodine; svPTC, solid variant papillary thyroid cancer; T, tumor status; WLPTC, Warthin-like papillary thyroid cancer.}
\end{figure}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Indeterminate, No. (%)</th>
<th>RAS-mut, No. (%)</th>
<th>BRAF-mut, No. (%)</th>
<th>RET/NTRK, No. (%)</th>
<th>Total (N = 131)</th>
<th>miRinform (n = 65)</th>
<th>CSTP and CCFP Panel (n = 66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P Four Categories</td>
<td>P BRAF v RET/ NTRK</td>
<td>P Four Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (22.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>12 (46.2)</td>
<td>22 (51.2)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.805</td>
<td>.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>39 (78.0)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>14 (53.8)</td>
<td>21 (48.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (20.8)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td>34 (79.1)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42 (79.2)</td>
<td>8 (88.9)</td>
<td>18 (69.2)</td>
<td>9 (20.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>46 (86.8)</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30 (56.6)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>21 (80.8)</td>
<td>33 (76.7)</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13 (24.5)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>6 (14.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>10 (18.9)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.9)</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.815</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31 (58.5)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>16 (61.5)</td>
<td>18 (41.9)</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8 (15.1)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>22 (51.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>14 (26.4)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>3 (7.0)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40 (75.5)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>22 (84.6)</td>
<td>30 (69.8)</td>
<td>.359</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 (24.5)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>53 (100)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>25 (96.2)</td>
<td>33 (76.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>27 (50.9)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>20 (76.9)</td>
<td>20 (46.1)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>17 (39.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>22 (41.5)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>6 (23.1)</td>
<td>6 (14.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (52.8)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td>3 (7.0)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>14 (53.8)</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on following page)
TABLE 2. Association Between the Covariates and Mutations Categories: BRAF-Mut, RAS-Mut, RET/NTRK Fusion, and Indeterminate (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Indeterminate, No. (%)</th>
<th>RAS-mut, No. (%)</th>
<th>BRAF-mut, No. (%)</th>
<th>RET/NTRK, No. (%)</th>
<th>P Four Categories</th>
<th>P BRAF v RET/NTRK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>10 (18.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>30 (69.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>8 (15.1)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>8 (15.1)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24 (45.3)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>6 (14.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13 (24.5)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td>14 (32.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td>7 (16.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Bold entries designate statistical significance, P < .05. Mutation categories are mutually exclusive.

P value: Fisher’s exact test. P value includes unknown category.

CCFP, CHOP Cancer Fusion Panel; CSTP, CHOP Solid Tumor Panel; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; M, distant metastasis status; N, lymph node; PTC, papillary thyroid cancer; RAI, radioactive iodine; T, tumor status.
reported in thyroid tumors with the exception of the novel PRKD2-BRAF fusion (Data Supplement). Future studies are underway to more clearly define the influence of these genetic alterations on altered signaling pathways and thyroid cell differentiation.

The observation of a higher incidence of RET/NTRK fusions as well as their association with more metastatic behavior compared with BRAF in our pediatric cohort emphasizes the importance of expanding our knowledge of the PedDTC molecular landscape. In the adult-based TCGA, analysis revealed a fairly quiet adult PTC genome allowing for a more precise evaluation of the effects of the genetic drivers on signaling pathway activation and differentiation. In the TCGA, a 71-gene signature generated by comparison of BRAF-mut and RAS-mut tumors was used to construct a BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}-RAS score (BRS) that separated tumors on the basis of MAPK pathway output and clinical behavior. In the TCGA analysis, NTRK1/3 fusions were largely neutral, and

**FIG 2.** TNM staging of pediatric and adult thyroid cancers according to mutational status. (A and B) Tumor size, (C and D) lymph node metastasis, and (E and F) distant metastasis classification of pediatric DTC (N = 131; combined CSTP and miRInform samples) and adult DTCs (N = 496; TCGA) categorized by indeterminate, RAS-mut, BRAF-mut, and RET/NTRK fusion variants. CSTP, CHOP Solid Tumor Panel; DTC, differentiated thyroid cancer; M, distant metastasis status; N, lymph node; T, tumor status; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
virtually all RET fusions were only weakly BRAF-like.1 The RET-fusion subgroup in these adult tumors also exhibited an intermediate Thyroid Differentiation Score (TDS; 16-gene signature including thyroid specific genes such as SLC5A5, TG, TPO, PAX8, TSHR, and others), lower than the well-differentiated RAS-mut (H/K/NRAS and PAX8/PPARG) tumors and higher than the BRAF-mut (BRAF p.V600E; Data Supplement). Considering the high prevalence of RET/NTRK fusions in PedDTC, and their association with more metastatic behavior, it will be crucial to generate the transcriptional signatures of RET/NTRK and BRAF-mutant subgroups in the pediatric population to understand the differential impact of these alterations on signaling pathways, differentiation, and clinical outcomes.

There are several limitations to this study, supporting the need for multicenter, prospective studies to expand our knowledge on the potential use of genetic and molecular analysis for stratification of therapy. The sample size is relatively small at 131 samples, especially as the analysis was divided between the miRInform and CSTP samples at 65 and 66 samples, respectively. It is also worth repeating that RET/NTRK prevalence in this study may be underestimated as the miRInform panel did not include analysis for NTRK1, NTRK3, BRAF, and ALK fusions as well as expanded RET fusion isoforms. Unfortunately, as previously stated, the samples initially analyzed by the miRInform panel were not available for reanalysis using the CSTP. Our conclusions are only on the basis of oncogenic driver alterations. Additional studies are ongoing to define the differentiation score on the basis of multiplatform analysis including RNA and microRNA expression. Finally, we demonstrated a significant association between oncogenic driver and remission at 1 year postsurgery. Although disease progression was rare in this cohort of patients, given the indolent nature of thyroid cancer in children, additional longitudinal data are needed to determine whether oncogenic driver will also be associated with long-term disease-free survival and/or risk of disease progression.

An important strength of our study is that many of these oncogenic events that are more common in pediatrics compared with adults with DTC can now be targeted with FDA-approved agents. Of particular note is the identification of RET and NTRK fusions and positive association of these events with persistent disease and metastatic spread. Larotrectinib (NTRK inhibitor) and selpercatinib (RET inhibitor) have shown dramatic efficacy in clinical trials in both solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, including a limited number of thyroid tumors harboring NTRK and RET fusions included in these studies. Significantly, these studies have shown durable responses in a multitude of patients with limited adverse events.21,22 Interestingly, larotrectinib was shown to induce partial response and restore iodine uptake in one case of RAI refractory adult thyroid cancer harboring the EML4-NTRK3 fusion,23 opening the way for similar therapies in pediatric tumors where these alterations are frequent and often associated with poor prognosis. This provides an opportunity for collaboration between oncologists and endocrinologists to better define the etiology of thyroid tumor progression, and shift the treatment paradigm to molecularly targeted therapies for patients with greatest risk of persistent, metastatic disease.

In conclusion, the combined data set used in this study represents an evolutionary change in the information gained from genetic and molecular analysis over the span of a few short years. On the basis of our data, categorizing PedDTC into RAS-mutant, BRAF-mutant, and RET/NTRK fusion variants more accurately separates the higher risk of invasive behavior for RET/NTRK fusion-driven PTC compared with PTC harboring BRAF p.V600E mutations. RET/NTRK fusion tumors metastasize to lateral neck lymph nodes at a significantly higher frequency than BRAF p.V600E PTC. Furthermore, we did not observe distant metastasis in any patients with BRAF p.V600E mutations. These findings support the incorporation of somatic cancer gene analysis to improve the diagnostic accuracy for fine needle aspiration as well as the potential utility to incorporate oncogenic data to stratify the surgical approach and to identify tumors that may benefit from oncogene-specific systemic therapies. Additional studies are underway to define the differences in differentiation score between BRAF p.V600E and RET/NTRK fusion PTC, differences between pediatric and adults PTC with the same oncogenic alterations, as well as to confirm the tumorigenic potential of the novel alterations identified using the comprehensive CSTP panel.
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